

# Locational Reserves

RCSTF

January 28, 2026

IMM



Monitoring Analytics

# IMM's Position

- **IMM's Proposal**
  - **Per existing rules, a new reserve subzone should be created only based on clearly defined criteria.**
  - **Clear the market with subzonal reserve requirements.**
  - **Subzonal reserve requirements should be based on uncertainty studies similar to appropriately defined RTO uncertainty studies.**
  - **Reserve transfers between the RTO and subzone should be limited by the available transmission capacity.**
  - **No reductions in transmission facility ratings should be applied by PJM.**

# PJM's Proposal

- **PJM's Proposal**
  - **Nodal reserve assignments**
  - **Create a congestion constraint for reserves**
  - **Aggregate reserves would be distributed to generators**
  - **Every generator would have a reserve assignment**
  - **PJM has yet to propose a method for distributing the reserves to the individual generators**
  - **PJM's proposal lacks other implementation details**

# Locational Reserves

- **Any nodal distribution scheme would be arbitrary**
  - **We don't know “where” the next generation trip or forecast error could occur**
- **PJM's proposal would increase the cost with no commensurate benefit**
  - **Satisfying nodal reserve congestion constraint would require redispatching expensive resources resulting in higher costs and prices**
  - **Nodal reserve assignments are unlikely to satisfy PJM's future unknown need for locational reserves**

**Monitoring Analytics, LLC**

**2621 Van Buren Avenue**

**Suite 160**

**Eagleville, PA**

**19403**

**(610) 271-8050**

**[MA@monitoringanalytics.com](mailto:MA@monitoringanalytics.com)**

**[www.MonitoringAnalytics.com](http://www.MonitoringAnalytics.com)**

