

REPORT TO THE OHIO PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

Congestion in the State of Ohio: January 1, 2010 through April 30, 2011

The Independent Market Monitor for PJM

July 15, 2011

This page intentionally left blank.

Overview of Congestion Calculations

This report provides details of congestion associated with the PJM related buses in the state of Ohio for the calendar year 2010 and January 1, 2011 through April 30, 2011. Congestion calculations throughout this report are for the PJM related buses wholly within the state of Ohio and not for any specific organization. The total congestion calculations are the sum of all the congestion calculations for the organizations with market activity in the area. The report also includes congestion event hours for the constraints which had the largest impact on congestion charges in PJM related buses in the state of Ohio, either positive or negative, and the congestion charges associated with each constraint. ²

Total congestion costs equal net congestion costs plus explicit congestion costs. Net congestion costs equal load congestion payments minus generation congestion credits.³ Explicit congestion costs are the net congestion costs associated with point-to-point energy transactions. Each of these categories of congestion costs is comprised of dayahead and balancing congestion costs. Day-ahead congestion costs are based on dayahead MWh while balancing congestion costs are based on deviations between dayahead and real-time MWh priced at the congestion price in the Real-Time Energy Market. ⁴

¹ Any discussion of Ohio congestion in the following report is referring to congestion associated with PJM related buses within the state of Ohio, rather than the entire state of Ohio.

Congestion event hours are hours in which a transmission constraint is binding. In day ahead, an interval equals one hour. In real time, an interval equals five minutes. In order to have a consistent metric for day-ahead and real-time congestion frequency, real-time congestion frequency is measured using the convention that an hour is constrained if any one of its component five-minute intervals is constrained.

Prior to June 1, 2007, PJM Congestion Accounting methods included implicit congestion costs, spot congestion costs, and explicit congestion costs, After June 1, 2007, PJM no longer calculates spot congestion costs. Implicit congestion costs are now equal to the difference between load congestion payments and generation credits, i.e. net congestion. Explicit congestion costs remained the same after June 1, 2007.

⁴ See Table 9, "Congestion Definitions," for a summary of relevant definitions.

Table 1 shows a summary of the total congestion costs in Ohio for calendar year 2010 and the first four months of 2011. Table 2 shows a monthly breakdown of congestion costs for calendar year 2010 and the first four months of 2011.

Table 1 Total Ohio congestion costs (Dollars (Millions)): Calendar year 2010 and January 1, 2011 through April 30, 2011

	Congestion
	Costs
2010	(\$28.5)
2011 (Jan - Apr)	\$3.3
Total	(\$25.1)

Table 2 Monthly Ohio congestion costs (Dollars (Millions)): Calendar year 2010 and January 1, 2011 through April 30, 2011

	Total Co	ongestion Cost	S
	2010	2011	Change
Jan	(\$4.7)	(\$4.7)	(\$0.0)
Feb	(\$3.5)	\$2.2	\$5.7
Mar	(\$0.3)	\$4.9	\$5.2
Apr	(\$2.5)	\$0.9	\$3.4
May	(\$3.4)		
Jun	(\$1.0)		
Jul	(\$0.2)		
Aug	(\$0.0)		
Sep	(\$2.1)		
Oct	(\$0.3)		
Nov	(\$0.8)		
Dec	(\$9.7)		

Congestion charges can be both positive and negative. When a constraint binds, the price effects of that constraint vary. The system marginal price (SMP) is uniform for all areas, while the congestions components of Locational Marginal Price (LMP) will either be positive or negative in a specific area, meaning that actual LMPs are above or below the SMP.⁵ The area affected by a constraint will have increased prices and the unconstrained area will have lower prices. If an area is located downstream from the constrained element, the area will experience positive congestion costs. If an area is

© Monitoring Analytics 2011 | www.monitoringanalytics.com

The SMP is the price of the distributed load reference bus. The price at the reference bus is equivalent to the five minute real-time or hourly day-ahead load weighted PJM LMP.

located upstream from the constrained element, the area will experience negative congestion costs (lower prices).

Day-ahead congestion charges and credits are based on MWh and LMP in the Day-Ahead Energy Market. Balancing congestion charges and credits are based on load or generation deviations between the Day-Ahead and Real-Time Energy Markets and LMP in the Real-Time Energy Market. If a participant has real-time generation or load that is greater than its day-ahead generation or load then the deviation will be positive. If there is a positive load deviation at a bus where real-time LMP has a positive congestion component, positive balancing congestion costs will result. Similarly, if there is a positive load deviation at a bus where real-time LMP has a negative congestion component, negative balancing congestion costs will result. If a participant has real-time generation or load that is less than its day-ahead generation or load then the deviation will be negative. If there is a negative load deviation at a bus where real-time LMP has a positive congestion component, negative balancing congestion costs will result. Similarly, if there is a negative load deviation at a bus where real-time LMP has a positive congestion component, negative balancing congestion costs will result.

In order to provide a more detailed explanation of the congestion calculations from which the total congestion charges are derived, each category of congestion is defined and a table of the congestion charges or credits associated with each category is provided.

Net Congestion Bill

The net congestion bill is defined by PJM settlements. The net congestion bill is calculated by subtracting generating congestion credits from load congestion payments. The logic is that increased congestion payments by load are offset by increased congestion revenues to generation, for the area analyzed. Whether the net congestion bill is an appropriate measure of congestion for load depends on who pays the load congestion payments and who receives the generation congestion credits. The net congestion bill is an appropriate measure of congestion for a utility that charges load congestion payments to load and credits generation congestion credits to load. The net congestion bill is not an appropriate measure of congestion in situations where load pays the load congestion payments but does not receive the generation credits as an offset.

Load congestion payments are netted against generation congestion credits on an hourly basis, by participant, and then summed for the given period. Generation credits result either from the direct ownership of generation or from the purchase of supply from another entity via a bilateral transaction.

Both day-ahead and balancing load congestion payments and generation congestion credits are calculated.

- Day-ahead Load Congestion Payments. Day-ahead load congestion payments are
 calculated for all cleared demand, decrement bids, and day-ahead energy sale
 transactions. (Decrement bids and energy sales can be thought of as scheduled load.)
 Day-ahead load congestion payments are calculated using load MWh and the
 congestion component of LMP (CLMP) for the load bus, decrement bid location, or
 the source of the sale transaction, as applicable.
- Day-ahead Generation Congestion Credits. Day-ahead generation congestion
 credits are calculated for all cleared generation and increment offers and day-ahead
 energy purchase transactions. (Increment offers and energy purchases can be
 thought of as scheduled generation.) Day-ahead generation congestion credits are
 calculated using generation MWh and the CLMP for the generator bus, increment
 offer location, or the sink of the purchase transaction, as applicable.
- Balancing Load Congestion Payments. Balancing load congestion payments are
 calculated for all deviations between a PJM participant's real-time load and energy
 sale transactions and their day-ahead cleared demand, decrement bids, and energy
 sale transactions. Balancing load congestion payments are calculated using MWh
 deviations and the real-time CLMP for each bus where a deviation from a member's
 day-ahead scheduled load exists.
- Balancing Generation Congestion Credits. Balancing generation congestion credits
 are calculated for all deviations between a PJM participant's real-time generation
 and energy purchase transactions and the day-ahead cleared generation, increment
 offers and energy purchase transactions. Balancing generation congestion credits are
 calculated using MWh deviations and the real-time CLMP for each bus where a
 deviation from a member's day-ahead scheduled generation exists.

Explicit Congestion Costs

Explicit congestion costs are the congestion costs associated with moving energy from one specific point to another across the transmission system. Such point-to-point transactions may be either internal to PJM or be import or export transactions.

- Internal Purchases. For internal purchases the explicit congestion costs equal the
 difference in CLMPs between the sink bus and source bus of the purchase multiplied
 by the transacted MWh. The buyer pays the congestion costs associated with internal
 purchases.
- Import and Export Transactions. For point-to-point and network secondary
 transmission customers, the explicit congestion costs equal the differences in CLMPs
 between the sink bus and source bus multiplied by the transacted MWh. The
 transmission customer pays the congestion costs associated with and import or
 export transaction.

The explicit congestion costs calculated for Ohio represent the costs associated with point-to-point transactions that sink in Ohio. For example, if a transaction is sourced in Pennsylvania and sinks in Ohio, the charges would be based on the MWh of the transaction multiplied by the difference between the sink CLMP and the source CLMP. The resulting congestion costs are allocated to the zone and state of the sink location, in this case all of the zones contained in Ohio. The sink location is the buyer's location and reflects the cost to the buyer of the internal purchase or external transaction.

Table 3 shows the combined day-ahead and balancing load congestion payments, generation congestion credits, and explicit congestion costs for Ohio for calendar year 2010 and the first four months of 2011. Table 4 shows the congestion cost categories separated by day-ahead and balancing to show the contributions from both the Day-Ahead and Real-Time Markets for calendar year 2010 and the first four months of 2011.

Table 3 Total Ohio congestion costs by category: Calendar years 2010 and January 1, 2011 through April 30, 2011

Congestion Costs (Millions)					
	Load	Generation	Net Congestion		Grand
	Payments	Credits	Bill	Explicit	Total
2010	(\$116.5)	(\$92.7)	(\$23.8)	(\$4.7)	(\$28.5)
2011 (Jan - Apr)	(\$33.2)	(\$40.8)	\$7.6	(\$4.3)	\$3.3

Table 4 Total day-ahead and balancing Ohio congestion costs by category: Calendar years 2010 and January 1, 2011 through April 30, 2011

	Congestion Costs (Millions)								
		Day Ahea	ıd			Balancin	g		
	Load	Generation			Load	Generation			Grand
	Payments	Credits	Explicit	Total	Payments	Credits	Explicit	Total	Total
2010	(\$100.3)	(\$114.0)	\$2.2	\$15.9	(\$16.1)	\$21.4	(\$6.9)	(\$44.4)	(\$28.5)
2011 (Jan - Apr)	(\$30.4)	(\$51.6)	(\$3.3)	\$18.0	(\$2.9)	\$10.8	(\$1.0)	(\$14.6)	\$3.3

Table 5 lists the top 15 constraints affecting Ohio congestion costs for the first four months of 2011. Table 5 provides the type of constraint (Line, Transformer, Flowgate or Interface), the location of the constraint and the congestion event hours for the period analyzed.

Table 5 Top 15 constraints affecting Ohio congestion costs: January 1, 2011 through April 30, 2011

				Event F	lours
				Day	Real
No.	Constraint	Туре	Location	Ahead	Time
1	Belmont	Transformer	AP	1,721	105
2	AP South	Interface	500	1,435	547
3	Wylie Ridge	Transformer	AP	1,377	329
4	Wolfcreek	Transformer	AEP	1,064	94
5	5004/5005 Interface	Interface	500	513	241
6	AEP-DOM	Interface	500	293	90
7	Muskingum River	Transformer	AEP	178	0
8	West	Interface	500	261	12
9	Cloverdale - Lexington	Line	AEP	336	217
10	South Mahwah - Waldwick	Line	PSEG	2,409	410
11	Chaparral - Carson	Line	Dominion	0	180
12	Carnegie - Tidd	Line	AEP	323	308
13	Susquehanna	Transformer	PPL	120	0
14	Baker - Broadford	Line	AEP	8	20
15	Sporn - Kyger Creek	Line	AEP	261	0

Table 6 shows the congestion cost details of the top 15 constraints affecting Ohio congestion costs for the first four months of 2011.

Table 6 Congestion cost details for the top 15 constraints affecting Ohio: January 1, 2011 through April 30, 2011

			С	ongestic	on Costs (Mi	llions)			
		Day Ahea	ad			Balancin	g		
	Load	Generation			Load	Generation			Grand
Constraint	Payments	Credits	Explicit	Total	Payments	Credits	Explicit	Total	Total
Belmont	\$7.7	(\$1.9)	\$1.4	\$11.1	(\$0.9)	(\$0.2)	(\$1.5)	(\$2.1)	\$9.0
AP South	(\$11.7)	(\$11.5)	(\$1.8)	(\$2.0)	(\$0.6)	\$3.4	\$1.5	(\$2.5)	(\$4.6)
Wylie Ridge	(\$5.8)	(\$5.1)	(\$1.1)	(\$1.8)	(\$0.3)	\$1.1	\$0.6	(\$0.7)	(\$2.5)
Wolfcreek	\$0.3	(\$2.0)	\$0.5	\$2.7	(\$0.2)	\$0.1	(\$0.4)	(\$0.7)	\$2.0
5004/5005 Interface	(\$7.3)	(\$7.8)	(\$0.5)	(\$0.1)	(\$0.5)	\$1.4	\$0.4	(\$1.5)	(\$1.6)
AEP-DOM	(\$0.9)	(\$2.2)	\$0.0	\$1.4	(\$0.0)	\$0.3	\$0.1	(\$0.2)	\$1.2
Muskingum River	\$0.2	(\$0.4)	\$0.2	\$0.8	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.8
West	(\$1.1)	(\$1.9)	(\$0.1)	\$0.7	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	(\$0.0)	\$0.7
Cloverdale - Lexington	(\$0.5)	(\$0.7)	\$0.1	\$0.3	\$0.1	\$0.8	(\$0.2)	(\$0.9)	(\$0.5)
South Mahwah - Waldwic	(\$1.2)	(\$1.3)	\$0.1	\$0.2	(\$0.0)	\$0.5	(\$0.2)	(\$0.8)	(\$0.5)
Chaparral - Carson	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	(\$0.0)	\$0.2	(\$0.3)	(\$0.5)	(\$0.5)
Carnegie - Tidd	(\$0.2)	(\$0.7)	(\$0.2)	\$0.2	(\$0.0)	\$0.2	\$0.5	\$0.3	\$0.5
Susquehanna	(\$0.4)	(\$0.8)	(\$0.0)	\$0.4	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.4
Baker - Broadford	(\$0.0)	(\$0.1)	\$0.0	\$0.1	\$0.0	\$0.5	\$0.1	(\$0.4)	(\$0.3)
Sporn - Kyger Creek	(\$0.0)	\$0.0	\$0.3	\$0.3	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.3

Table 7 lists the top 15 constraints affecting Ohio congestion costs for calendar year 2010. Table 7 provides the type of constraint (Line, Transformer, Flowgate or Interface), the location of the constraint and the congestion event hours for the period analyzed.

Table 7 Top 15 constraints affecting Ohio congestion costs: Calendar year 2010

				Event H	ours
				Day	Real
No.	Constraint	Туре	Location	Ahead	Time
1	AP South	Interface	500	4,646	1,528
2	Belmont	Transformer	AP	1,887	203
3	Tiltonsville - Windsor	Line	AP	2,723	506
4	Wylie Ridge	Transformer	AP	945	688
5	5004/5005 Interface	Interface	500	1,644	605
6	Bedington - Black Oak	Interface	500	2,291	212
7	AEP-DOM	Interface	500	691	187
8	Mahans Lane - Tidd	Line	AEP	646	207
9	East Frankfort - Crete	Line	ComEd	3,084	850
10	Millville - Old Chapel	Line	AP	210	303
11	Brues - West Bellaire	Line	AEP	0	78
12	Doubs	Transformer	AP	1,365	525
13	Erie West	Transformer	PENELEC	1,203	175
14	Pleasant Prairie - Zion	Flowgate	Midwest ISO	1,098	310
15	Crescent	Transformer	DLCO	740	174

Table 8 shows the congestion cost details of the top 15 constraints affecting Ohio congestion costs for calendar year 2010.

Table 8 Congestion cost details for the top 15 constraints affecting Ohio: Calendar year 2010

			C	ongestic	n Costs (Mi	llions)			
		Day Ahea	ad			Balancin	g		
	Load	Generation			Load	Generation			Grand
Constraint	Payments	Credits	Explicit	Total	Payments	Credits	Explicit	Total	Total
AP South	(\$33.1)	(\$28.7)	(\$3.1)	(\$7.6)	(\$3.4)	\$3.6	\$2.0	(\$5.0)	(\$12.7)
Belmont	\$8.8	\$1.4	\$1.6	\$9.0	(\$2.4)	(\$0.7)	(\$1.0)	(\$2.7)	\$6.3
Tiltonsville - Windsor	(\$6.7)	(\$5.0)	(\$0.9)	(\$2.6)	(\$0.6)	\$1.6	\$1.2	(\$0.9)	(\$3.5)
Wylie Ridge	(\$6.0)	(\$5.0)	(\$0.5)	(\$1.5)	(\$1.1)	\$1.2	\$0.3	(\$1.9)	(\$3.5)
5004/5005 Interface	(\$10.2)	(\$10.1)	(\$0.7)	(\$0.8)	(\$1.2)	\$1.8	\$0.7	(\$2.3)	(\$3.0)
Bedington - Black Oak	(\$10.8)	(\$9.6)	(\$0.9)	(\$2.1)	(\$0.2)	\$0.7	\$0.4	(\$0.5)	(\$2.6)
AEP-DOM	(\$4.5)	(\$7.2)	\$0.4	\$3.0	(\$0.5)	\$0.9	\$0.3	(\$1.1)	\$1.9
Mahans Lane - Tidd	(\$1.0)	(\$2.9)	(\$0.3)	\$1.6	\$0.2	\$0.1	\$0.1	\$0.2	\$1.8
East Frankfort - Crete	\$2.8	\$2.2	\$1.5	\$2.1	\$0.3	\$0.0	(\$0.7)	(\$0.4)	\$1.6
Millville - Old Chapel	(\$0.9)	(\$0.9)	(\$0.0)	(\$0.0)	(\$0.5)	\$1.3	\$0.2	(\$1.6)	(\$1.6)
Brues - West Bellaire	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	(\$1.1)	\$0.4	(\$0.1)	(\$1.6)	(\$1.6)
Doubs	(\$5.5)	(\$5.3)	(\$0.2)	(\$0.4)	(\$0.6)	\$0.7	\$0.2	(\$1.2)	(\$1.6)
Erie West	(\$2.1)	(\$1.7)	(\$0.1)	(\$0.6)	(\$0.3)	\$0.3	\$0.1	(\$0.5)	(\$1.1)
Pleasant Prairie - Zion	\$0.0	(\$0.0)	\$0.6	\$0.7	\$0.0	\$0.0	(\$1.7)	(\$1.7)	(\$1.0)
Crescent	(\$0.5)	\$0.2	\$0.0	(\$0.7)	(\$0.1)	\$0.0	(\$0.0)	(\$0.2)	(\$0.8)

Conclusion

During the first four months of 2011, total congestion costs in Ohio overall were positive. Ohio is situated west of the major transmission constraints in PIM and generally experiences lower congestion costs relative to the eastern and southern portions of PJM. When congestion event hours increase on these major west to east transmission constraints, there is generally downward pressure on LMP and congestion costs in Ohio relative to locations in the eastern portion of PJM. This contributes to negative congestion costs in Ohio. Conversely, when congestion event hours decrease on these major west to east transmission constraints, there is upward pressure on congestion costs in Ohio. A large proportion of the negative congestion costs in Ohio were the result of the AP South Interface. Most of the positive congestion costs in Ohio were the result of the Belmont Transformer. Most of Ohio is situated on the high price side of the Belmont Transformer and on the low price side of the AP South Interface. Ohio's total congestion costs in 2010 were -\$28.5 million, with AP South Interface contributing -\$12.7 million compared to Belmont Transformer's \$6.3 million. In contrast, Ohio's total congestion costs during the first four months 2011 were \$3.3 million. During the first four months of 2011, congestion costs resulting from the Belmont Transformer were \$9 million while congestion costs resulting from AP South were -\$4.6 million.

Congestion Definitions

Table 9 Congestion Definitions

Congestion Category	Calculation
Day-Ahead Load Congestion Payments	Day-Ahead Demand MWh * Day-Ahead CLMP
Day-Ahead Generation Congestion Credits	Day-Ahead Supply MWh * Day-Ahead CLMP
Day-Ahead Net Congestion Bill	Day-Ahead Load Congestion Payments - Day-Ahead Generation Congestion Credits
Day-Ahead Explicit Congestion Costs	Day-Ahead Transaction MW * (Day-Ahead Sink CLMP - Day-Ahead Source CLMP)
	Day-Ahead Load Congestion Payments - Day-Ahead Generation Congestion Credits + Day-Ahead Explicit
Day-Ahead Total Congestion Costs	Congestion Costs
Balancing Load Congestion Payments	Balancing Demand MWh * Real-Time CLMP
Balancing Generation Congestion Credits	Balancing Supply MWh * Real-Time CLMP
Balancing Net Congestion Bill	Balancing Load Congestion Payments - Balancing Generation Congestion Credits
Balancing Explicit Congestion Costs	Balancing Transaction MW * (Real-Time Sink CLMP - Real-Time Source CLMP)
	Balancing Load Congestion Payments - Balancing Generation Congestion Credits + Balancing Explicit Congestion
Balancing Total Congestion Costs	Costs
Total Congestion Costs	Day-Ahead Total Congestion Costs + Balancing Total Congestion Costs
MWh Category	Definition
Day-Ahead Demand MWh	Cleared Demand, Decrement Bids, Energy Sale Transactions
Day-Ahead Supply MWh	Cleared Generation, Increment Bids, Energy Purchase Transactions
11 3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Real-Time Demand MWh	Load and Energy Sale Transactions
Real-Time Supply MWh	Generation and Energy Purchase Transactions
,	·
Balancing Demand MWh	Real-Time Demand MWh - Day-Ahead Demand MWh
Balancing Supply MWh	Real-Time Supply MWh - Day-Ahead Supply MWh