Regulation Market Proposal

RMDSTF July 18, 2023 **IMM**



Regulation Signal/Products

- One signal, filtered inverse of ACE.
 - Resource agnostic signal aligned with system needs.
 - Allows a simpler implementation for dispatch to operate and track.
 - Eliminates RegA/RegD settlement interactions that cause issues with prices, incentives and market signals.

Regulation Signal/Products

- Retain bidirectional regulation market, with one clearing price.
 - Simple roll out, simple clearing.
 - Reduced cost for PJM to implement relative to reg up/reg down.
 - No complications associated with complex offers (reg up/reg down/reg both), iterative clearing
 - Allows batteries to maintain charge through reg set points
 - PJM has not presented a case where complex offers (up/down/both)
 can be cleared or what the resulting prices/regulation assignments
 would look like relative to bidirectional market.
 - PJM has stated it needs more time to sort out details of reg up/reg down markets.

Regulation Signal/Products

- Bidirectional market removes risk of internally inconsistent results between clearing and pricing in separate reg up/reg down markets
 - Inconsistencies between ASO LOC and within hour LOC can change optimal clearing for reg up/reg down/reg both.
- Reg up/Reg down will require buying more MW and/or paying twice as much for the same MW of regulation
 - PJM examples assume double regulation ramp rates when providing one direction regulation (regulation up or regulation down).
 - No basis for this assumption.
 - Correcting that assumption increase prices.
 - Clearing bidirectional market as separate reg up/reg down causes prices/costs to potentially double relative to bidirectional market.

Bidirectional

| Load | 500 | | Reg ne | eded up | and dov | vn | 25 MW | | | | | | |
|-----------|--|-----|---------------|--------------|--------------|------|--------|----------------|-----------|---------|-----------|------------------|-----------|
| Reg requi | Reg requirement 25 MW (up and/or down) | | | | | | | Biddirectional | | | | | |
| | | Eco | Reg MW | Reg offer | Reg Offer | Eco | Reg | Projected | Projected | Desired | Projected | Projected | |
| | MC | Min | bidirectional | down | up | Max | Assign | output | LMP | Output | LOC \$/MW | Reg Price | Reg Cost |
| Unit 1 | \$5.00 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 200 | 5 | 195 | 0 | 200 | 10 | | \$50.00 |
| Unit 2 | \$10.00 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 200 | 10 | 190 | 0 | 200 | 5 | | \$100.00 |
| Unit 3 | \$15.00 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 200 | 10 | 115 | 15 | 115 | 0 | | \$100.00 |
| Unit 4 | \$20.00 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | \$0.00 |
| Unit 5 | \$25.00 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | \$0.00 |
| | | | | • | | 1000 | 25 | 500 | 15 | 515 | 10 | 10 | \$ 250.00 |

Reg Up/Reg Down

| Load | 500 | | Reg ne | eded up | and do | wn | 25 MW | | | |
|--------|---------|-----|----------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|------------|-------|----|--------------|---|
| | | Eco | Reg MW offer bidirectional | Reg offer I down | Reg Offer up | Eco Max | | | Reg Total | |
| Unit 1 | \$5.00 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 200 | 10 | | | 1 |
| Unit 2 | \$10.00 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 200 | 10 | | | |
| Unit 3 | \$15.00 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 200 | 5 | 10 | | 1 |
| Unit 4 | \$20.00 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 200 | 0 | 10 | | 1 |
| Unit 5 | \$25.00 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 200 | 0 | 5 | | 1 |
| | | | | | | 1000 | 25 | 25 | 50 | Ī |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

| Reg | g Down st | Reg Up Cost |
|-----|--------------|----------------|
| \$ | 375.00 | \$ 375.00 |

| 1 | .000 | 25 | 25 | 50 | | Reg Dow | n Price | | Reg U | p Price | |
|---------|------|-----------|----|-------|-----|---------|-----------|-----|---------|-----------|-----------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Project | ed | Projected | De | sired | Pro | jected | Projected | Pro | ojected | Projected | |
| output | | LMP | Οu | itput | LO | C \$/MW | Reg Price | LO | С | Reg Price | Payments |
| | 200 | 0 | | 200 | \$ | - | | \$ | 1 | | \$150.00 |
| | 190 | 0 | | 200 | \$ | 15.00 | | \$ | 15.00 | | \$150.00 |
| | 90 | 0 | | 200 | \$ | 10.00 | | \$ | 10.00 | | \$225.00 |
| | 10 | 0 | | 200 | \$ | 5.00 | | \$ | 5.00 | | \$150.00 |
| | 10 | 25 | | 10 | \$ | - | | \$ | - | | \$75.00 |
| | 500 | 25 | | _ | \$ | 15.00 | \$ 15.00 | \$ | 15.00 | \$ 15.00 | \$ 750.00 |

Reg Up/Reg Down: Clear Bidirectional MW

| Load | 500 | | Reg nee | ded up a | 25 MW | | | | |
|--------|---------|----|-----------------|--------------|--------------|-----|------|-----|-------|
| | | | Reg MW offer | Reg offer | Reg Offer | Eco | Reg | Reg | Reg |
| | MC | | bidirectional | down | up | Max | Down | Up | Total |
| Unit 1 | \$5.00 | 10 | 20 | 10 | 10 | 200 | 5 | 5 | |
| Unit 2 | \$10.00 | 10 | 20 | 10 | 10 | 200 | 10 | 10 | |
| Unit 3 | \$15.00 | 10 | 20 | 10 | 10 | 200 | 10 | 10 | |
| Unit 4 | \$20.00 | 10 | 20 | 10 | 10 | 200 | 0 | 0 | |
| Unit 5 | \$25.00 | 10 | 20 | 10 | 10 | 200 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | | | | | | 25 | 25 | 50 |

| Reg | g Down | Reg Up |
|-----|--------|--------|
| Cos | st | Cost |
| \$ | 250.00 | |

| _ | | 23 | 23 30 | Reg Dow | n Price | Reg U | o Price | |
|---|-----------|-----------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | Projected | Projected | Desired | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | |
| | output | LMP | Output | LOC \$/MW | Reg Price | LOC | Reg Price | Payments |
| | 195 | 0 | 200 | \$10.00 | | \$10.00 | | \$100.00 |
| | 190 | 0 | 200 | \$5.00 | | \$5.00 | | \$200.00 |
| | 115 | 15 | 115 | \$0.00 | | \$0.00 | | \$200.00 |
| | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 | | \$0.00 | | |
| | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$0.00 | | \$0.00 | | |
| | 500 | 15 | | \$10.00 | \$10.00 | \$10.00 | \$ 10.00 | \$ 500.00 |

Regulation Requirement

- Requirement (total regulation) based on expected system conditions
 - Defined, verifiable, systematic and algorithmic calculation of requirement
 - Transparent rules and definitions
 - Requirements based on seasonal and hourly moving average of historic ACE and CPS data.

LOC

- LOC based on dispatched energy offer.
- LOC based on unit specific hourly differences between regulation set point and desired/achievable MW, calculated every five minutes.
- Physical ramp limited MW within the hour.
- Eliminate pay as bid end of hour uplift by including full LOC in price within hour.
- Shoulder ramp period reduced to 10 minutes from 15.

Offer Structure

10

- Performance and Capability (Status Quo)
- Remove VOM VOM from regulation offer
- Eliminate \$12 adder

Commitment Period

ASO: Clear the market every 30 minutes, with a 30 minute look ahead.

Clearing Price/Settlement

- Clearing price based on marginal offer total (\$/MW) for the commitment period (hour).
 - Total offers = LOC + components
 - Clearing price reflects actual mileage and performance score of marginal offer.
 - Resources paid based on their performance adjusted MW for the commitment period.

Performance Score

- The performance score is defined as the precision score (replace the current method of three components).
- Precision calculation based on status quo formula for precision.

$$Error = Avg \ of \ Abs \left| \frac{Response - Regulation \ Signal}{Hourly \ Average \ Regulation \ Signal} \right|$$

$$\frac{Precision}{Score} = 1 - \frac{1}{n} \sum Abs(Error)$$

Performance Score

- Market participation requirement of a 40 percent performance score, based on rolling 100 hour average (status quo).
- Minimum performance score required for compensation or to set price is 25 percent.

Performance Score

Self deselection results in zero score in the cleared commitment period.

15

 PJM dispatcher deselection does not affect performance score.

©2023

Regulation Set Point and Range

 PJM should be able to move the regulation set point over time to minimize LOC.

 Regulation range (Regulation Min and Regulation Max) should match economic dispatch range (limited by ramp rates and by economic min and economic max), unless explained by physical limitations (not fuel limit).

Regulation is a Real Time Only Product

- Regulation depends on unpredictable real time conditions.
- No must offer obligation creates gaming opportunities between DA and RT applications
- DA market would add modeling/market result differences between DA and RT market
- DA market would add unneeded deviation/settlement/uplift complications

Monitoring Analytics, LLC
2621 Van Buren Avenue
Suite 160
Eagleville, PA
19403
(610) 271-8050

MA@monitoringanalytics.com www.MonitoringAnalytics.com