ARR/FTR Data Update and IMM Package Proposal

AFMTF July 13, 2021 Howard J Haas



- Simplify the mechanism to return congestion to the load.
- Load simply has the right to collect all (100 percent) of the congestion paid by that load in every hour of the year, from both the day ahead and real time (balancing) market.
- If the load does nothing, load will receive all the congestion paid every billing period during the entire planning year.
- Eliminate fixed source and sink point assignments and the election of specific source and sink points by the load.



- Actual congestion revenue includes both day-ahead and balancing congestion.
- Congestion is the difference between what load pays for energy and what generation is paid for energy after virtual bids are settled.

3

 Load's right to actual congestion is defined to be a Congestion Revenue Right (CRR)



ARR and FTR Total Congestion Offset for ARR Holders: 2011/2012 through 2020/2021 (\$M)

Revenue									Pre 201 (Without B		2017/2018 (With Balancing)		Post 2017/2018 (With Balancing and Surplus)	
Planning Period	ARR Credits	Unadjusted FTR Credits	Day Ahead Congestion	Balancing + M2M Congestion	Total Congestion	Surplus goes to	Rules (Load Pays Balancing and	Post 2017/2018 Rules (Load Pays Balancing, Surplus Goes to ARRs)	Total ARR/FTR	Percent Offset	Current Revenue Received	Percent Offset		New Offset
2011/2012	\$512.2	\$310.0	\$1,025.4	(\$275.7)	\$749.7	(\$50.6)	\$35.6	\$113.9	\$771.6	102.9%	\$582.1	77.6%	\$660.4	88.1%
2012/2013	\$349.5	\$268.4	\$904.7	(\$379.9)	\$524.8	(\$94.0)	\$18.4	\$62.1	\$523.9	99.8%	\$256.4	48.9%	\$300.1	57.2%
2013/2014	\$337.7	\$626.6	\$2,231.3	(\$360.6)	\$1,870.6	(\$139.4)	(\$49.0)	(\$49.0)	\$824.8	44.1%	\$554.6	29.7%	\$554.6	29.7%
2014/2015	\$482.4	\$348.1	\$1,625.9	(\$268.3)	\$1,357.6	\$36.7	\$111.2	\$400.6	\$867.2	63.9%	\$673.4	49.6%	\$962.8	70.9%
2015/2016	\$635.3	\$209.2	\$1,098.7	(\$147.6)	\$951.1	\$9.2	\$42.1	\$188.9	\$853.7	89.8%	\$739.0	77.7%	\$885.9	93.1%
2016/2017	\$640.0	\$149.9	\$885.7	(\$104.8)	\$780.8	\$15.1	\$36.5	\$179.0	\$805.0	103.1%	\$721.6	92.4%	\$864.0	110.7%
2017/2018	\$427.3	\$212.3	\$1,322.1	(\$129.5)	\$1,192.6	\$52.3	\$80.4	\$370.7	\$692.0	58.0%	\$590.6	49.5%	\$880.9	73.9%
2018/2019	\$529.1	\$130.1	\$832.7	(\$152.6)	\$680.0	(\$5.8)	\$16.2	\$112.2	\$653.3	96.1%	\$522.7	76.9%	\$618.8	91.0%
2019/2020	\$542.0	\$91.9	\$612.1	(\$169.4)	\$442.7	(\$1.6)	\$21.6	\$157.8	\$632.3	142.8%	\$486.1	109.8%	\$622.2	140.6%
2020/2021*	\$373.9	\$179.3	\$899.6	(\$256.2)	\$643.4	(\$43.1)	(\$0.0)	(\$0.0)	\$ 510.14	79.3%	\$297.1	46.2%	\$297.1	46.2%
Total	\$4,829.4	\$2,525.8	\$11,438.0	(\$2,244.7)	\$9,193.4	(\$221.2)	\$312.9	\$1,536.1	\$7,134.1	77.6%	\$5,423.6	59.0%	\$6,646.8	72.3%



- Each LSE has the option to collect or sell the monthly congestion revenues to which it has rights, by bus.
 - DA + Balancing
 - Congestion can never have a negative value
 - Default option is the return of congestion paid to the load that paid it
 - Return of congestion would occur in every billing cycle throughout the planning year



- Each LSE would have control of what is sold and the price at which it is sold
 - Each LSE can sell zero to 100 percent of its own congestion revenue rights by bus or zone.
 - Reserve prices set by LSE
- Each LSE will be provided information about congestion paid
 - By constraint
 - By period, by month, by hour
 - DA and RT
 - By planning year

©2021

Monitoring Analytics

- Each LSE has the option to sell its congestion revenue rights
 - Auction (PJM or third party platform)
 - Bilateral (tracked by PJM)
 - Risk limited to the selling LSE
 - No cross subsidies among rights holders



- Certainty about return of all actual congestion paid
- No hold back of system capability to guarantee FTR funding
- No end of year surplus allocation needed
 - No surplus exists if all congestion revenue rights are allocated



- If all or a portion of the Congestion Revenue Right was sold and load moves from one LSE to another LSE:
 - Congestion Revenue Right (CRR) is the right to all the congestion paid (day ahead and balancing) by the associated load.
 - The auction price (revenue from the sale of the CRR) from any CRR sold moves with the load.
 - The congestion revenue rights of any unsold CRR move with the load.





- No cross subsidies among LSEs
 - All congestion collected by bus
- Return of actual congestion paid means:
 - Rights to congestion are always positive in value to the recipient
 - No flip of path value (cannot go from positive to negative)
 - No cross subsidies caused by path specific approach and binary outage modeling or primary rights.





- Elimination of path based system eliminates risk inherent in current design:
 - No paths: No cross subsidies among rights
 - Bankruptcy of a buyer does not affect congestion revenues or other sellers of congestion rights
 - If a buyer is bankrupt, congestion rights revert to owner
 - Owner loses only revenue stream from buyer
 - Owner can resell congestion revenue rights
 - 。No effect on other positions
 - No tax on membership to support remaining FTR holders

11



IMM Package/Proposal Matrix

	# 💌	Design Components	Priority 🔽	Status (Quo 🔽	IMM Package
	1	Availability and Assignment of Congestion rights to Load		designat Qualified Stage 2 generato	 source points only from ed active historical resources or l Replacement Resources source points any available or, interface, hub, zone rays sink at load settlement gregate 	Rights to all congestion allocated to the load that paid it, based on actual network congestion DA and RT
	2	Product Definition		24 H pro	duct for entire year	Rights to all congestion allocated to the load that paid it, based on actual network congestion DA and RT
	3	Allocation mechanism				Rights to all congestion allocated to the load that paid it, based on actual network congestion DA and RT
	4	Allocation Frequency		Once a y	vear nomination	Every billing period.
	5	ARR nomination point availability				Automatic load specific nomination of physical load points/export interface
	6	Congestion Right Election (Claim or Sell Options)			24H Obligation "Price taker" from 4 round annual auction prices	Default and automatic election is to receive back all congestion paid by load to the load that paid it. Load has the option to set reserve prices for the sale of any portion of congestion that will be paid in a given period.
©2021		www.monitoringana	lytics.com		12	Monitoring Analytics

1000					
	# -	Design Components	Priority 🗾	Status Quo 🗾 🗾	IMM Package
	7	Auction Surplus		Auction surplus goes to FTR deficiencies first, residual allocated to ARR holders on ARR weighted basis	
	8	Congestion Surplus		Congestion surplus goes to FTR deficiencies first, residual allocated to ARR holders on ARR weighted basis	NA, All rights are assigned, no unassigned rights
	9	Model details		Annual Model with modeled constraints, line limits and outages based on DA snap shot, Monthly updates during planning year. Objective to guarantee target allocation payouts.	Actual DA model and RT model of every actual market day
	10	Amount of guaranteed ARRs		Stage 1A up-to ZBL share on historical source and sink paths only.	100 percent of the congestion collected is paid back to the load that paid in every billing period over the planning year. Load has the option to sell all or a portion of the congestion collected.
	11	Incremental ARR product types		EE, Merchant, RTEP	Eliminate IARR going forward, inconsistent with network use. Existing rights can be grandfathered.
					A A

13

# 🍼	Design Components	Priority 🔹	Status Quo	IMM Package
11	Incremental ARR product types		EE, Merchant, RTEP	Eliminate IARR going forward, inconsistent with network use. Existing rights can be grandfathered.
	IARR model development and SFT assumptions and procedures		Model document available here: https://www.pjm.com/-/media/markets- ops/ftr/pjm-iarr-model-development-and- analysis.ashx	Eliminate IARR going forward, inconsistent with network use. Existing rights can be grandfathered.
13	FTR Auction bid limits		10,000 per period, auction, round by corporate entity	Depends on auction design
	FTR Option paths and clearing mechanism		Path availability limited by historical pricing and source/sink pnode type. Price calculated for a eligible Option paths.	
15	Invalid FTR Paths		FTR paths that clear with < 0.1% impact on any constraint not cleared. FTRs with a zero clearing price will only be awarded if there is a minimum of one binding constraint in the auction period for which the FTR path sensitivity is non-zero (0.1% threshold).	NA
©2021	www.monitori	nganalytics.com		onitoring Analytics
		0 10 11 11 11		

# .7	Design Components	Priority *	Status Quo	IMM Package
16	FTR product & class types		- 24H, On peak, Off peak (M-F 2300-0700, Weekend all day). Monthly or Annual product.	Product types for congestion made available to market would match what was sold by rights holders (load). Product types can be as flexible as requested by the market.
17	Bilateral transaction functionality		Post, Accept, Confirm. Indemnification from defaults	All bilateral arrangements must be on a PJM platform subject to PJM credit criteria
	Source of Congestion dollars allocated to FTRs		DA ahead only, balancing and M2M assigned to load on load ratio basis.	All congestion (DA + Balancing +M2M)
19	Available Rights not allocated or directly claimable by load (FTR Biddable points)		Paths not associated with ARR source and sink pairs (sets)	All rights to all congestion are allocated to load
20	FTR Forfeiture Rule		Flow based, per M-6 section 8.6	NA
C	2021 www.mor	itoringanalytics.co	m 15 💽	Monitoring Analytics

# 🗾	Design Components	Priority 🗾	Status Quo	IMM Package
			Base topology, outages, selected interface	
	Network model posted		limits, m2m flow, loop flow, uncompensated	Actual DA model and RT model of every actual
21	information		flow, contingencies modeled	market day, subject to security concerns. OASIS
			Base models posted quarterly; outages,	
	Network model posting		interface limits posted per auction, aggregate	Actual DA model and RT model of every actual
22	frequency		and PAR definitions, model mapping files	market day, subject to security concerns. OASIS
23	Outage modeling		Binary outages, entire model period	Actual by day
20	Odlage modeling		Dinary Guages, entire moder period	Actual by day
	Bid submission upload		Bids can be submitted through FTR center, or	
24	capability		browserless via XML.	Depends on auction design
25	Implementation date		N/A	6/1/2022

Appendix: Data Update

AFMTF July 17, 2021



Zonal ARR and FTR Total Congestion Offset for ARR Holders: 2020/2021 Planning Period(\$M)

		Adjusted	Balancing+	Surplus		Day Ahead	Balancing		Total	Ĩ
Zone	ARR Credits	FTR Credits	M2M Charge	Allocation	Total Offset	Congestion	Congestion	M2M Payments	Congestion	Offset
ACEC	\$4.4	\$0.0	(\$2.7)	(\$0.1)	\$1.7	\$8.2	(\$2.3)	(\$0.5)	\$5.5	31.2%
AEP	\$40.2	\$36.4	(\$38.1)	(\$2.4)	\$38.4	\$149.0	(\$32.2)	(\$5.9)	\$110.9	34.6%
APS	\$32.9	\$14.9	(\$14.8)	(\$1.4)	\$33.0	\$60.0	(\$12.5)	(\$2.3)	\$45.2	73.0%
ATSI	\$20.4	\$0.2	(\$19.5)	(\$0.6)	\$1.1	\$70.1	(\$16.4)	(\$3.0)	\$50.6	2.1%
BGE	\$58.4	\$3.6	(\$9.1)	(\$1.7)	\$52.8	\$34.0	(\$7.7)	(\$1.4)	\$24.8	212.7%
COMED	\$36.4	\$11.5	(\$28.5)	(\$1.2)	\$19.4	\$106.8	(\$24.2)	(\$4.4)	\$78.3	24.7%
DAY	\$5. 9	\$0.8	(\$5.3)	(\$0.2)	\$1.5	\$16.3	(\$4.5)	(\$0.8)	\$11.0	13.4%
DUKE	\$24.2	\$4.9	(\$8.4)	(\$0.8)	\$20.8	\$25.8	(\$7.1)	(\$1.2)	\$17.4	119.5%
DUQ	\$5.6	\$0.2	(\$4.0)	(\$0.2)	\$1.8	\$10.4	(\$3.4)	(\$0.9)	\$6.2	29.6%
DOM	\$7.7	\$85.7	(\$37.9)	(\$1.9)	\$55.5	\$121.5	(\$32.9)	(\$0.6)	\$87.9	63.1%
DPL	\$28.6	\$8.1	(\$6.7)	(\$0.9)	\$30.1	\$46.9	(\$5.8)	(\$4.9)	\$36.2	83.2%
EKPC	\$3.0	\$0.0	(\$4.2)	(\$0.1)	(\$1.1)	\$12.6	(\$3.6)	(\$0.6)	\$8.4	(13.0%)
EXT	\$0.5	\$0.0	(\$13.8)	(\$0.0)	(\$13.3)	\$24.8	(\$13.8)	\$0.0	\$11.0	(120.7%)
JCPLC	\$6.0	\$0.0	(\$6.1)	(\$0.2)	(\$0.0)	\$19.0	(\$5.0)	(\$1.1)	\$12.9	(0.2%)
MEC	\$3.5	\$0.7	(\$5.3)	(\$0.1)	(\$1.1)	\$21.7	(\$4.6)	(\$0.7)	\$16.5	(6.9%)
OVEC	\$0.0	\$0.0	(\$0.3)	\$0.0	(\$0.3)	\$1.2	(\$0.3)	\$0.0	\$0.9	(28.8%)
PECO	\$15.0	\$0.2	(\$10.9)	(\$0.4)	\$4.2	\$35.8	(\$9.1)	(\$1.8)	\$24.9	17.0%
PE	\$6.1	\$4.9	(\$6.5)	(\$0.3)	\$4.5	\$22.9	(\$5.7)	(\$0.8)	\$16.4	27.3%
PEPCO	\$25.9	\$3.8	(\$8.3)	(\$0.8)	\$21.4	\$28.8	(\$6.9)	(\$1.3)	\$20.5	104.5%
PPL	\$24.3	\$3.4	(\$11.5)	(\$0.7)	\$16.1	\$42.3	(\$9.6)	(\$1.9)	\$30.8	52.4%
PSEG	\$24.7	\$0.0	(\$13.9)	(\$0.7)	\$10.8	\$38.9	(\$11.9)	(\$2.0)	\$25.0	43.2%
REC	\$0.2	\$0.0	(\$0.6)	(\$0.0)	(\$0.4)	\$2.6	(\$0.5)	(\$0.1)	\$2.1	(17.0%)
Total	\$373.9	\$179.3	(\$256.2)	(\$14.5)	\$297.0	\$899.6	(\$219.9)	(\$36.3)	\$643.4	46.2%

ARR Allocation MW Share: 2020/2021

	Stage 1A		Stage 1E	3	Stage 2)	Total	
	Out of Zone	In Zone						
AECO	28.7%	35.6%	2.7%	26.1%	1.6%	5.4%	33.0%	67.0%
AEP	7.9%	65.8%	0.9%	23.2%	0.0%	2.2%	8.8%	91.2%
APS	8.6%	51.8%	0.8%	35.8%	0.2%	3.0%	9.5%	90.5%
ATSI	26.3%	58.5%	2.6%	9.9%	1.1%	1.6%	30.1%	69.9%
BGE	23.8%	28.6%	0.0%	27.1%	0.1%	20.4%	23.9%	76.1%
ComEd	0.0%	71.7%	0.0%	14.5%	0.0%	13.8%	0.0%	100.0%
DAY	79.7%	2.4%	5.3%	0.3%	1.5%	10.7%	86.6%	13.4%
DEOK	42.2%	31.0%	0.1%	14.9%	0.1%	11.7%	42.5%	57.5%
DLCO	73.3%	0.3%	6.5%	2.1%	8.3%	9.5%	88.1%	11.9%
Dominion	0.7%	63.8%	0.0%	34.1%	0.0%	1.4%	0.7%	99.3%
DPL	22.9%	52.5%	2.3%	12.2%	3.0%	7.2%	28.2%	71.8%
EKPC	21.0%	46.4%	0.1%	0.0%	32.0%	0.4%	53.2%	46.8%
EXT	69.7%	0.0%	30.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
JCPL	0.9%	56.8%	0.1%	0.9%	32.3%	9.0%	33.3%	66.7%
Met-Ed	23.2%	65.7%	0.1%	3.5%	0.4%	7.1%	23.7%	76.3%
PECO	11.1%	44.1%	2.9%	29.6%	2.1%	10.2%	16.1%	83.9%
PENELEC	15.2%	61.8%	0.0%	13.6%	1.1%	8.3%	16.3%	83.7%
Рерсо	19.1%	30.2%	0.0%	1.6%	4.2%	44.9%	23.3%	76.7%
PPL	0.0%	77.5%	0.0%	8.9%	0.0%	13.6%	0.1%	99.9%
PSEG	27.8%	49.3%	3.3%	11.2%	3.7%	4.7%	34.8%	65.2%
RECO	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Total	13.6%	54.9%	1.1%	20.0%	2.3%	8.1%	16.9%	83.1%



ARR Allocation Revenue Share: 2020/2021

	Stage 1A		1A	Stage 1E	3	Stage 2		Total		
	Out	of Zone	In Zone	Out of Zone	In Zone	Out of Zone	In Zone	Out of Zone	In Zone	
AECO		43.6%	31.5%	1.7%	18.4%	1.4%	3.4%	46.7%	53.3%	
AEP		15.2%	72.6%	0.2%	11.1%	0.0%	0.9%	15.4%	84.6%	
APS		17.8%	57.6%	0.4%	22.8%	0.1%	1.3%	18.3%	81.7%	
ATSI		85.3%	12.9%	0.0%	0.6%	1.0%	0.2%	86.3%	13.7%	
BGE		83.8%	21.1%	0.0%	-3.5%	0.1%	-1.4%	83.9%	16.1%	
ComEd		0.0%	96.9%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	100.0%	
DAY		93.8%	0.0%	5.1%	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%	99.5%	0.5%	
DEOK		78.2%	15.5%	0.1%	2.1%	0.0%	4.0%	78.4%	21.6%	
DLCO		88.9%	0.0%	2.7%	-0.2%	4.9%	3.8%	96.5%	3.5%	
Dominion		2.0%	83.6%	0.0%	13.3%	0.0%	1.1%	2.0%	98.0%	
DPL		32.1%	51.4%	2.1%	9.3%	1.9%	3.2%	36.0%	64.0%	
EKPC		73.3%	13.6%	0.0%	0.0%	12.9%	0.1%	86.3%	13.7%	
EXT		69.7%	0.0%	30.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	
JCPL		1.3%	9.3%	-0.1%	0.2%	87.6%	1.8%	88.7%	11.3%	
Met-Ed		31.1%	68.4%	0.0%	-0.5%	0.2%	0.7%	31.4%	68.6%	
PECO		6.4%	70.0%	1.7%	14.9%	2.5%	4.5%	10.6%	89.4%	
PENELEC)	39.9%	50.4%	0.0%	6.2%	0.0%	3.4%	39.9%	60.1%	
Рерсо		74.8%	10.1%	0.0%	0.2%	11.1%	3.8%	85.9%	14.1%	
PPL		0.0%	93.5%	0.0%	5.8%	0.0%	0.8%	-0.1%	100.1%	
PSEG		39.7%	49.7%	1.3%	5.3%	2.7%	1.3%	43.7%	56.3%	
RECO		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	
Total		33.9%	54.8%	0.4%	7.5%	2.1%	1.2%	36.5%	63.5%	

20



Monitoring Analytics, LLC 2621 Van Buren Avenue Suite 160 Eagleville, PA 19403 (610) 271-8050

MA@monitoringanalytics.com www.MonitoringAnalytics.com

