

VOM Examples

MRC

May 24, 2018

Joel Romero Luna

Catherine Tyler

Joe Bowring



Monitoring Analytics

Comments on PJM Cost Impacts

- **A full cost impact of either proposal on LMP requires a full rerunning of the market and assumptions about offer behavior.**
 - **Simplistic estimates are misleading.**
- **Per the SOM, 0.3 percent of annual MWh are offer capped for energy.**
 - **When price offer greater than cost offer.**
 - **Approximately one third of generators offer below the cost-based offer.**
 - **Price offers increase when cost offers increase.**
- **PJM ignores start and no load costs.**
- **PJM ignores cyclic starting and peaking factors.**

Comments on PJM Cost Impacts

- **PJM approved maintenance adders already exceed EIA benchmarks, without major maintenance and overhauls.**
 - **Average CT approved adder: \$48.42/MWh.**
 - 45 percent of reviewed CTs have an adder between 1x and 10x EIA's \$3.50/MWh.
 - 16 percent of reviewed CTs have an adder greater than 10x EIA's \$3.50/MWh.
 - **Average CC approved adder: \$3.59/MWh**
 - 19 percent of reviewed CTs have an adder between 1x and 20x EIA's \$3.50/MWh.

Potential Impacts Ignored by PJM

- In 2017, 7,756 GWh were made whole via day-ahead uplift. The average payment was \$9.88/MWh. An increase of \$1/MWh results in an increase of \$8 million in uplift.
- In 2017, 6,357 GWh were made whole via balancing operating reserves (uplift). The average payment was \$9.00/MWh. An increase of \$1/MWh results in an increase of \$6 million in uplift.
- In 2017, an increase of \$1/MWh in offers results in an increase of \$14 million in uplift.

Potential Impacts Ignored by PJM

- High maintenance costs will allow more units to be offered above \$1,000 per MWh. For example, a 100 MW unit with a \$6 per MWh maintenance adder and a 2 MW peaking segment can calculate a \$900 per MWh adder.
 - \$6/MWh is equivalent to \$600/hour for a 100 MW CT
 - Manual 15 peaking maintenance adder equation:

Peak Incremental Maintenance Rate

$$= \frac{\text{Cyclic Peaking Factor}}{\text{Peak Pickup}} * \text{Equivalent Hourly Maintenance Cost}$$

- $3 / (2 \text{ MW}) * \$600/\text{hour} = \900 per MWh

Maintenance Cost Calculation Overview

- **Total maintenance dollars calculated annually**
- **Maintenance dollars are applied to energy MWh, starts, hourly no load, and peaking energy MWh.**
- **Starts and peaking energy hours use a multiplier to reach an equivalent service hour.**
- **PJM allows generators to adjust their allocation of maintenance dollars among starts, hours, energy, and peaking energy.**

Example 1: CONE CT

CONE CT		
ICAP	MW	205
Total Maintenance	\$	2,998,740

Assumptions

Run Hours	hours	2,952
Peaking hours	hours	20
Starts	starts	170
Peaking Segment	MW	10

Example 1: CONE CT

		CONE CT
ICAP	MW	205
Total Maintenance	\$	2,998,740
<i>Assumptions</i>		
Run Hours	hours	2,952
Peaking hours	hours	20
Starts	starts	170
Peaking Segment	MW	10

		CONE CT
<i>Maintenance Cost Allocation per Manual 15</i>		
In \$ per hour (applied to the no load cost)	\$/hour	636
In \$ per start (applied to the start cost)	\$/start	6,364
In \$ per MWh (applied to peaking MW)	\$/MWh	191

Example 1: CONE CT

		CONE CT
ICAP	MW	205
Total Maintenance	\$	2,998,740
<i>Assumptions</i>		
Run Hours	hours	2,952
Peaking hours	hours	20
Starts	starts	170
Peaking Segment	MW	10

		CONE CT
<i>Maintenance Cost Allocation per Manual 15</i>		
In \$ per hour (applied to the no load cost)	\$/hour	636
In \$ per start (applied to the start cost)	\$/start	6,364
In \$ per MWh (applied to peaking MW)	\$/MWh	191
<i>Maintenance Cost Allocation Result</i>		
Effective Cost in \$/MWh for a 2 hour run		
Allocation per Manual 15	\$/MWh	27.94
All allocated in the incremental curve	\$/MWh	4.96
All allocated in the start cost	\$/MWh	43.02

Example 2: \$3.50/MWh

		EIA CT
ICAP	MW	150
Total Maintenance	\$	1,000,000

Assumptions

Run Hours	hours	1,905
Peaking hours	hours	20
Starts	starts	170
Peaking Segment	MW	15

Example 2: \$3.50/MWh

		EIA CT
ICAP	MW	150
Total Maintenance	\$	1,000,000
<i>Assumptions</i>		
Run Hours	hours	1,905
Peaking hours	hours	20
Starts	starts	170
Peaking Segment	MW	15

		EIA CT
<i>Maintenance Cost Allocation per Manual 15</i>		
In \$ per hour (applied to the no load cost)	\$/hour	273
In \$ per start (applied to the start cost)	\$/start	2,729
In \$ per MWh (applied to peaking MW)	\$/MWh	54.57

Example 2: \$3.50/MWh

		EIA CT
ICAP	MW	150
Total Maintenance	\$	1,000,000
<i>Assumptions</i>		
Run Hours	hours	1,905
Peaking hours	hours	20
Starts	starts	170
Peaking Segment	MW	15

		EIA CT
<i>Maintenance Cost Allocation per Manual 15</i>		
In \$ per hour (applied to the no load cost)	\$/hour	273
In \$ per start (applied to the start cost)	\$/start	2,729
In \$ per MWh (applied to peaking MW)	\$/MWh	54.57
<i>Maintenance Cost Allocation Result</i>		
Effective Cost in \$/MWh for a 2 hour run		
Allocation per Manual 15	\$/MWh	16.37
All allocated in the incremental curve	\$/MWh	3.50
All allocated in the start cost	\$/MWh	19.61

Monitoring Analytics, LLC

2621 Van Buren Avenue

Suite 160

Eagleville, PA

19403

(610) 271-8050

MA@monitoringanalytics.com

www.MonitoringAnalytics.com

