Manual 11 Offer Cap Verification

MRC Oct. 26, 2017 Joe Bowring Catherine Tyler



Verification for Demand Response

- Order 831 removes the DR offer cap, as long as offers are based on cost.
- PJM Manuals do not define DR cost-based offers.
- PJM Manuals do not define retail DR cost-based offers.
- Without clear standards, DR costs are unverifiable.
 - Examples are not standards

Verification for Demand Response

- Manual 11 should outline a process for DR cost submission and review, like generation.
- Manual 11 should make clear that both PJM and the IMM will review and reject unverifiable DR offers over \$1,000/MWh.
- PJM and the IMM developed a Manual 11 exception process for generation, incorporating IMM review and use of data from MIRA.
- There is no parallel process for DR.

Verification for Demand Response

- PJM and the IMM may disagree on DR exceptions.
 - IMM will need to take issues to FERC.
 - Joint approval is a better option.

Monitoring Analytics, LLC 2621 Van Buren Avenue Suite 160 Eagleville, PA 19403 (610) 271-8050 MA@monitoringanalytics.com www.MonitoringAnalytics.com

Background



Offer Caps and Market Power

- Efficient markets require that prices reflect the short run marginal cost of production.
- Offer caps and market power mitigation provide protection against inefficiently high prices when a supplier has market power.
- The goal is the right price. Not too high and not too low.

Order 831

- FERC requires RTOs to develop a new process:
 - RTO or IMM verified cost-based offers
 - \$1,000/MWh to \$2,000/MWh prior to market clearing
 - Over \$2,000/MWh for make whole payments
 - Verification also applies to demand response.
 - Build on existing market power mitigation practices for offer verification.

Docket ER17-1567

Existing Practices for Cost Verification

- Fuel Cost Policies
- IMM after the fact verification
- IMM cost input data collection (MIRA)

Issues with Compliance Filing

- Not building on existing practices
- Issues with Automated Screening Process
 - Not using fuel cost policy information
 - For example, not using gas hubs in policy
 - Not using all Manual 15 cost inputs
 - For example, not including emissions or VOM costs
 - Inaccurate formulation
- No PJM or IMM ex ante verification of demand response cost based offers

Issues with Offer Verification Process

- Accurate, timely verification requires a clear streamlined process.
 - Should specify data used from MIRA
 - Should require cost inputs other than fuel cost prior to operating day
- IMM verification of cost-based offer compliance
 - Like penalty language, IMM and PJM agreement should be required before offers are used.
- Demand Response costs should be in Manual 15.
 - Same level of review as generation

Uplift Payment Eligibility: M11 Attachment D

- Att. D covers uplift payments for approved exceptions to screening process and offers over \$2,000 per MWh.
- M11 Att. D does not make clear that:
 - The unit must operate in real time for eligibility.
 - All parts of the cost offer will be verified (incremental energy, start up, no load).
 - IMM verification of the cost offer should be required.