Market Path / Interface Pricing Point Alignment

MIC July 12, 2017 Joseph Bowring John Dadourian



Topics

- Interface Pricing
- Circuitous Scheduling
- Sham Scheduling
- Inefficient Path Scheduling
- Proposed Solution
- Interface Pricing Point Assignments





- Transactions can be scheduled to an interface based on a contract transmission path.
- Pricing points are developed and applied based on the electrical impact of the external power source on PJM tie lines, regardless of contract transmission path.



PJM establishes prices for transactions with external balancing authorities by assigning interface pricing points to individual balancing authorities based on the Generation Control Area (source) and Load Control Area (sink) as specified on the NERC Tag.





- The current approach will correctly identify the interface pricing point only if the market participant provides the complete path in the NERC Tag.
- The current approach will not correctly identify the interface pricing point if market participants submit external energy schedules that are inconsistent with the actual power flow and that result in energy settlements that are inconsistent with the actual power flow.



- Scheduling energy inconsistent with power flows creates harmful market inefficiencies, regardless of intent.
- Intentionally scheduling energy inconsistent with power flows can constitute prohibited market manipulation.



- On July 29, 2014, PJM and the Market Monitor submitted a Joint Statement on Interchange Scheduling to PJM Stakeholders.
- The joint statement served notice to the PJM market participants that various forms of scheduling behavior may be subject to referral to the FERC Office of Enforcement.



- While this notice has been effective in reducing the volumes of various scheduling strategies in the short term, it has not eliminated such strategies.
- Explicit and transparent rules are needed as a long term solution so that all market participants understand clearly what behavior is acceptable and what behavior is prohibited.



Topics

- Interface Pricing
- Circuitous Scheduling
- Sham Scheduling
- Inefficient Path Scheduling
- Proposed Solution
- Interface Pricing Point Assignments



- On August 21, 2008, the Commission approved the NYISO's Exigent Circumstances Filing, permitting the NYISO to ban eight identified paths.
- NYISO's rule states that NYISO shall not permit market participants to schedule external transactions over the eight defined scheduling paths.



- The eight paths are:
 - NYIS-ONT-MISO-PJM
 - NYIS-PJM-MISO-ONT
 - ONT-MISO-PJM-NYIS
 - PJM-MISO-ONT-NYIS
 - PJM-NYIS-ONT-MISO
 - MISO-ONT-NYIS-PJM
 - ONT-NYIS-PJM-MISO
 - MISO-PJM-NYIS-ONT





11

For each of the eight banned paths, there is a more direct scheduling path available for market participants to use that is consistent with the actual flow of power between the identified source and the sink points.









- Engaging in circuitous scheduling avoids the validation checks performed by the NYISO for the eight banned paths.
- Circuitous scheduling hides the actual source of generation from the load balancing authority.
- When unable to identify the source of the energy, the load balancing authority does not know how the power will flow to the load.
 - This creates loop flows and inaccurate pricing for transactions.
 Monitoring Analytics





Topics

- Interface Pricing
- Circuitous Scheduling
- Sham Scheduling
- Inefficient Path Scheduling
- Proposed Solution
- Interface Pricing Point Assignments



Sham Scheduling refers to a scheduling method under which a market participant submits external energy schedules in a manner that results in energy settlements inconsistent with the actual power flow.



- Sham Scheduling can be achieved by
 - Breaking a single transaction, from generation to load, into artificial segments for the purpose of receiving higher prices than are consistent with the actual complete transaction and inconsistent with the actual power flow (e.g. Circuitous Scheduling).

- Sham Scheduling can also be achieved by:
 - Submitting individual simultaneous transactions, each with a separate Tag, that together form a complete path, from generation to load, that results in receiving higher prices than are consistent with the actual complete transaction and inconsistent with the actual power flow.
 - Submitting multiple transactions that are in the opposite direction of a portion of a larger transaction schedule so as to cancel out the physical flow that would otherwise occur.







Transaction 1: ONT: Pay \$15 for export to MISO MISO: Receive \$20 for import from ONT MISO: Pay \$25 for export to PJM PJM: Receive \$30 for import from ONT TOTAL: -\$15 + \$20 - \$25 + \$30 = \$10

Transaction 2:

PJM: Pay \$15 for export to MISO MISO: Receive \$25 for import from PJM TOTAL: -\$15 + \$25 = \$10

TOTAL for Both Transactions: \$10 + \$10 = \$20





The resulting interchange is an import to MISO from ONT. Without Sham Scheduling, the settlement would be:

ONT: Pay \$15 for export to MISO MISO: Receive \$20 for import from ONT TOTAL: -\$15 + \$20 = \$5



- No change in generation in PJM, yet settlements occur
 - Similar to Southeast / Southwest interface issue, where market participants took advantage of price differences at interfaces
- No additional transmission charges
 - Already pay for the ONT-MISO transmission
 - No MISO charge either way: SPOT_IN or RTOR
 - No PJM charge: RTOR





- Sham scheduling allows market participants to exploit the discrepancy between scheduled and actual power flows.
- Sham scheduling hides the actual source of generation from the load balancing authority.
- When unable to identify the source of the energy, the load balancing authority does not know how the power will flow to the load.
 - This creates loop flows and inaccurate pricing for transactions.





Topics

- Interface Pricing
- Circuitous Scheduling
- Sham Scheduling
- Inefficient Path Scheduling
- Proposed Solution
- Interface Pricing Point Assignments



Inefficient Path Scheduling

- Inefficient Path Scheduling refers to a scheduling method under which a market participant chooses a contract path that unnecessarily wheels through a balancing authority when a more direct scheduling path could be used.
- Similar to the Sham Scheduling and Circuitous Path Scheduling methods, market participants can schedule transactions on inefficient market paths to take advantage of interface price differences.





Topics

- Interface Pricing
- Circuitous Scheduling
- Sham Scheduling
- Inefficient Path Scheduling
- Proposed Solution
- Interface Pricing Point Assignments



- Explicitly ban the breaking of transactions into segments, or submitting individual simultaneous transactions, that result in different interface pricing points than would have been received on a continuous transaction.
- Explicitly ban market participants from submitting multiple transactions in the PJM Energy Market that represent offsetting segments, in part or in whole, during the same interval.



Explicitly prohibit market participants from submitting transactions in the PJM Energy Market on inefficient paths, where a more direct market path is available.



- Require market participants scheduling in the PJM Energy Market to schedule energy on market paths that correspond to the interface pricing point they will receive in real time based on the expected power flows as identified by the GCA and LCA on the NERC Tag.
- This can be determined using the "Pricing Point Calculator."¹

¹ https://exschedule.pjm.com/exschedule/pages/public/pricing-point-calculator.jsf





 If the contract path does not correspond to the appropriate interface pricing point, PJM would deny the transaction on an ex ante basis.



- Implement after the fact adjustments to ensure that market participants cannot benefit from the identified behavior:
 - For scheduling activity that results in an import to PJM, an after the fact adjustment will be made to adjust the settlement price for the transaction to be the lowest of: \$0; the interface price assigned as a result of the scheduling activity; or the interface price that would have been assigned if the complete path were submitted as a single transaction.





 For scheduling activity that results in an export from PJM, an after the fact adjustment will be made to adjust the settlement price for the transaction to be the higher of: the interface price assigned as a result of the scheduling activity; or the interface price that would have been assigned if the complete path were submitted as a single transaction.





Topics

- Interface Pricing
- Circuitous Scheduling
- Sham Scheduling
- Inefficient Path Scheduling
- Proposed Solution
- Interface Pricing Point Assignments





Interface Pricing Point Assignments

 Current interface pricing assignments should be reviewed and modified as necessary to ensure that prices reflect the expected actual flow of energy.



Interface Pricing Point Assignments



Interface Pricing Point Assignments



Monitoring Analytics, LLC 2621 Van Buren Avenue Suite 160 Eagleville, PA 19403 (610) 271-8050 MA@monitoringanalytics.com www.MonitoringAnalytics.com

