2009 Quarterly State of the Market Report for PJM:

January through September

Monitoring Analytics, LLC Independent Market Monitor for PJM

November 13, 2009



PREFACE

PJM has filed to amend Attachment M (PJM Market Monitoring Plan) to the PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff in order to provide, consistent with Order No. 719,¹ a requirement that the Market Monitoring Unit (MMU) "report on aggregate market performance on no less than a quarterly basis to Commission staff, to staff of interested state commissions, and to the management and board of directors of the RTOs or ISOs."² Upon acceptance by the Commission, Section VI.A of Attachment M would read:

The Market Monitoring Unit shall prepare and submit contemporaneously to the Commission, the State Commissions, the PJM Board, PJM Management and to the PJM Members Committee, annual state-of-the-market reports on the state of competition within, and the efficiency of, the PJM Markets, and quarterly reports that update selected portions of the annual report and which may focus on certain topics of particular interest to the Market Monitoring Unit. The quarterly reports shall not be as extensive as the annual reports. In its annual, guarterly and other reports, the Market Monitoring Unit may make recommendations regarding any matter within its purview. The annual reports shall, and the quarterly reports may, address, among other things, the extent to which prices in the PJM Markets reflect competitive outcomes, the structural competitiveness of the PJM Markets, the effectiveness of bid mitigation rules, and the effectiveness of the PJM Markets in signaling infrastructure investment. The annual reports shall, and the quarterly reports may include recommendations as to whether changes to the Market Monitoring Unit or the Plan are required.3

Although the tariff language is not yet approved,⁴ Monitoring Analytics, LLC, which serves as the Market Monitoring Unit defined in Attachment M, has determined to meet the requirement for a quarterly report on the basis of the requirement established in Order No. 719. Accordingly, the MMU submits this 2009 Quarterly State of the Market Report for PJM: January through September.

^{1 125} FERC ¶61,071 at PP 395, 413-19 (2008), order on reh'g, 128 FERC ¶61,059.

^{2 125} FERC ¶61,071 at PP 395, 413-19 (2008), order on reh'g, 128 FERC ¶61,059.

³ PJM OATT, "Attachment M: PJM Market Monitoring Plan," Sixth Revised Sheet No. 452–452A (proposed to become effective June 29, 2009).

⁴ On May 27, 2009, Monitoring Analytics filed a Protest and Compliance Proposal of the Independent Market Monitor for PJM in FERC Docket No. ER09-1036. The Compliance Proposal addressed issues related to the independence of the market monitoring function but contained no proposed modifications of the provision quoted above.





TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE I	Frequently Mitigated Unit and Associated Unit Adders – Component of Price	19
SECTION 1 – INTRODUCTION1	Market Performance: Load and LMP	20
PJM Market Background	Load	20
Total Price of Wholesale Power	Locational Marginal Price (LMP)	23
Conclusions	Load and Spot Market	37
Recommendations	Virtual Markets	38
New Recommendations in Quarter Three	Demand-Side Response (DSR)	38
Continuing Recommendations from Quarter Two3	Emergency Program	38
SECTION 2 – ENERGY MARKET, PART 15	Economic Program	39
Overview	Load Management (LM)	43
Market Structure	SECTION 3 – ENERGY MARKET, PART 2	45
Market Conduct6	Overview	45
Market Performance: Markup, Load and Locational Marginal Price 6	Net Revenue	45
Demand-Side Response7	Existing and Planned Generation	46
Conclusion	Scarcity	46
Market Structure	Credits and Charges for Operating Reserve.	48
Supply	Conclusion	49
Demand	Net Revenue	50
Market Concentration	Capacity Market Net Revenue	50
Local Market Structure and Offer Capping	New Entrant Net Revenues	50
Local Market Structure	New Entrant Combustion Turbine	52
Market Performance: Markup	New Entrant Combined Cycle	53
Real-Time Markup	New Entrant Coal Plant	53
Day-Ahead Markup	New Entrant Day-Ahead Net Revenues	54



Net Revenue Adequacy	Generator Performance
Existing and Planned Generation	Conclusion
Installed Capacity and Fuel Mix	RPM Capacity Market
Energy Production by Primary Fuel Source	Generator Performance
Operating Reserve	Generator Performance Factors
Characteristics of Credits and Charges	Generator Forced Outage Rates
Market Power Issues	SECTION 6 - ANCILLARY SERVICE MARKETS 111
SECTION 4 – INTERCHANGE TRANSACTIONS75	Overview111
Overview	Regulation Market111
Interchange Transaction Activity	Synchronized Reserve Market
Interactions with Bordering Areas76	DASR
Interchange Transaction Issues77	Black Start Services
Additional Interchange Transaction Analysis	Conclusion
Conclusion	Regulation Market
Interchange Transaction Activity	Market Structure
Aggregate Imports and Exports81	Market Performance
Interface Imports and Exports	Synchronized Reserve Market
Interface Pricing85	Market Conduct119
Interactions with Bordering Areas86	Market Performance
Operating Agreements with Bordering Areas89	Day Ahead Scheduling Reserve (DASR)
Interchange Transaction Issues	Black Start Service
Spot Import	SECTION 7 – CONGESTION123
Loop Flows	Overview 123
SECTION 5 - CAPACITY MARKETS97	Congestion Cost
Overview97	Congestion Component of LMP and Facility or Zonal Congestion 123
RPM Capacity Market	Conclusion 124



Congestion	125
Congestion Accounting	125
Total Calendar Year Congestion	125
Monthly Congestion	126
Congestion Component of LMP	126
Congested Facilities	127
Congestion by Facility Type and Voltage	127
Constraint Duration	129
Constraint Costs	130
Congestion-Event Summary for Midwest ISO Flowgates	132
Congestion-Event Summary for the 500 kV System	134
Zonal Congestion	135
Zonar Congestion	
Summary	135
Summary Details of Regional and Zonal Congestion	
Summary Details of Regional and Zonal Congestion SECTION 8 – FINANCIAL TRANSMISSION AND	137
Summary	137
Summary Details of Regional and Zonal Congestion SECTION 8 – FINANCIAL TRANSMISSION AND AUCTION REVENUE RIGHTS	137155
Summary Details of Regional and Zonal Congestion SECTION 8 – FINANCIAL TRANSMISSION AND AUCTION REVENUE RIGHTS	137155155
Summary Details of Regional and Zonal Congestion SECTION 8 – FINANCIAL TRANSMISSION AND AUCTION REVENUE RIGHTS	137155155155
Summary Details of Regional and Zonal Congestion SECTION 8 – FINANCIAL TRANSMISSION AND AUCTION REVENUE RIGHTS	137155155157158
Summary. Details of Regional and Zonal Congestion. SECTION 8 – FINANCIAL TRANSMISSION AND AUCTION REVENUE RIGHTS. Overview. Financial Transmission Rights. Auction Revenue Rights Conclusion.	137155155157158
Summary Details of Regional and Zonal Congestion SECTION 8 – FINANCIAL TRANSMISSION AND AUCTION REVENUE RIGHTS Overview Financial Transmission Rights Auction Revenue Rights Conclusion Financial Transmission Rights	137155155157158159
Summary Details of Regional and Zonal Congestion SECTION 8 – FINANCIAL TRANSMISSION AND AUCTION REVENUE RIGHTS Overview Financial Transmission Rights Auction Revenue Rights Conclusion Financial Transmission Rights Patterns of Ownership	137155155157158159159
Summary Details of Regional and Zonal Congestion SECTION 8 – FINANCIAL TRANSMISSION AND AUCTION REVENUE RIGHTS Overview Financial Transmission Rights Auction Revenue Rights Conclusion Financial Transmission Rights Patterns of Ownership Market Performance	137155155157158159159166
Summary Details of Regional and Zonal Congestion SECTION 8 – FINANCIAL TRANSMISSION AND AUCTION REVENUE RIGHTS Overview Financial Transmission Rights Auction Revenue Rights Conclusion Financial Transmission Rights Patterns of Ownership Market Performance Auction Revenue Rights	137155155157158159159160166







TABLES

SECTION 1 – INTRODUCTION	Dominion Control Zone: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-27)
SECTION 2 – ENERGY MARKET, PART 1	Table 2-18 Three pivotal supplier test details for constraints located in the Dominion Control Zone: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-28)
(See 2008 SOM, Table 2-2)	Table 2-19 Three pivotal supplier results summary for constraints located in the AECO Control Zone: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-31)
Table 2-3 Annual offer-capping statistics: Calendar years 2005 through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-5)	Table 2-20 Three pivotal supplier test details for constraints located in the AECO Control Zone: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-32)
Table 2-4 Offer-capped unit statistics: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-6)	Table 2-21 Three pivotal supplier results summary for constraints located in the DLCO Control Zone: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM,
January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-7)	Table 2-33)
Table 2-7 Three pivotal supplier results summary for the East and Central interfaces: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-13) 11	Table 2-34)
Table 2-8 Three pivotal supplier test details for the East and Central interfaces: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-15)	SOM, Table 2-35)
PSEG Control Zone: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-17)	ComEd Control Zone: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-36)
Table 2-10 Three pivotal supplier test details for constraints located in the PSEG Control Zone: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-18)	PECO Control Zone: January through September, 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-37)
Table 2-11 Three pivotal supplier results summary for constraints located in the AP Control Zone: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM,	PECO Control Zone: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-38)
Table 2-19)	Table 2-27 Three pivotal supplier results summary for constraints located in the BGE Control Zone: January through September 2009 (New Table) 14 Table 2-28 Three pivotal supplier test details for constraints located in the
Table 2-13 Three pivotal supplier results summary for constraints located in the AEP Control Zone: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-21)	BGE Control Zone: January through September 2009 (New Table)
Table 2-14 Three pivotal supplier test details for constraints located in the AEP Control Zone: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM,	the Pepco Control Zone: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-39)
Table 2-22)	Pepco Control Zone: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-40)
SOM, Table 2-25)	LMP (By parent company): January through September 2009 (See 2007 SOM, Table 2-31)
PENELEC Control Zone: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-26)	Table 2-32 Type of fuel used (By real-time marginal units): January through September 2009 (See 2007 SOM, Table 2-32)
	January through September 2009 (See 2007 SOM, Table 2-34)



Table 2-34 The markup component of the overall PJM real-time, load-weighted, average LMP by primary fuel type and unit type: January through September 2009	16	Table 2-56 Zonal real-time, annual, load-weighted, average LMP (Dollars per MWh): January through September 2008 and 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-54)	25
Table 2-35 Monthly markup components of load-weighted LMP: January through September 2009 (See 2007 SOM, Table 2-35)		Table 2-57 Jurisdiction real-time, annual, load-weighted, average LMP (Dollars per MWh): January through September 2008 and 2009 (See 2008 SOM,	
Table 2-36 Average real-time zonal markup component: January through	17	Table 2-55).	26
September 2009 (See 2007 SOM, Table 2-36)		Table 2-58 PJM real-time, fuel-cost-adjusted, load-weighted LMP (Dollars per MWh): January through September 2009, year-over-year method (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-56)	26
Table 2-38 Marginal unit contribution to PJM day-ahead, annual, load-weighted LMP (By parent company): January through September 2009 (See 2007		Table 2-59 Components of PJM annual, load-weighted, average LMP: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-57)	27
SOM, Table 2-31)	17	Table 2-60 PJM day-ahead, simple average LMP (Dollars per MWh): Calendar years 2005 through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-61)	27
September 2009 (See 2007 SOM, Table 2-32)	17	Table 2-61 Zonal day-ahead, simple average LMP (Dollars per MWh): January through September 2008 and 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-62)	28
January through September 2009 (See 2007 SOM, Table 2-34)	18	Table 2-62 Day-ahead, simple average LMP (Dollars per MWh) by jurisdiction: January through September 2008 and 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-63)	
weighted, average LMP by primary fuel type and unit type: January through September 2009 (New Table)	18	Table 2-63 PJM day-ahead, load-weighted, average LMP (Dollars per MWh): Calendar years 2005 through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-64)	
Table 2-42 Monthly markup components of day-ahead, load-weighted LMP: January through September 2009 (See 2007 SOM, Table 2-35)	18	Table 2-64 Zonal day-ahead, load-weighted, average LMP (Dollars per MWh): January through September 2008 and 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-65)	29
Table 2-43 Day-ahead, average, zonal markup component: January through September 2009 (See 2007 SOM, Table 2-36)	19	Table 2-65 Jurisdiction day-ahead, load weighted LMP (Dollars per MWh): January through September 2008 and 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-66)	29
Table 2-44 Average, day-ahead markup (By price category): January through September 2009 (See 2007 SOM, Table 2-37)		Table 2-66 Components of PJM day-ahead, annual, load-weighted, average LMP: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-57)	
Table 2-45 Frequently mitigated units and associated units (By month): January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-42)	19	Table 2-67 PJM real-time, simple average LMP components (Dollars per MWh): Calendar years 2006 through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-67)	30
Table 2-46 PJM real-time average load: Calendar years 2000 through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-44)		Table 2-68 Zonal real-time, simple average LMP components (Dollars per MWh): January through September 2008 and 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-68)	
Table 2-47 Monthly minimum, average and maximum of PJM hourly THI: Cooling periods of 2008 and 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-45)		Table 2-69 Hub real-time, simple average LMP components (Dollars per MWh): January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-69)	
Table 2-48 PJM day-ahead average load: Calendar years 2005 through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-46)		Table 2-70 Zonal and PJM real-time, annual, load-weighted, average LMP components (Dollars per MWh): January through September 2009 (See	
Table 2-49 Cleared day-ahead and real-time load (MWh): January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-47)		2008 SOM, Table 2-70)	31
Table 2-50 Day-ahead and real-time generation (MWh): January through		MWh): 2006 through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-71)	31
September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-48)		Table 2-72 Zonal day-ahead, simple average LMP components (Dollars per MWh): January through September 2008 and 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-72)	32
Table 2-52 Zonal real-time, simple average LMP (Dollars per MWh): January through September 2008 and 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-50)		Table 2-73 Zonal and PJM day-ahead, load-weighted, average LMP components (Dollars per MWh): January through September 2009 (See	
Table 2-53 Jurisdiction real-time, simple average LMP (Dollars per MWh):		2008 SOM, Table 2-73)	33
January through September 2008 and 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-51) Table 2-54 Hub real-time, simple average LMP (Dollars per MWh): January	24	Table 2-74 Marginal loss costs by type (Dollars (Millions)): January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-74)	33
through September 2008 and 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-52)	24	Table 2-75 Marginal loss costs by control zone and type (Dollars (Millions)): January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-75)	33
MWh): Calendar years 2000 through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-53)	25	Table 2-76 Monthly marginal loss costs by control zone (Dollars (Millions)): January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-76)	
		Table 2-77 Type of day-ahead marginal units: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-77)	



Table 2-78 Day-Ahead and Real-Time Energy Market LMP (Dollars per MWh): January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-78)	Table 3-2 Capacity revenue by PJM zones (Dollars per MW-year): January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 3-4)50
Table 2-79 Day-Ahead and Real-Time Energy Market LMP (Dollars per MWh): Calendar years 2000 through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-79) 35	Table 3-3 Average delivered fuel price in PJM (Dollars per MBtu): January through September 2008 and 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 3-6)
Table 2-80 Frequency distribution by hours of PJM real-time and day-ahead LMP difference (Dollars per MWh): 2005 through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-80)	Table 3-4 PJM Real-Time Energy Market net revenue for a new entrant gas-fired CT under economic dispatch (Dollars per installed MW-year): Net revenue for January through September 2008 and 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 3-7) 51
Table 2-81 Zonal Day-Ahead and Real-Time Energy Market LMP (Dollars per MWh): January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-81) 37	Table 3-5 PJM Real-Time Energy Market net revenue for a new entrant gas-fired CC under economic dispatch (Dollars per installed MW-year): Net revenue for
Table 2-82 Jurisdiction Day-Ahead and Real-Time Energy Market LMP (Dollars per MWh): January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-82) 37	January through September 2008 and 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 3-7) 51 Table 3-6 PJM Real-Time Energy Market net revenue for a new entrant CP under
Table 2-83 Monthly average percentage of real-time self-supply load, bilateral-supply load and spot-supply load based on parent companies: 2008 through	economic dispatch (Dollars per installed MW-year): Net revenue for January through September 2008 and 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 3-7)
September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-83)	Table 3-7 Real-time PJM-wide net revenue for a CT under peak-hour, economic dispatch by market (Dollars per installed MW-year): January through September 2008 and 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 3-10)
September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-84)	Table 3-8 Real-time zonal combined net revenue from all markets for a CT under peak-hour, economic dispatch (Dollars per installed MW-year): January through September 2008 and 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 3-11)
Table 2-86 Zonal capability in the Emergency Program for the 2009 peak day through September (By option): August 10, 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-86)	Table 3-9 Real-time PJM-wide net revenue for a CC under peak-hour, economic dispatch by market (Dollars per installed MW-year): January through September 2008 and 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 3-12) 53
Table 2-87 Zonal monthly capacity credits: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-87)	Table 3-10 Real-time zonal combined net revenue from all markets for a CC under peak-hour, economic dispatch (Dollars per installed MW-year): January through September 2008 and 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 3-13) 53
Table 2-88 Economic Program registration on the last day of the month: January 2007 through September 2009 (New Table)	Table 3-11 Real-time PJM-wide net revenue for a CP under peak-hour, economic dispatch by market (Dollars per installed MW-year): January through September 2008 and 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 3-14)
Table 2-90 PJM Economic Program by zonal reduction: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-92)	Table 3-12 Real-time zonal combined net revenue from all markets for a CP under peak-hour, economic dispatch (Dollars per installed MW-year): January through September 2008 and 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 3-15) 53
Table 2-91 Settlement days submitted by month in the Economic Program: 2007, 2008 and January through September 2009 (New Table)	Table 3-13 PJM Day-Ahead Energy Market net revenue for a new entrant gas- fired CT under economic dispatch (Dollars per installed MW-year): January
Table 2-92 Distinct customers and CSPs submitting settlements in the Economic Program by month: Calendar years 2007, 2008 and January	through September 2008 and 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 3-16)
through September 2009 (New Table)	fired CC under economic dispatch (Dollars per installed MW-year): January through September 2008 and 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 3-17)
Table 2-94 Frequency distribution of Economic Program zonal, load-weighted, average LMP (By hours): January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 2-94)	Table 3-15 PJM Day-Ahead Energy Market net revenue for a new entrant CP under economic dispatch (Dollars per installed MW-year): January through September 2008 and 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 3-18)
Table 2-95 Available LM MW by program type: Delivery years 2007 through 2009 (New Table)43	Table 3-16 Real-Time and Day-Ahead Energy Market net revenues for a CT under economic dispatch (Dollars per installed MW-year): Calendar years 2000 to 2008 and January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM,
Table 2-96 Demand Response (DR) offered and cleared in RPM Base Residual Auction: Delivery years 2007 through 2012 (New Table)	Table 3-19)
SECTION 3 – ENERGY MARKET, PART 2	years 2000 to 2008 and January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 3-20)





Table 3-18 Real-Time and Day-Ahead Energy Market net revenues for a CP under economic dispatch scenario (Dollars per installed MW-year): Calendar years 2000 to 2008 and January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM,
Table 3-21)
Table 3-20 CT 20-year levelized fixed cost vs. real-time economic dispatch, zonal net revenue (Dollars per installed MW-year): January through September 2008 and 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 3-24)
Table 3-21 CC 20-year levelized fixed cost vs. real-time economic dispatch, zonal net revenue (Dollars per installed MW-year): January through September 2008 and 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 3-26)
Table 3-22 CP 20-year levelized fixed cost vs. real-time economic dispatch, zonal net revenue (Dollars per installed MW-year): January through September 2008 and 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 3-28)
Table 3-23 PJM installed capacity (By fuel source): January 1, May 31, June 1, September 30, 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 3-30)
Table 3-24 PJM generation (By fuel source (GWh)): January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 3-31)
Table 3-25 Year-to-year capacity additions: Calendar years 2000 through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 3-32)
Table 3-26 Queue comparison (MW): Calendar years 2009 vs. 2008 (See 2008 SOM, Table 3-33) 60
Table 3-27 Capacity in PJM queues (MW): At September 30, 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 3-34)
Table 3-28 Capacity additions in active or under-construction queues by control zone (MW):At September 30, 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 3-36) 61
Table 3-29 Existing PJM capacity on September 30, 2009 (By zone and unit type (MW)) (See 2008 SOM, Table 3-37)
Table 3-30 PJM capacity age (MW) (See 2008 SOM, Table 3-38)
(MW): At September 30, 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 3-39)
Table 3-33 Capacity factor of wind units in PJM, January through September 2009 (New Table)
Table 3-34 Wind resources in Real-Time offering at a negative price in PJM, June through September 2009 (New Table)
Table 3-35 Monthly operating reserve charges: January through September 2008 and 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 3-45)
Table 3-36 Regional balancing charges allocation: January through September 2008 and 2009 (New Table)65
Table 3-37 Monthly balancing operating reserve deviations (MWh): January through September 2008 and 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 3-46)
Table 3-38 Regional charges determinants (MWh): January through September 2009 (New Table)
Table 3-39 Average regional balancing operating reserve rates: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 3-48)
Table 3-40 Credits by month (By operating reserve market): January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 3-49)

	Table 3-41 Credits by unit types (By operating reserve market): January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 3-50)	68
	Table 3-42 Credits by operating reserve market (By unit type): January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 3-51)	68
	Table 3-43 PJM self-scheduled, economic, noneconomic and regulation generation receiving operating reserve payments: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 3-52)	68
	Table 3-44 PJM generation (By unit type receiving operating reserve payments): January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 3-53)	
	Table 3-45 PJM unit type generation distribution (By unit type receiving operating reserve payments): January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 3-54)	69
	Table 3-46 Monthly balancing operating reserve charges and credits to generators (By location): January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM,	
	Table 3-55)	69 70
	Table 3-48 Top 10 units and organizations receiving day-ahead generator credits: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 3-58)	70
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	70
	Table 3-50 Top 10 units and organizations receiving balancing generator credits: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 3-60) Table 3-51 Top 10 units and organizations receiving lost opportunity cost	71
	credits: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 3-61) Table 3-52 Top 10 operating reserve revenue units markup: January through	71
	September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 3-62)	
	balancing credits: January through September 2009 (New Table)	72 72
	Table 3-55 Total deviations: January through September 2009 (New Table)	
	Table 3-56 Charge allocation under old operating reserve construct: January through September 2009 (New Table)	72
	Table 3-57 Actual regional credits, charges, rates and charge allocation MWh: January through September 2009 (New Table)	
	Table 3-58 Difference in total charges between old rules and new rules: January through September 2009 (New Table)	
	Table 3-59 Difference in total charges between old rules and new rules: January through September 2009 (New Table)	73
SI	ECTION 4 – INTERCHANGE TRANSACTIONS	75
	Table 4-1 Real-time scheduled net interchange volume by interface (GWh): January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 4-1)	82
	Table 4-2 Real-time scheduled gross import volume by interface (GWh):	
	January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 4-2)	83
	January shough depletiment 2000 (000 2000 0011), Idolo 7 0/	50



Table 4-4 Day-ahead net interchange volume by interface (GWh): January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 4-4)	Table 5-10 Five-year PJM EFORd data by unit type: Calendar years 2005 to 2009 (January through August) (See 2008 SOM Table 5-19)
Table 4-5 Day-ahead gross import volume by interface (GWh): January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 4-5)	Table 5-11 Outage cause contribution to PJM EFOF: January through August 2009 (See 2008 SOM Table 5-20)
Table 4-6 Day-ahead gross export volume by interface (GWh): January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 4-6)	Table 5-12 Contributions to Economic Outages: January through August 2009 (See 2008 SOM Table 5-21)
Table 4-7 Active interfaces: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 4-7)	Table 5-13 Contribution to EFOF by unit type for the most prevalent causes: January through August 2009 (See 2008 SOM Table 5-22)
Table 4-8 Active pricing points: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 4-8)	Table 5-14 Contribution to EFOF by unit type: January through August 2009 (See 2008 SOM Table 5-23)
Table 4-9 Average real-time LMP difference (PJM minus Midwest ISO): Calendar year 2008 and January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM,	Table 5-15 PJM EFORd vs. XEFORd by unit type: January through August 2009 (See 2008 SOM Table 5-24)
Table 4-9)	Table 5-16 Contribution to EFORp by unit type (Percentage points): Calendar years 2008 to 2009 (January through August) (New Table)
Calendar year 2008 and January through September 2009 (New Table) 87 Table 4-11 Con Edison and PSE&G wheeling settlement data: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 4-10)	Table 5-17 PJM EFORp data by unit type: Calendar years 2008 to 2009 (January through August) (New Table)
Table 4-12 Real-time average hourly LMP comparison for Duke, PEC and NCMPA: January 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 4-11)	Table 5-18 Contribution to PJM EFORd and EFORp by unit type: Calendar year 2009 (January through August) (New Table)
Table 4-13 Real-time average hourly LMP comparison for Duke, PEC and NCMPA: May 3, 2009 through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM,	(January through August) (New Table)
Table 4-11)	SECTION 6 – ANCILLARY SERVICE MARKETS 111 Table 6-1 PJM Regulation Market Required MW and Ratio of Supply to Requirement: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM Table 6-1) 116 Table 6-2 PJM regulation capability, daily offer and hourly eligible: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM Table 6-2)
SECTION 5 – CAPACITY MARKETS97	(See 2008 SOM Table 6-3)
Table 5-1 Internal capacity: June 1, 2008, through May 31, 2012 (See 2008 SOM, Table 5-1)	through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM Table 6-4)
Table 5-2 PJM Capacity Market load obligation served: June 1, 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Table 5-2)	through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM Table 6-5)
Table 5-3 Preliminary market structure screen results: 2008/2009 through 2012/2013 RPM Auctions (See 2008 SOM, Table 5-3)	Table 6-7 Average SRMCP when all cleared synchronized reserve is DSR: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM Table 6-8)
Table 5-4 RSI results: 2008/2009 through 2012/2013 RPM Auctions (See 2008 SOM, Table 5-4)	Table 6-8 PJM, Day-Ahead Scheduling Reserve Market MW and clearing prices: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM Table 6-9)
Table 5-5 PJM capacity summary (MW): June 1, 2008, through May 31, 2012 (See 2008 SOM, Table 5-5)	Table 6-9 2008 PJM, Day-Ahead Scheduling Reserve Market pivotal supplier results: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM Table 6-10) 121
Table 5-6 RPM load management and energy efficiency statistics: June 1, 2008 through May 31, 2012 (See 2008 SOM, Table 5-6)	Table 6-10 Black Start yearly zonal charges for network transmission use: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM Table 6-11)
Table 5-7 Capacity prices: 2007/2008 through 2012/2013 RPM Auctions (See 2008 SOM, Table 5-10)	
Table 5-8 RPM cost to load: 2008/2009 through 2012/2013 RPM Auctions (See 2008 SOM, Table 5-11)	SECTION 7 – CONGESTION
Table 5-9 Contribution to EFORd by unit type (Percentage points): Calendar years 2005 to 2009 (January through August) (See 2008 SOM Table 5-17) 107	2003 to September 2009 (See 2008 SOM Table 7-1)



ity): 142 v): 143 v):
<i>(</i>):
143
cility): 144
cility): 144
y): 145
y): 145
146
146
r): 147
'): 147
/): 148
/): 148
149
149
150
150
ty): 151
ty): 151
152
/): 153
/): 153



	Table 7-50 Dominion Control Zone top congestion cost impacts (By facility): January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM Table 7-50)	54
	Table 7-51 Dominion Control Zone top congestion cost impacts (By facility): January through September 2008 (See 2008 SOM Table 7-51)	
•	ECTION 8 – FINANCIAL TRANSMISSION AND	
7(UCTION REVENUE RIGHTS)5
		59
		60
	Table 8-3 Monthly Balance of Planning Period FTR Auction buy-bid bid and cleared volume (MW per period): January through September 2009 (See	04
		61
	,	61
	Table 8-5 Monthly Balance of Planning Period FTR Auction cleared, weighted- average, buy-bid price per period (Dollars per MWh): January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM Table 8-14)	62
	Table 8-6 Monthly Balance of Planning Period FTR Auction revenue: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM Table 8-17)	
	Table 8-7 Total annual PJM FTR revenue detail (Dollars (Millions)): Planning	
	periods 2008 to 2009 and 2009 to 2010 (See 2008 SOM Table 8-18)	04
		65
	Table 8-9 ARRs and ARR revenue automatically reassigned for network load changes by control zone: June 1, 2008, through September 30, 2009	
	(See 2008 SOM Table 8-22)	66
	Table 8-10 ARR revenue adequacy (Dollars (Millions)): Planning periods 2008 to 2009 and 2009 to 2010 (See 2008 SOM Table 8-24)	66
	Table 8-11 ARR and FTR congestion hedging: Planning periods 2008 to 2009 and 2009 to 2010 (See 2008 SOM Table 8-28)	67
	Table 8-12 ARRs and self-scheduled FTR credits as a hedge against energy charges by control zone: January through September, 2009 (New Table) 10	68
	Table 8-13 FTRs as a hedge against energy charges by control zone: January through September, 2009 (New Table)	
	Table 8-14 ARRs and FTRs as a hedge against energy charges by control zone: January through September, 2009 (New Table)	
	January and July Coptonious, Lood (1101) Idoloji i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	





FIGURES

SECTION 2 – ENERGY MARKET, PART 1	Figure 2-21 Economic Program Payments: Calendar years 2007 (without incentive payments), 2008 and January through September of 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Figure 2-20)
Figure 2-2 PJM quarter three peak-load comparison: Monday, August 10, 2009, and Friday, July 18, 2008 (See 2008 SOM, Figure 2-2)	SECTION 3 – ENERGY MARKET, PART 2
September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Figure 2-6)	Figure 3-4 Average hourly real-time generation of wind units in PJM, January through September 2009 (New Figure)
through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Figure 2-8)	Figure 3-6 Marginal fuel displacement by wind generation in PJM, January through September 2009 (New Figure)
during hours above the 95th percentile: Calendar years 2005 through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Figure 2-10)	September 2009 (New Figure)
Figure 2-13 Spot average fuel price comparison: Calendar years 2008 through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Figure 2-12)	(See 2008 SOM, Figure 3-11)
through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Figure 2-13)	Figure 3-11 Cumulative distribution of billing organizations receiving credits (By operating reserve market): January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Figure 3-13)
Figure 2-16 Day-ahead, monthly, load-weighted, average LMP: Calendar years 2005 through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Figure 2-15)	SECTION 4 – INTERCHANGE TRANSACTIONS 75
Figure 2-17 PJM day-ahead aggregate supply curves: 2009 example day (See 2008 SOM, Figure 2-16)	Figure 4-1 PJM real-time scheduled imports and exports: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Figure 4-1)
Figure 2-18 Hourly real-time minus hourly day-ahead LMP: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Figure 2-17)	Figure 4-2 PJM day-ahead scheduled imports and exports: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Figure 4-2)
Figure 2-19 Monthly average of real-time minus day-ahead LMP: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Figure 2-18)	Figure 4-3 PJM scheduled import and export transaction volume history: 1999 through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Figure 4-3)
Figure 2-20 PJM system hourly average LMP: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Figure 2-19)	Figure 4-4 PJM's footprint and its external interfaces (See 2008 SOM, Figure 4-4)



Figure 4-5 Real-time daily hourly average price difference (Midwest ISO Interface minus PJM/MISO): January through September 2009 (See 2008		September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Figure 4-17)	93
SOM, Figure 4-5)Figure 4-6 Real-time monthly hourly average Midwest ISO PJM interface price	86	Figure 4-28 Monthly up-to congestion bids in MWh: January 2006 through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Figure 4-18)	03
and the PJM/MISO price: April 2005 through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Figure 4-6)	86	Figure 4-29 PJM/MECS Interface average actual minus scheduled volume: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Figure 4-19)	
Figure 4-7 Day-ahead daily hourly average price difference (Midwest ISO		Figure 4-30 PJM/TVA average flows: January through September 2009 (See	
interface minus PJM/MISO): January through September 2009 (New Figure) Figure 4-8 Day-ahead monthly hourly average Midwest ISO PJM interface	07	2008 SOM, Figure 4-21)	94
price and the PJM/MISO price: April 2005 through September 2009 (New Figure)	87	September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Figure 4-22)	95
Figure 4-9 Real-time daily hourly average price difference (NY proxy - PJM/NYIS): January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Figure 4-7)	88	September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Figure 4-23)	95
Figure 4-10 Real-time monthly hourly average NYISO/PJM proxy bus price and the PJM/NYIS price: January 2002 through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Figure 4-8)	88	SECTION 5 – CAPACITY MARKETS	97
Figure 4-11 Day-ahead daily hourly average price difference (NY proxy -	00	Figure 5-1 History of capacity prices: Calendar year 1999 through 2012 (See 2008 SOM, Figure 5-1)	105
PJM/NYIS): January through September 2009 (New Figure)Figure 4-12 Day-ahead monthly hourly average NYISO/PJM proxy bus price	88	Figure 5-2 PJM equivalent outage and availability factors: Calendar years 2005 to 2009 (January through August) (See 2008 SOM Figure 5-7)	106
and the PJM/NYIS price: January through September 2009 (New Figure)	88	Figure 5-3 Trends in the PJM equivalent demand forced outage rate (EFORd):	
Figure 4-13 PJM, NYISO and Midwest ISO real-time border price averages: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Figure 4-9)	89	Calendar years 2005 to 2009 (January through August) (See 2008 SOM Figure 5-8)	107
Figure 4-14 PJM, NYISO and Midwest ISO day-ahead border price averages:	90	Figure 5-4 Contribution to EFORd by duty cycle: Calendar years 2005 to	107
January through September 2009 (New Figure)	09	2009 (January through August) (See 2008 SOM Figure 5-9)	107
September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Figure 4-10)	89	SECTION 6 – ANCILLARY SERVICE MARKETS 1	44
Figure 4-16 Neptune hourly average flow: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Figure 4-11)	90	Figure 6-1 PJM Regulation Market HHI distribution: January through	• •
Figure 4-17 Linden hourly average flow: September 2009 (New Figure)		September 2009 (See 2008 SOM Figure 6-1)	116
Figure 4-18 Real-time interchange volume vs. average hourly LMP available		Figure 6-2 PJM Regulation Market daily average market-clearing price, lost opportunity cost and offer price (Dollars per MWh): January through	
for Duke and PEC imports: January through September 2009 (New Figure)	90	September 2009 (See 2008 SOM Figure 6-2)	117
Figure 4-19 Real-time interchange volume vs. average hourly LMP available for Duke and PEC exports: January through September 2009 (New Figure)	91	Figure 6-3 Monthly average regulation demand (required) vs. price: January	
Figure 4-20 Day-ahead interchange volume vs. average hourly LMP available for	01	through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM Figure 6-3)	117
Duke and PEC imports: January through September 2009 (New Figure) Figure 4-21 Day-ahead interchange volume vs. average hourly LMP available for	91	through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM Figure 6-4)	117
Duke and PEC exports: January through September 2009 (New Figure)	91	Figure 6-5 RFC Synchronized Reserve Zone monthly average synchronized	
Figure 4-22 Spot import service utilization: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Figure 4-12)	92	reserve required vs. Tier 2 scheduled MW: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM Figure 6-5)	118
Figure 4-23 Monthly uncollected congestion charges: January through		Figure 6-6 RFC Synchronized Reserve Zone, Mid-Atlantic Subzone average hourly synchronized reserve required vs. Tier 2 scheduled: January through	
September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Figure 4-13)	92	September 2009 (See 2008 SOM Figure 6-6)	118
Figure 4-24 Distribution of expired ramp reservations in the hour prior to flow (Old rules (Theoretical) and new rules (Actual)) October 2006 through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Figure 4-14)	92	Figure 6-7 Cleared Mid-Atlantic Subzone RFC Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve Market seasonal HHI: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM	
Figure 4-25 PJM and Midwest ISO TLR procedures: Calendar year 2008 and	-	Figure 6-7)	118
January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Figure 4-15)	93	Figure 6-8 Tier 2 synchronized reserve average hourly offer volume (MW): January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM Figure 6-8)	119
Figure 4-26 Number of different PJM flowgates that experienced TLRs: Calendar year 2008 and January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM, Figure 4-16)	9.3	Figure 6-9 Average daily Tier 2 synchronized reserve offer by unit type (MW): January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM Figure 6-9)	
1.90.0 1.0,			

Figure 6-11 RFC Synchronized Reserve Zone, Mid-Atlantic Subzone daily average hourly synchronized reserve required, Tier 2 MW scheduled, and Tier 1 MW estimated: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM Figure 6-11)	clearing price, and DSR percent of Tier 2: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM Figure 6-10)	119
scheduled, and added: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM Figure 6-12)	average hourly synchronized reserve required, Tier 2 MW scheduled, and Tier 1 MW estimated: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM	119
Synchronized Reserve Zone, Mid-Atlantic subzone: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM Figure 6-13)	scheduled, and added: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM	120
Figure 6-14 Comparison of RFC Tier 2 synchronized reserve price and cost (Dollars per MW): January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM Figure 6-14)	Synchronized Reserve Zone, Mid-Atlantic subzone: January through	120
Figure 6-15 PJM RFC Zone Tier 2 synchronized reserve scheduled MW: January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM Figure 6-15)	Figure 6-14 Comparison of RFC Tier 2 synchronized reserve price and cost (Dollars per MW): January through September 2009 (See 2008 SOM	
Figure 8-1 Ten largest positive and negative revenue producing FTR sinks purchased in the Monthly Balance of Planning Period FTR Auctions: Planning period 2009 to 2010 through September 30, 2009 (See 2008 SOM Figure 8-7)	Figure 6-15 PJM RFC Zone Tier 2 synchronized reserve scheduled MW:	
purchased in the Monthly Balance of Planning Period FTR Auctions: Planning period 2009 to 2010 through September 30, 2009 (See 2008 SOM Figure 8-7)		
Figure 8-2 Ten largest positive and negative revenue producing FTR sources purchased in the Monthly Balance of Planning Period FTR Auctions: Planning period 2009 to 2010 through September 30, 2009 (See 2008 SOM Figure 8-8)		55
Figure 8-3 Ten largest positive and negative FTR target allocations summed by sink: Planning period 2009 to 2010 through September 30, 2009 (See 2008 SOM Figure 8-9)	 Figure 8-1 Ten largest positive and negative revenue producing FTR sinks purchased in the Monthly Balance of Planning Period FTR Auctions: Planning period 2009 to 2010 through September 30, 2009 (See 2008	
Figure 8-4 Ten largest positive and negative FTR target allocations summed by source: Planning period 2009 to 2010 through September 30, 2009 (See 2008 SOM Figure 8-10)	Figure 8-1 Ten largest positive and negative revenue producing FTR sinks purchased in the Monthly Balance of Planning Period FTR Auctions: Planning period 2009 to 2010 through September 30, 2009 (See 2008 SOM Figure 8-7) Figure 8-2 Ten largest positive and negative revenue producing FTR sources purchased in the Monthly Balance of Planning Period FTR Auctions: Planning period 2009 to 2010 through September 30, 2009 (See 2008	162
Figure 8-5 Annual FTR Auction prices vs. average day-ahead and real-time congestion for all control zones relative to the Western Hub: Planning period 2009 to 2010 through September 30, 2009 (See 2008 SOM	Figure 8-1 Ten largest positive and negative revenue producing FTR sinks purchased in the Monthly Balance of Planning Period FTR Auctions: Planning period 2009 to 2010 through September 30, 2009 (See 2008 SOM Figure 8-7) Figure 8-2 Ten largest positive and negative revenue producing FTR sources purchased in the Monthly Balance of Planning Period FTR Auctions: Planning period 2009 to 2010 through September 30, 2009 (See 2008 SOM Figure 8-8) Figure 8-3 Ten largest positive and negative FTR target allocations summed by sink: Planning period 2009 to 2010 through September 30, 2009 (See	162 162
	Figure 8-1 Ten largest positive and negative revenue producing FTR sinks purchased in the Monthly Balance of Planning Period FTR Auctions: Planning period 2009 to 2010 through September 30, 2009 (See 2008 SOM Figure 8-7) Figure 8-2 Ten largest positive and negative revenue producing FTR sources purchased in the Monthly Balance of Planning Period FTR Auctions: Planning period 2009 to 2010 through September 30, 2009 (See 2008 SOM Figure 8-8) Figure 8-3 Ten largest positive and negative FTR target allocations summed by sink: Planning period 2009 to 2010 through September 30, 2009 (See 2008 SOM Figure 8-9) Figure 8-4 Ten largest positive and negative FTR target allocations summed by source: Planning period 2009 to 2010 through September 30, 2009 (See	162 162 165
	Figure 8-1 Ten largest positive and negative revenue producing FTR sinks purchased in the Monthly Balance of Planning Period FTR Auctions: Planning period 2009 to 2010 through September 30, 2009 (See 2008 SOM Figure 8-7) Figure 8-2 Ten largest positive and negative revenue producing FTR sources purchased in the Monthly Balance of Planning Period FTR Auctions: Planning period 2009 to 2010 through September 30, 2009 (See 2008 SOM Figure 8-8) Figure 8-3 Ten largest positive and negative FTR target allocations summed by sink: Planning period 2009 to 2010 through September 30, 2009 (See 2008 SOM Figure 8-9) Figure 8-4 Ten largest positive and negative FTR target allocations summed by source: Planning period 2009 to 2010 through September 30, 2009 (See 2008 SOM Figure 8-10) Figure 8-5 Annual FTR Auction prices vs. average day-ahead and real-time congestion for all control zones relative to the Western Hub: Planning	162 162 165





SECTION 1 - INTRODUCTION

The PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. operates a centrally dispatched, competitive wholesale electric power market that, as of September 30, 2009, had installed generating capacity of 167,269 megawatts (MW) and more than 500 market buyers, sellers and traders of electricity in a region including more than 51 million people in all or parts of Delaware, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Maryland, Michigan, New Jersey, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Virginia, West Virginia and the District of Columbia. As part of that function, PJM coordinates and directs the operation of the transmission grid and plans transmission expansion improvements to maintain grid reliability in this region.

PJM Market Background

PJM operates the Day-Ahead Energy Market, the Real-Time Energy Market, the Reliability Pricing Model (RPM) Capacity Market, the Regulation Market, the Synchronized Reserve Markets, the Day Ahead Scheduling Reserve (DASR) Market and the Long Term, Annual and Monthly Balance of Planning Period Auction Markets in Financial Transmission Rights (FTRs).

PJM introduced energy pricing with cost-based offers and market-clearing nodal prices on April 1, 1998, and market-clearing nodal prices with market-based offers on April 1, 1999. PJM introduced the Daily Capacity Market on January 1, 1999, and the Monthly and Multimonthly Capacity Markets in mid-1999. PJM implemented an auction-based FTR Market on May 1, 1999. PJM implemented the Day-Ahead Energy Market and the Regulation Market on June 1, 2000. PJM modified the regulation market design and added a market in spinning reserve on December 1, 2002. PJM introduced an Auction Revenue Rights (ARR) allocation process and an associated Annual FTR Auction effective June 1, 2003. PJM introduced the RPM Capacity Market effective June 1, 2007. PJM implemented the DASR Market on June 1, 2008. ^{2, 3}

Total Price of Wholesale Power

The total price of wholesale power is the total price per MWh of purchasing wholesale electricity from PJM markets. The total price includes the price of energy, capacity, ancillary services, transmission service, administrative fees, regulatory support fees and uplift charges. This total price is an average price and actual prices vary by location.

Table 1-1 Total price per MWh: January through September 2009 (New Table)

Category	\$/MWh	Percent
Load Weighted Energy	\$39.57	73.4%
Capacity	\$9.03	16.8%
Transmission Service	\$3.54	6.6%
Operating Reserves (Uplift)	\$0.44	0.8%
Regulation	\$0.33	0.6%
Reactive	\$0.32	0.6%
PJM Administrative	\$0.31	0.6%
Transmission Cost Recovery	\$0.18	0.3%
Transmission Owner (Schedule 1A)	\$0.08	0.1%
Synchronized Reserves	\$0.03	0.1%
Black Start Services	\$0.02	0.0%
RTO Startup and Expansion	\$0.01	0.0%
NERC/RFC	\$0.01	0.0%
Load Response	\$0.00	0.0%
Total	\$53.87	100.0%

¹ See the 2008 State of the Market Report for PJM, Volume II, Appendix A, "PJM Geography" for maps showing the PJM footprint and its evolution.

² See also the 2008 State of the Market Report for PJM, Volume II, Appendix B, "PJM Market Milestones."

³ Analysis of 2009 market results requires comparison to prior years. During calendar years 2004 and 2005, PJM conducted the phased integration of five control zones: ComEd, American Electric Power (AEP), The Dayton Power & Light Company (DAY), Duquesne Light Company (DLCO) and Dominion. By convention, control zones bear the name of a large utility service provider working within their boundaries. The nomenclature applies to the geographic area, not to any single company. For additional information on the integrations, their timing and their impact on the footprint of the PJM service territory, see the 2008 State of the Market Report for PJM, Volume II, Appendix A, "PJM Geography."



Conclusions

This report assesses the competitiveness of the markets managed by PJM during the first nine months of 2009, including market structure, participant behavior and market performance. This report was prepared by and represents the analysis of the independent Market Monitoring Unit (MMU) for PJM.

The MMU concludes that in the first nine months of 2009:

- The Energy Market results were competitive;
- The Capacity Market results were competitive;
- The Regulation Market results were competitive;
- The Synchronized Reserve Market results were competitive;
- The Day Ahead Scheduling Reserve Market results were competitive; and
- The FTR Auction Market results were competitive.

Recommendations

The PJM Market Monitoring Plan provides under the heading "Market Design," in the section setting forth the MMU's function and responsibilities:

PJM is responsible for proposing for approval by the Commission, consistent with tariff procedures and applicable law, changes to the design of the PJM Markets. If the Market Monitoring Unit detects a design flaw or other problem with the PJM Markets, the Market Monitoring Unit may initiate and propose, through the appropriate stakeholder processes, changes to the design of such market. In support of this function, the Market Monitoring Unit may engage in discussions with stakeholders, State Commissions, PJM Management, or the PJM Board; participate in PJM stakeholder meetings or working groups regarding market design matters; publish proposals, reports or studies on such market design issues; and make filings with the Commission on market design issues.⁴

In this 2009 Quarterly State of the Market Report for PJM: January through September, the MMU makes specific recommendations, some of which were included in the 2009 Quarterly State of the Market Report for PJM: January through June. Further details can be found in the referenced sections.

New Recommendations in Quarter Three

- Section 3, Energy Market Part 2, at "Modifications to Scarcity Pricing" (page 47):6
 - If there is scarcity pricing in the energy market, the market design must ensure that units receiving scarcity revenues in the capacity market do not also receive scarcity revenues in the energy market. This would be double payment of scarcity revenues.
 - The current single scarcity price signal should be replaced by locational signals.
 - The objective should be to create a system that recognizes scarcity in needed reserves, that redispatches units to maintain needed reserves and to meet the need for energy, and that provides market signals consistent with this redispatch and with any failure to maintain needed reserves.

In addition, the PJM Market Monitoring Plan provides, in describing the State of the Market Report: "In such reports, the Market Monitoring Unit may make recommendations regarding any matter within its purview." Pursuant to its explicit mandate under the PJM Market Monitoring Plan, the MMU recommends retention of key market rules, specific enhancements to those rules and implementation of new rules that are required for continued competitive results in PJM markets and for continued improvements in the functioning of PJM markets. The MMU's recommendations from the 2008 State of the Market Report for PJM remain recommendations.

⁵ PJM OATT Attachment M § VI.A. See also Order No. 719 at P 357 ("IWJe do expect the MMU to advise the Commission, the RTO or ISO, and other interested entities of its views regarding any needed rule and tariff changes. Likewise, in the event an RTO or ISO fles for a proposed tariff change with which the MMU disagrees, we expect the RTO or ISO to inform the Commission of that disagreement, although not necessarily to include a written proposal with its filing."), codified at 18 C.F.R. § 35.28 (g)(3)(ii)(A) ("The Market Monitoring Unit must perform the following core functions: (A) Evaluate existing and proposed market rules, tariff provisions and market design elements and recommend proposed rule and tariff changes to the Commission-Approved independent system operator or regional transmission organizations, to the Commission's Office of Energy Market Regulation staff and to other interested entities such as state commissions and market participants").

⁶ For ease of reference, all the recommendations from the quarterly state of the market reports related to scarcity are listed here, including those from Quarter Two and Quarter Three.

⁴ PJM OATT Attachment M § IV.D.



- PJM needs to develop better measurements of available primary reserves prior to implementing a resource constraint based scarcity pricing mechanism as current measures are not adequate.
- Any scarcity pricing mechanism should also include an explicit, transparent set of rules governing the recall of energy produced by capacity resources and the defined conditions under which such recalls will occur.
- To avoid market power, the provision of reserves must continue to be based on unit characteristics included in a participant's energy offers, not on the basis of separate offers to provide reserves.
- The reserve penalty factor curve methodology also requires a mechanism to eliminate the effect of non-market administrative emergency measures used during scarcity situations.
- Section 4, Interchange Transactions, at "Loop Flows at PJM's Northern Interfaces" (page 78): The MMU recommends that a change in the interface pricing methodology be addressed directly. The MMU recommends that the parties consider the uniform adoption of a Generation Control Area (GCA) to Load Control Area (LCA) pricing methodology, similar to that used by PJM, to set transaction prices based on the actual flow of energy from source to sink. With the appropriate pricing, the incentive for market participants to schedule around specific RTOs/ISOs would be eliminated.

Continuing Recommendations from Quarter Two

- Section 2, Energy Market Part 1, at "DSR" (page 7): A substantial improvement in measurement and verification methods must be implemented in order to ensure the credibility of PJM demand-side programs. Recent changes to the settlement review process represent clear improvements, but do not go far enough.
- Section 4, Interchange Transactions, at "Up-To Congestion" (page 77):
 The MMU recommends that PJM consider eliminating all internal PJM buses for use in up-to congestion bidding. In effect, the use of specific

- buses is equivalent to creating a scheduled transaction which will not equal the actual corresponding power flow.
- Section 4, Interchange Transactions, at "Data Required for Full Loop Flow Analysis" (page 79): The MMU recommends that PJM and the Midwest ISO reiterate their initial recommendation to create an energy schedule tag archive, as this would contribute to the transparency necessary for a complete loop flow analysis. The data required for a meaningful loop flow analysis include tag data, market flow impact data, actual flowgate flow data and balancing authority ACE data for the Eastern Interconnection. The MMU recommends that the RTOs request action, and that both NERC and FERC consider taking the action required to make these data available to the RTOs and market monitors to make a full market analysis possible.
- Section 4, Interchange Transactions, at "Conclusion" (page 80): In order to manage interactions with non market areas, PJM has entered into coordination agreements with other balancing authorities as a first step. ... PJM also entered into agreements with specific balancing authorities for separate interface pricing that have been questioned with respect to transparency and equal access. PJM needs to ensure that such pricing is transparent, accurately reflects actual LMP impacts on PJM, and that all participants have access to the defined pricing when in the same position. The goal of such pricing agreements should be to replicate LMP price signals that reflect the actual loads and the actual dispatch of units.
- Section 5, Capacity market, at "Conclusion, Market Design" (page 99):
 The market rules should explicitly require that offers into the Day-Ahead Energy Market be competitive, where competitive is defined to be the short run marginal cost of the units. The short run marginal cost should reflect opportunity cost when and where appropriate.
- Section 5, Capacity Market, at "Conclusion, Market Design" (page 99):
 A unit which is not capable of supplying energy consistent with its day-ahead offer should reflect an appropriate outage rather than indicating its availability to supply energy on an emergency basis.
- Section 5, Capacity Market, at "Conclusion, Market Power" (page 101): The performance incentives in the RPM Capacity Market design need to be strengthened.



- Section 6, Ancillary Services, at "Black Start Services" (page 114): The MMU recommends that PJM, FERC and state regulators reevaluate the way in which black start service is procured in order to ensure that procurement is done in a least cost manner for the entire PJM market.
- Section 6, Ancillary Services, at "Conclusion" (page 115): The MMU recommends that the DASR Market rules be modified to incorporate the application of the three pivotal supplier test.
- Section 8, Financial Transmission and Auction Revenue Rights, at "Conclusion" (page 158): The MMU recommends that the rules for ARR reassignment when load shifts should address the fact that in the case of ARRs self scheduled as FTRs, the underlying FTRs do not follow the load while the ARRs do.