

2007 State of the Market Report



VOLUME 2: DETAILED ANALYSIS

**MARKET MONITORING UNIT
MARCH 11, 2008**



PREFACE

The Market Monitoring Unit of PJM Interconnection publishes an annual state of the market report that assesses the state of competition in each market operated by PJM, identifies specific market issues and recommends potential enhancements to improve the competitiveness and efficiency of the markets.

The *2007 State of the Market Report* is the tenth such annual report. This report is submitted to the Board of PJM Interconnection pursuant to the PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT), Attachment M (PJM Market Monitoring Plan):

The Market Monitoring Unit shall prepare and submit to the PJM Board and to the PJM Members Committee, annual state-of-the-market reports on the state of competition within, and the efficiency of, the PJM Market. In such reports, the Market Monitoring Unit may make recommendations regarding any matter within its purview. The reports to the PJM Board shall include recommendations as to whether changes to the Market Monitoring Unit or the Plan are required.¹

The Market Monitoring Unit is submitting this report simultaneously to the United States Federal Energy Regulatory Commission per the Commission's order:

The Commission has the statutory responsibility to ensure that public utilities selling in competitive bulk power markets do not engage in market power abuse and also to ensure that markets within the Commission's jurisdiction are free of design flaws and market power abuse. To that end, the Commission will expect to receive the reports and analyses of an RTO's [regional transmission organization's] market monitor at the same time they are submitted to the RTO.²

¹ PJM, OATT, "Attachment M: PJM Market Monitoring Plan," Third Revised Sheet No. 452 (Effective July 17, 2006).

² 96 FERC ¶ 61,061 (2001).





TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE	i
SECTION 1 – INTRODUCTION	1
<i>PJM Market Background</i>	1
<i>Conclusions</i>	1
<i>Recommendations</i>	2
Continued Action	2
New Action	4
SECTION 2 – ENERGY MARKET, PART 1	7
<i>Overview</i>	7
Market Structure	7
Market Conduct	9
Market Performance: Markup, Load and Locational Marginal Price	9
Demand-Side Response	10
Conclusion	10
<i>Market Structure</i>	13
Supply	13
Demand	14
Market Concentration	15
Local Market Structure and Offer Capping	18
Local Market Structure	20
Characteristics of Marginal Units	32
<i>Market Conduct</i>	33
Unit Markup	33
Unit Markup Characteristics	35
<i>Market Performance: Markup</i>	36
Markup Component of System Price	37
Markup Component of Zonal Prices	37
Markup by System Price Levels	39
Exempt Unit Markup	39
Frequently Mitigated Unit and Associated Unit Adders – Component of Price	40
Markup Component of Price on High-Load Days	43
<i>Market Performance: Load and LMP</i>	45
Load	45
Locational Marginal Price (LMP)	53
Load and Spot Market	89
<i>Demand-Side Response (DSR)</i>	93
Emergency Program	96
Economic Program	99

Active Load Management (ALM) and Load Management (LM)	107
Price Impacts of Demand-Side Response	107
Measurement Issues	107
SECTION 3 – ENERGY MARKET, PART 2	109
<i>Overview</i>	<i>109</i>
Net Revenue	109
Existing and Planned Generation	110
Scarcity	110
Credits and Charges for Operating Reserve	111
Conclusion	111
<i>Net Revenue</i>	<i>113</i>
Theoretical Energy Market Net Revenue	114
Capacity Market Net Revenue	119
Ancillary Service and Operating Reserve Net Revenue	121
New Entrant Net Revenues	122
New Entrant Combustion Turbine	126
New Entrant Combined Cycle	128
New Entrant Coal Plant	129
New Entrant Day-Ahead Net Revenues	131
Net Revenue Adequacy	134
<i>Existing and Planned Generation</i>	<i>144</i>
Installed Capacity and Fuel Mix	144
Energy Production by Fuel Source	145
Planned Generation Additions	146
<i>2007 High-Load Events, Scarcity and Scarcity Pricing Events</i>	<i>154</i>
Definitions and Methodology	154
Current Issues with Scarcity Implementation	166
<i>Operating Reserve</i>	<i>168</i>
Credit and Charge Categories	169
Credit and Charge Results	172
Characteristics of Credits and Charges	175
Market Power Issues	179
SECTION 4 – INTERCHANGE TRANSACTIONS	185
<i>Overview</i>	<i>185</i>
Interchange Transaction Activity	185
Interchange Transaction Topics	186
Interchange Transaction Issues	188
Conclusion	189
<i>Interchange Transaction Activity</i>	<i>191</i>
Aggregate Imports and Exports	191
Interface Imports and Exports	193

Interface Pricing Points	199
<i>Interchange Transaction Topics</i>	<i>201</i>
PJM Interface Prices with Organized Markets	201
PJM TLRs	207
Operating Agreements with Bordering Areas	210
Interface Pricing Agreements with Individual Companies	212
Con Edison and PSE&G Wheeling Contracts	213
Neptune Underwater Transmission Line to Long Island, New York	214
<i>Interchange Transaction Issues</i>	<i>215</i>
Loop Flows	215
Ramp Reservation Issues	222
Spot Import Service	224
Up-to Congestion Transactions	225
SECTION 5 – CAPACITY MARKET	227
<i>Overview</i>	<i>227</i>
Capacity Credit Market	227
RPM Capacity Market	229
Generator Performance	232
Conclusion	232
<i>Capacity Credit Market</i>	<i>234</i>
Market Design	234
Market Structure	234
Market Performance	244
<i>RPM Capacity Market</i>	<i>246</i>
Market Design	246
Market Structure	247
Market Conduct	253
Market Performance	256
<i>Generator Performance</i>	<i>265</i>
Generator Performance Factors	265
Generator Forced Outage Rates	266
SECTION 6 – ANCILLARY SERVICE MARKETS	275
<i>Overview</i>	<i>276</i>
Regulation Market	276
Synchronized Reserve Market	277
Conclusion	279
<i>Regulation Market</i>	<i>281</i>
Market Structure	281
Market Conduct	285
Market Performance	287
<i>Synchronized Reserve Market</i>	<i>291</i>

Market Structure	291
Market Conduct	297
Market Performance	299
SECTION 7 – CONGESTION	303
<i>Overview</i>	<i>303</i>
Congestion Cost	303
Congestion Component of LMP and Facility or Zonal Congestion	304
Economic Planning Process	305
Conclusion	305
<i>Congestion</i>	<i>306</i>
Congestion Accounting	306
Total Calendar Year Congestion	307
Monthly Congestion	308
Congestion Component of LMP	308
<i>Congested Facilities</i>	<i>309</i>
Congestion by Facility Type and Voltage	309
Constraint Duration	313
Constraint Costs	314
Congestion-Event Summary for Midwest ISO Flowgates	317
Congestion-Event Summary for the 500 kV System	318
Congestion on the Bedington — Black Oak and AP South Interfaces	320
<i>Zonal Congestion</i>	<i>320</i>
Summary	320
Details of Regional and Zonal Congestion	322
<i>Economic Planning Process</i>	<i>356</i>
SECTION 8 – FINANCIAL TRANSMISSION AND AUCTION REVENUE RIGHTS	359
<i>Overview</i>	<i>359</i>
Financial Transmission Rights (FTRs)	359
Auction Revenue Rights (ARRs)	362
Conclusion	363
<i>Financial Transmission Rights</i>	<i>364</i>
Market Structure	365
FTR Credit Issues	368
Market Performance	370
<i>Auction Revenue Rights</i>	<i>388</i>
Market Structure	389
Market Performance	395
APPENDIX A – PJM GEOGRAPHY	405
APPENDIX B – PJM MARKET MILESTONES	409

APPENDIX C – ENERGY MARKET	411
<i>Load</i>	411
Frequency Distribution of Load	411
Off-Peak and On-Peak Load	412
<i>Locational Marginal Price (LMP)</i>	414
Real-Time LMP	414
Day-Ahead and Real-Time LMP	418
<i>Offer-Capped Units</i>	425
APPENDIX D – INTERCHANGE TRANSACTIONS	431
<i>NYISO Issues</i>	431
<i>Consolidated Edison Company (Con Edison) and Public Service Electric and Gas Company (PSE&G)</i>	
<i>Wheeling Contracts</i>	432
Initial Implementation of the FERC Protocol	434
APPENDIX E – CAPACITY MARKET	437
<i>Background</i>	437
<i>Demand</i>	437
VRR Curves	437
Load Obligations	437
<i>Capacity Resources</i>	438
Generation Resources	438
Load Management Resources	439
Qualified Transmission Upgrades	439
Obligations of Capacity Resources	439
<i>CETO/CETL</i>	440
<i>Generator Performance: NERC OMC Outage Cause Codes</i>	440
APPENDIX F – ANCILLARY SERVICE MARKETS	443
<i>Area Control Error (ACE)</i>	443
Control Performance Standard (CPS) and Balancing Authority ACE Limit (BAAL)	443
<i>Regulation Capacity, Daily Offers, Offered and Eligible, Hourly Assigned</i>	446
APPENDIX G – FINANCIAL TRANSMISSION AND AUCTION REVENUE RIGHTS	449
<i>FTR Target Allocations and Congestion Revenue</i>	449
<i>ARR Prorating Procedure</i>	451
<i>ARR Credits</i>	452

APPENDIX H – CALCULATING LOCATIONAL MARGINAL PRICE	453
<i>Real-Time Hourly Integrated LMP and Real-Time Hourly Integrated Load</i>	453
<i>Day-Ahead Hourly LMP and Day-Ahead Hourly Load</i>	453
<i>Load-Weighted, Average LMP</i>	453
Real Time	453
Day Ahead	454
APPENDIX I – LOAD DEFINITIONS	457
<i>eMTR Load</i>	457
<i>Accounting Load</i>	457
APPENDIX J – CALCULATING MARGINAL LOSSES	459
<i>Marginal Losses versus Average Losses</i>	459
<i>Total, Average and Marginal Losses</i>	459
<i>Effect of Marginal Losses on LMP</i>	460
<i>Loss Revenue Surplus</i>	461
APPENDIX K – CALCULATION AND USE OF GENERATOR SENSITIVITY/UNIT PARTICIPATION FACTORS	463
<i>Hourly Integrated LMP Using UPF</i>	464
<i>Hourly Integrated Markup Using UPFs</i>	466
<i>UPF-Weighted, Marginal Unit Markup</i>	468
<i>Hourly Integrated Historical, Cost-Adjusted, Load-Weighted LMP Using UPFs</i>	468
Fuel-Cost-Adjusted LMP	469
Cost-Adjusted LMP	470
APPENDIX L – THREE PIVOTAL SUPPLIER TEST	471
<i>Three Pivotal Supplier Test: Background</i>	471
<i>Market Structure Tests and Market Power Mitigation: Core Concepts</i>	472
<i>Three Pivotal Supplier Test: Mechanics</i>	474
APPENDIX M – GLOSSARY	477
APPENDIX N – LIST OF ACRONYMS	485

TABLES

SECTION 2 – ENERGY MARKET, PART 1

7

<i>Table 2-1 Retired units: October 1, 2006, to September 30, 2007</i>	14
<i>Table 2-2 Actual PJM footprint summer peak loads: 1999 to 2007</i>	15
<i>Table 2-3 PJM hourly Energy Market HHI: Calendar year 2007</i>	17
<i>Table 2-4 PJM hourly Energy Market HHI (By segment): Calendar year 2007</i>	17
<i>Table 2-5 Annual offer-capping statistics: Calendar years 2003 to 2007</i>	19
<i>Table 2-6 Offer-capped unit statistics: Calendar year 2007</i>	20
<i>Table 2-7 Three pivotal supplier results summary for regional constraints: Calendar year 2007</i>	22
<i>Table 2-8 Three pivotal supplier test details for regional constraints: Calendar year 2007</i>	23
<i>Table 2-9 Three pivotal supplier results summary for the East and Central interfaces: Calendar year 2007</i>	23
<i>Table 2-10 Three pivotal supplier test details for the East and Central interfaces: Calendar year 2007</i>	23
<i>Table 2-11 Three pivotal supplier results summary for constraints located in the PSEG Control Zone: Calendar year 2007</i>	24
<i>Table 2-12 Three pivotal supplier test details for constraints located in the PSEG Control Zone: Calendar year 2007</i>	24
<i>Table 2-13 Three pivotal supplier results summary for constraints located in the AP Control Zone: Calendar year 2007</i>	25
<i>Table 2-14 Three pivotal supplier test details for constraints located in the AP Control Zone: Calendar year 2007</i>	26
<i>Table 2-15 Three pivotal supplier results summary for constraints located in the AEP Control Zone: Calendar year 2007</i>	27
<i>Table 2-16 Three pivotal supplier test details for constraints located in the AEP Control Zone: Calendar year 2007</i>	27
<i>Table 2-17 Three pivotal supplier results summary for constraints located in the Met-Ed Control Zone: Calendar year 2007</i>	28
<i>Table 2-18 Three pivotal supplier test details for constraints located in the Met-Ed Control Zone: Calendar year 2007</i>	28
<i>Table 2-19 Three pivotal supplier results summary for constraints located in the JCPL Control Zone: Calendar year 2007</i>	28
<i>Table 2-20 Three pivotal supplier test details for constraints located in the JCPL Control Zone: Calendar year 2007</i>	29
<i>Table 2-21 Three pivotal supplier results summary for constraints located in the PENELEC Control Zone: Calendar year 2007</i>	29
<i>Table 2-22 Three pivotal supplier test details for constraints located in the PENELEC Control Zone: Calendar year 2007</i>	29
<i>Table 2-23 Three pivotal supplier results summary for constraints located in the Dominion Control Zone: Calendar year 2007</i>	30
<i>Table 2-24 Three pivotal supplier test details for constraints located in the Dominion Control Zone: Calendar year 2007</i>	30
<i>Table 2-25 Three pivotal supplier results summary for constraints located in the DPL Control Zone: Calendar year 2007</i>	30
<i>Table 2-26 Three pivotal supplier test details for constraints located in the DPL Control Zone: Calendar year 2007</i>	30
<i>Table 2-27 Three pivotal supplier results summary for constraints located in the AECO Control Zone: Calendar year 2007</i>	31
<i>Table 2-28 Three pivotal supplier test details for constraints located in the AECO Control Zone: Calendar year 2007</i>	31
<i>Table 2-29 Three pivotal supplier results summary for constraints located in the DLCO Control Zone: Calendar year 2007</i>	31
<i>Table 2-30 Three pivotal supplier test details for constraints located in the DLCO Control Zone: Calendar year 2007</i>	32
<i>Table 2-31 Marginal unit contribution to PJM annual, load-weighted LMP (By company): Calendar year 2007</i>	32
<i>Table 2-32 Type of fuel used (By marginal units): Calendar years 2005 to 2007</i>	33
<i>Table 2-33 Average marginal unit markup index (By primary fuel and unit type): Calendar year 2007</i>	35
<i>Table 2-34 Average marginal unit markup index (By price category): Calendar year 2007</i>	35
<i>Table 2-35 Monthly markup components of load-weighted LMP: Calendar year 2007</i>	37
<i>Table 2-36 Average zonal markup component: Calendar year 2007</i>	38
<i>Table 2-37 Average markup (By price category): Calendar year 2007</i>	39
<i>Table 2-38 Comparison of exempt and non-exempt markup component: Calendar year 2007</i>	40
<i>Table 2-39 Frequently mitigated units and associated units (By month): Calendar year 2007</i>	41
<i>Table 2-40 Frequently mitigated units and associated units total months eligible: Calendar year 2007</i>	42
<i>Table 2-41 Cost impact of FMUs and AUs (By zone): Calendar year 2007</i>	43

Table 2-42 Markup contribution of exempt and non-exempt units: Calendar year 2007	44
Table 2-43 PJM real-time average load: Calendar years 1998 to 2007	46
Table 2-44 Monthly minimum, average and maximum of PJM hourly THI: Cooling periods of 2006 and 2007	48
Table 2-45 PJM day-ahead average load: Calendar years 2003 to 2007	49
Table 2-46 Cleared day-ahead and real-time load (MWh): Calendar year 2007	50
Table 2-47 Day-ahead and real-time generation (MWh): Calendar year 2007	52
Table 2-48 PJM real-time, simple average LMP (Dollars per MWh): Calendar years 1998 to 2007	54
Table 2-49 Zonal real-time, simple average LMP (Dollars per MWh): Calendar years 2006 to 2007	55
Table 2-50 Jurisdiction real-time, simple average LMP (Dollars per MWh): Calendar years 2006 to 2007	55
Table 2-51 Hub real-time, simple average LMP (Dollars per MWh): Calendar years 2006 to 2007	56
Table 2-52 PJM real-time, annual, load-weighted, average LMP (Dollars per MWh): Calendar years 1998 to 2007	57
Table 2-53 Zonal real-time, annual, load-weighted, average LMP (Dollars per MWh): Calendar years 2006 to 2007	58
Table 2-54 Jurisdiction real-time, annual, load-weighted, average LMP (Dollars per MWh): Calendar years 2006 to 2007	59
Table 2-55 PJM annual, fuel-cost-adjusted, load-weighted LMP (Dollars per MWh): Year-over-year method	61
Table 2-56 PJM monthly, fuel-cost-adjusted, load-weighted LMP (Dollars per MWh): Year-over-year method	62
Table 2-57 Zonal fuel-cost-adjusted, load-weighted LMP (Dollars per MWh): Calendar year 2007	62
Table 2-58 Jurisdiction fuel-cost-adjusted, load-weighted LMP (Dollars per MWh): Calendar year 2007	63
Table 2-59 Components of PJM annual, load-weighted, average LMP: Calendar year 2007	64
Table 2-60 PJM day-ahead, simple average LMP (Dollars per MWh): Calendar years 2003 to 2007	65
Table 2-61 Zonal day-ahead, simple average LMP (Dollars per MWh): Calendar years 2006 to 2007	66
Table 2-62 Jurisdiction day-ahead, simple average LMP (Dollars per MWh): Calendar years 2006 to 2007	67
Table 2-63 PJM day-ahead, load-weighted, average LMP (Dollars per MWh): Calendar years 2003 to 2007	67
Table 2-64 Zonal day-ahead, load-weighted, average LMP (Dollars per MWh): Calendar years 2006 to 2007	69
Table 2-65 Jurisdiction day-ahead, load-weighted LMP (Dollars per MWh): Calendar years 2006 to 2007	70
Table 2-66 PJM real-time, simple average LMP components (Dollars per MWh): Calendar years 2006 and 2007	71
Table 2-67 Zonal real-time, simple average LMP components (Dollars per MWh): Calendar years 2006 and 2007.	71
Table 2-68 Zonal and PJM real-time, simple average LMP components (Dollars per MWh): June 1, 2007, to December 31, 2007	72
Table 2-69 Hub real-time, simple average LMP components (Dollars per MWh): June 1, 2007, to December 31, 2007	72
Table 2-70 Zonal and PJM real-time, annual, load-weighted, average LMP components (Dollars per MWh): June 1, 2007, to December 31, 2007	73
Table 2-71 PJM day-ahead, simple average LMP components (Dollars per MWh): Calendar years 2006 and 2007	74
Table 2-72 Zonal day-ahead, simple average LMP components (Dollars per MWh): Calendar years 2006 and 2007	74
Table 2-73 Zonal and PJM day-ahead, simple average LMP components (Dollars per MWh): June 1, 2007, to December 31, 2007	75
Table 2-74 Zonal and PJM day-ahead, load-weighted, average LMP components (Dollars per MWh): June 1, 2007, to December 31, 2007	76
Table 2-75 Marginal loss costs by type (Dollars (Millions)): June 1, 2007, to December 31, 2007	78
Table 2-76 Marginal loss costs by control zone and type (Dollars (Millions)): June 1, 2007, to December 31, 2007	79
Table 2-77 Monthly marginal loss costs by control zone (Dollars (Millions)): June 1, 2007, to December 31, 2007	80
Table 2-78 Type of day-ahead marginal units: Calendar year 2007	81
Table 2-79 Day-Ahead and Real-Time Energy Market LMP (Dollars per MWh): Calendar year 2007	83
Table 2-80 Zonal Day-Ahead and Real-Time Energy Market LMP (Dollars per MWh): Calendar year 2007	88
Table 2-81 Jurisdiction Day-Ahead and Real-Time Energy Market LMP (Dollars per MWh): Calendar year 2007	89

Table 2-82 Monthly average percentage of real-time self-supply load, bilateral-supply load and spot-supply load based on billing organizations: Calendar years 2006 to 2007	90
Table 2-83 Monthly average percentage of real-time self-supply load, bilateral supply load and spot supply load based on parent companies: Calendar years 2006 to 2007	91
Table 2-84 Monthly average percentage of day-ahead self-supply load, bilateral supply load, spot and virtual supply load based on billing organizations: Calendar years 2006 to 2007	92
Table 2-85 Monthly average percentage of day-ahead self-supply load, bilateral supply load, spot and virtual supply load based on parent companies: Calendar years 2006 to 2007	93
Table 2-86 Zonal capability in the Emergency Program (By option): August 8, 2007	97
Table 2-87 Zonal overcompliance and undercompliance of ILR and DR capacity resources (MW): August 8, 2007	98
Table 2-88 Zonal Emergency-Full option energy payments and MWh participation: August 8, 2007	98
Table 2-89 Zonal monthly capacity credits: June 1, 2007, through December 31, 2007	99
Table 2-90 Economic Program registration: Within 2002 to 2007	99
Table 2-91 Zonal capability in the Economic Program: August 8, 2007	100
Table 2-92 Performance of PJM Economic Program participants	101
Table 2-93 PJM Economic Program by zonal reduction: Calendar year 2007	102
Table 2-94 Frequency distribution of Economic Program hours when zonal, load-weighted, average LMP less than \$75 MWh (By hours): Calendar year 2007	103
Table 2-95 Frequency distribution of Economic Program hours with zonal, load-weighted, average LMP greater than, or equal to, \$75 per MWh (By hours): Calendar year 2007	104
Table 2-96 Frequency distribution of Economic Program zonal, load-weighted, average LMP (By hours): Calendar year 2007	105
Table 2-97 Available ALM MW and LM MW: Within 2002 to 2007	107

SECTION 3 – ENERGY MARKET, PART 2

109

Table 3-1 PJM Real-Time Energy Market net revenue (By unit marginal cost (Dollars per MWh)): Calendar years 1999 to 2007	115
Table 3-2 PJM Day-Ahead Energy Market net revenue (By unit marginal cost (Dollars per MWh)): Calendar years 2000 to 2007	116
Table 3-3 PJM RPM auction-clearing capacity price by LDA: Effective for June 1, through December 31, 2007	120
Table 3-4 Capacity revenue by PJM zones (Dollars per MW-year): Calendar years 1999 to 2007	121
Table 3-5 System average ancillary service revenue: Calendar years 1999 to 2007	122
Table 3-6 Burner tip average fuel price in PJM (Dollars per MBtu): Calendar years 1999 to 2007	124
Table 3-7 PJM Real-Time Energy Market net revenue for a new entrant gas-fired CT under economic dispatch (Dollars per installed MW-year): Net revenue for calendar years 1999 to 2007	125
Table 3-8 PJM Real-Time Energy Market net revenue for a new entrant gas-fired CC under economic dispatch (Dollars per installed MW-year): Net revenue for calendar years 1999 to 2007	125
Table 3-9 PJM Real-Time Energy Market net revenue for a new entrant CP under economic dispatch (Dollars per installed MW-year): Net revenue for calendar years 1999 to 2007	126
Table 3-10 Real-time PJM-wide net revenue for a CT under peak-hour, economic dispatch by market (Dollars per installed MW-year): Calendar years 1999 to 2007	127
Table 3-11 Real-time zonal combined net revenue from all markets for a CT under peak-hour, economic dispatch (Dollars per installed MW-year): Calendar years 1999 to 2007	127

Table 3-12 Real-time PJM-wide net revenue for a CC under peak-hour, economic dispatch by market (Dollars per installed MW-year): Calendar years 1999 to 2007	128
Table 3-13 Real-time zonal combined net revenue from all markets for a CC under peak-hour, economic dispatch (Dollars per installed MW-year): Calendar years 1999 to 2007	129
Table 3-14 Real-time PJM-wide net revenue for a CP under peak-hour, economic dispatch by market (Dollars per installed MW-year): Calendar years 1999 to 2007	130
Table 3-15 Real-time zonal combined net revenue from all markets for a CP under peak-hour, economic dispatch (Dollars per installed MW-year): Calendar years 1999 to 2007	130
Table 3-16 PJM Day-Ahead Energy Market net revenue for a new entrant gas-fired CT under economic dispatch (Dollars per installed MW-year): Calendar years 2000 to 2007	131
Table 3-17 PJM Day-Ahead Energy Market net revenue for a new entrant gas-fired CC under economic dispatch (Dollars per installed MW-year): Calendar years 2000 to 2007	132
Table 3-18 PJM Day-Ahead Energy Market net revenue for a new entrant CP under economic dispatch (Dollars per installed MW-year): Calendar years 2000 to 2007	132
Table 3-19 Real-Time and Day-Ahead Energy Market net revenues for a CT under economic dispatch (Dollars per installed MW-year): Calendar years 2000 to 2007	133
Table 3-20 Real-Time and Day-Ahead Energy Market net revenues for a CC under economic dispatch scenario (Dollars per installed MW-year): Calendar years 2000 to 2007	133
Table 3-21 Real-Time and Day-Ahead Energy Market net revenues for a CP under economic dispatch scenario (Dollars per installed MW-year): Calendar years 2000 to 2007	134
Table 3-22 New entrant 20-year levelized fixed costs (By plant type (Dollars per installed MW-year))	134
Table 3-23 CT 20-year levelized fixed cost vs. real-time economic dispatch net revenue (Dollars per installed MW-year): Calendar years 1999 to 2007	135
Table 3-24 CT 20-year levelized fixed cost vs. real-time economic dispatch, zonal net revenue (Dollars per installed MW-year): Calendar years 1999 to 2007	136
Table 3-25 CC 20-year levelized fixed cost vs. real-time economic dispatch net revenue (Dollars per installed MW-year): Calendar years 1999 to 2007	138
Table 3-26 CC 20-year levelized fixed cost vs. real-time economic dispatch, zonal net revenue (Dollars per installed MW-year): Calendar years 1999 to 2007	139
Table 3-27 CP 20-year levelized fixed cost vs. real-time economic dispatch net revenue (Dollars per installed MW-year): Calendar years 1999 to 2007	141
Table 3-28 CP 20-year levelized fixed cost vs. real-time economic dispatch, zonal net revenue (Dollars per installed MW-year): Calendar years 1999 to 2007	142
Table 3-29 Internal rate of return sensitivity for CT, CC and CP generators	144
Table 3-30 PJM installed capacity (By fuel source): January 1, May 31, June 1, and December 31, 2007	145
Table 3-31 PJM generation (By fuel source (GWh)): Calendar year 2007	145
Table 3-32 Year-to-year capacity additions: Calendar years 2000 to 2007	146
Table 3-33 Queue comparison (MW): Calendar years 2007 vs. 2006	147
Table 3-34 Capacity in PJM queues (MW): At December 31, 2007	148
Table 3-35 Average project queue times: At December 31, 2007	149
Table 3-36 Capacity additions in active or under-construction queues by control zone (MW): At December 31, 2007	150
Table 3-37 Existing PJM capacity 2007 (By zone and unit type (MW))	151
Table 3-38 PJM capacity age (MW)	151
Table 3-39 Capacity additions in active or under-construction queues by LDA (MW): At December 31, 2007	152
Table 3-40 Comparison of generators 40 years and older with slated capacity additions (MW): Through 2016	153

Table 3-41	2007 Scarcity pricing events	154
Table 3-42	Zone-specific operating reserve targets and requirements: Calendar year 2007	156
Table 3-43	PJM-called ALM: August 8, 2007	157
Table 3-44	PJM-declared, maximum emergency events and maximum emergency generation loaded: August 8, 2007	157
Table 3-45	PJM-declared voltage reduction events: August 8, 2007	158
Table 3-46	Scarcity-related emergency messages	166
Table 3-47	Operating reserve credits and charges	170
Table 3-48	Total day-ahead and balancing operating reserve charges: Calendar years 1999 to 2007	172
Table 3-49	Monthly operating reserve charges: Calendar years 2006 and 2007	173
Table 3-50	Monthly balancing operating reserve deviations (MWh): Calendar years 2006 and 2007	174
Table 3-51	Installed capacity percentage (By unit type receiving operating reserve payments): Calendar year 2007	176
Table 3-52	PJM self-scheduled, economic, noneconomic and regulation generation receiving operating reserve payments: Calendar year 2007	176
Table 3-53	PJM generation by unit type receiving operating reserve payments: Calendar year 2007	177
Table 3-54	PJM unit type generation distribution (By unit type receiving operating reserve payments): Calendar year 2007	177
Table 3-55	Monthly balancing operating reserve charges and credits to generators (By location): Calendar year 2007	179
Table 3-56	Top 10 operating reserve revenue units (By percent of total system): Calendar years 2001 to 2007	180
Table 3-57	Top 10 operating reserve revenue units' markup: Calendar years 2001 to 2007	181
Table 3-58	Simple average generator markup: Calendar year 2007	181
Table 3-59	Balancing operating reserve rate for exempt units (Actual and markup-adjusted): Calendar year 2007	182

SECTION 4 – INTERCHANGE TRANSACTIONS 185

Table 4-1	Real-time scheduled net interchange volume by interface (GWh): Calendar year 2007	194
Table 4-2	Real-time scheduled gross import volume by interface (GWh): Calendar year 2007	195
Table 4-3	Real-time scheduled gross export volume by interface (GWh): Calendar year 2007	196
Table 4-4	Day-ahead net interchange volume by interface (GWh): Calendar year 2007	197
Table 4-5	Day-ahead gross import volume by interface (GWh): Calendar year 2007	198
Table 4-6	Day-ahead gross export volume by interface (GWh): Calendar year 2007	199
Table 4-7	Active pricing points: Calendar year 2007	200
Table 4-8	Active interfaces: Calendar year 2007	200
Table 4-9	Average LMP difference (PJM minus Midwest ISO): January 1, 2006, through December 31, 2007	204
Table 4-10	Average hourly LMP comparison for Duke, PEC and NCMIPA: For the time period in 2007 when the applicable agreement was in effect	213
Table 4-11	Con Edison and PSE&G wheeling settlement data: Calendar year 2007	214
Table 4-12	Net scheduled and actual PJM interface flows (GWh): Calendar year 2007	217

SECTION 5 – CAPACITY MARKET 227

Table 5-1	PJM capacity summary (MW): January through May 2007	235
Table 5-2	PJM Capacity Market load obligation served: January through May 2007	238
Table 5-3	PJM Capacity Market load obligation served by PJM EDCs and affiliates: January through May 2007	238
Table 5-4	PJM Capacity Market load obligation served by non-PJM EDC affiliates: January through May 2007	238
Table 5-5	PJM Capacity Market load obligation served by non-EDC affiliates: January through May 2007	239
Table 5-6	PJM CCM three pivotal supplier residual supply index (RSI): January through May 2007	240

Table 5-7 PJM CCM HHI: January through May 2007	240
Table 5-8 PJM capacity: January through May 2007	241
Table 5-9 PJM Capacity Credit Market: January through May 2007	245
Table 5-10 PJM Capacity Credit Market: June 1999 to May 2007	246
Table 5-11 Internal capacity: January 1, 2007, through June 1, 2009	248
Table 5-12 PJM Capacity Market load obligation served: June 1, 2007	249
Table 5-13 Preliminary market structure screen results: 2007/2008 through 2009/2010 RPM Auctions	250
Table 5-14 RSI results: 2007/2008 through 2009/2010 RPM Auctions	251
Table 5-15 PJM capacity summary (MW): January 1, 2007, through June 1, 2009	251
Table 5-16 Load management statistics: May 31, 2007, through June 1, 2009	252
Table 5-17 ACR statistics: 2007/2008 through 2009/2010 RPM Auctions	254
Table 5-18 APIR statistics: 2007/2008 through 2009/2010 RPM Auctions,	255
Table 5-19 Capacity prices: January 1, 2007, through May 31, 2010	257
Table 5-20 RTO offer statistics: 2007/2008 RPM Auction	259
Table 5-21 EMAAC offer statistics: 2007/2008 RPM Auction	262
Table 5-22 SWMAAC offer statistics: 2007/2008 RPM Auction	264
Table 5-23 Contribution to EFORd for specific unit types (Percentage points): Calendar years 2003 to 2007	268
Table 5-24 Percent change in contribution to EFORd (Unit type): 2007 compared to 2006	269
Table 5-25 Five-year PJM EFORd data comparison to NERC five-year average for different unit types: Calendar years 2003 to 2007	269
Table 5-26 Outage cause contribution to PJM EFOF: Calendar year 2007	271
Table 5-27 Contribution to EFOF by unit type for the most prevalent causes: Calendar year 2007	272
Table 5-28 Contribution to EFOF by unit type: Calendar year 2007	272
Table 5-29 PJM EFORd vs. XEFORd: Calendar year 2007	274

SECTION 6 – ANCILLARY SERVICE MARKETS 275

Table 6-1 PJM regulation capability, daily offer and hourly eligible: Calendar year 2007	283
Table 6-2 PJM cleared regulation HHI: Calendar year 2007	283
Table 6-3 Highest annual average hourly Regulation Market shares: Calendar year 2007	284
Table 6-4 Regulation Market pivotal suppliers: Calendar year 2007	285
Table 6-5 The Mid-Atlantic Subzone of the PJM RFC Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve Market's cleared market shares: February through December 2007	296
Table 6-6 The Mid-Atlantic Subzone RFC Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve Market percent pivotal supplier hours: February through December 2007	296

SECTION 7 – CONGESTION 303

Table 7-1 Total annual PJM congestion (Dollars (Millions)): Calendar years 2003 to 2007	307
Table 7-2 Monthly PJM congestion charges (Dollars (Millions)): Calendar years 2006 to 2007	308
Table 7-3 Annual average congestion component of LMP: Calendar years 2006 to 2007	309
Table 7-4 Congestion summary (By facility type): Calendar year 2007	311
Table 7-5 Congestion summary (By facility type): Calendar year 2006	311
Table 7-6 Congestion summary (By facility voltage): Calendar year 2007	312
Table 7-7 Congestion summary (By facility voltage): Calendar year 2006	313

Table 7-8 Top 25 constraints with frequent occurrence: Calendar years 2006 to 2007	314
Table 7-9 Top 25 constraints affecting annual PJM congestion costs (By facility): Calendar year 2007	315
Table 7-10 Top 25 constraints affecting annual PJM congestion costs (By facility): Calendar year 2006	316
Table 7-11 Top congestion cost impacts from Midwest ISO flowgates affecting PJM dispatch (By facility): Calendar year 2007	317
Table 7-12 Top congestion cost impacts from Midwest ISO flowgates affecting PJM dispatch (By facility): Calendar year 2006	318
Table 7-13 Regional constraints summary (By facility): Calendar year 2007	319
Table 7-14 Regional constraints summary (By facility): Calendar year 2006	319
Table 7-15 Congestion cost summary (By control zone): Calendar year 2007	321
Table 7-16 Congestion cost summary (By control zone): Calendar year 2006	322
Table 7-17 AECO Control Zone top congestion cost impacts (By facility): Calendar year 2007	323
Table 7-18 AECO Control Zone top congestion cost impacts (By facility): Calendar year 2006	324
Table 7-19 BGE Control Zone top congestion cost impacts (By facility): Calendar year 2007	325
Table 7-20 BGE Control Zone top congestion cost impacts (By facility): Calendar year 2006	326
Table 7-21 DPL Control Zone top congestion cost impacts (By facility): Calendar year 2007	327
Table 7-22 DPL Control Zone top congestion cost impacts (By facility): Calendar year 2006	328
Table 7-23 JCPL Control Zone top congestion cost impacts (By facility): Calendar year 2007	329
Table 7-24 JCPL Control Zone top congestion cost impacts (By facility): Calendar year 2006	330
Table 7-25 Met-Ed Control Zone top congestion cost impacts (By facility): Calendar year 2007	331
Table 7-26 Met-Ed Control Zone top congestion cost impacts (By facility): Calendar year 2006	332
Table 7-27 PECO Control Zone top congestion cost impacts (By facility): Calendar year 2007	333
Table 7-28 PECO Control Zone top congestion cost impacts (By facility): Calendar year 2006	334
Table 7-29 PENELEC Control Zone top congestion cost impacts (By facility): Calendar year 2007	335
Table 7-30 PENELEC Control Zone top congestion cost impacts (By facility): Calendar year 2006	336
Table 7-31 Pepco Control Zone top congestion cost impacts (By facility): Calendar year 2007	337
Table 7-32 Pepco Control Zone top congestion cost impacts (By facility): Calendar year 2006	338
Table 7-33 PPL Control Zone top congestion cost impacts (By facility): Calendar year 2007	339
Table 7-34 PPL Control Zone top congestion cost impacts (By facility): Calendar year 2006	340
Table 7-35 PSEG Control Zone top congestion cost impacts (By facility): Calendar year 2007	341
Table 7-36 PSEG Control Zone top congestion cost impacts (By facility): Calendar year 2006	342
Table 7-37 RECO Control Zone top congestion cost impacts (By facility): Calendar year 2007	343
Table 7-38 RECO Control Zone top congestion cost impacts (By facility): Calendar year 2006	344
Table 7-39 AEP Control Zone top congestion cost impacts (By facility): Calendar year 2007	345
Table 7-40 AEP Control Zone top congestion cost impacts (By facility): Calendar year 2006	346
Table 7-41 AP Control Zone top congestion cost impacts (By facility): Calendar year 2007	347
Table 7-42 AP Control Zone top congestion cost impacts (By facility): Calendar year 2006	348
Table 7-43 ComEd Control Zone top congestion cost impacts (By facility): Calendar year 2007	349
Table 7-44 ComEd Control Zone top congestion cost impacts (By facility): Calendar year 2006	350
Table 7-45 DAY Control Zone top congestion cost impacts (By facility): Calendar year 2007	351
Table 7-46 DAY Control Zone top congestion cost impacts (By facility): Calendar year 2006	352
Table 7-47 DLCO Control Zone top congestion cost impacts (By facility): Calendar year 2007	353
Table 7-48 DLCO Control Zone top congestion cost impacts (By facility): Calendar year 2006	354
Table 7-49 Dominion Control Zone top congestion cost impacts (By facility): Calendar year 2007	355
Table 7-50 Dominion Control Zone top congestion cost impacts (By facility): Calendar year 2006	356

SECTION 8 – FINANCIAL TRANSMISSION AND AUCTION REVENUE RIGHTS 359

<i>Table 8-1 Top 10 principal binding transmission constraints limiting the Annual FTR Auction: Planning period 2007 to 2008</i>	366
<i>Table 8-2 Annual FTR Auction patterns of ownership by FTR direction: Planning period 2007 to 2008</i>	370
<i>Table 8-3 Annual FTR Auction market volume: Planning period 2007 to 2008</i>	371
<i>Table 8-4 Comparison of self-scheduled FTRs: Planning periods 2006 to 2007 and 2007 to 2008</i>	371
<i>Table 8-5 Monthly Balance of Planning Period FTR Auction market volume: January 2007 to December 2007</i>	372
<i>Table 8-6 Monthly Balance of Planning Period FTR Auction buy-bid bid and cleared volume (MW per period): January 2007 to December 2007</i>	373
<i>Table 8-7 Secondary bilateral FTR market volume: Planning periods 2006 to 2007 and 2007 to 2008</i>	374
<i>Table 8-8 Annual and Monthly Balance of Planning Period FTR Auction weighted-average bid prices (Dollars per MWh): Planning period 2007 to 2008</i>	374
<i>Table 8-9 Annual FTR Auction weighted-average cleared prices (Dollars per MWh): Planning period 2007 to 2008</i>	375
<i>Table 8-10 Monthly Balance of Planning Period FTR Auction cleared, weighted-average, buy-bid price per period (Dollars per MWh): January 2007 to December 2007</i>	377
<i>Table 8-11 Annual FTR Auction revenue: Planning period 2007 to 2008</i>	378
<i>Table 8-12 Monthly Balance of Planning Period FTR Auction revenue: January 2007 to December 2007</i>	381
<i>Table 8-13 Total annual PJM FTR revenue detail (Dollars (Millions)): Planning periods 2006 to 2007 and 2007 to 2008</i>	385
<i>Table 8-14 Monthly FTR accounting summary (Dollars (Millions)): Planning periods 2006 to 2007 and 2007 to 2008</i>	386
<i>Table 8-15 Top 10 principal binding transmission constraints limiting the annual ARR allocation: Planning period 2007 to 2008</i>	393
<i>Table 8-16 ARRs and ARR revenue automatically reassigned for network load changes by control zone: June 1, 2006, to December 31, 2007</i>	394
<i>Table 8-17 Annual ARR allocation volume: Planning periods 2006 to 2007 and 2007 to 2008</i>	395
<i>Table 8-18 ARR revenue adequacy (Dollars (Millions)): Planning periods 2006 to 2007 and 2007 to 2008</i>	397
<i>Table 8-19 ARR and self-scheduled FTR congestion hedging by control zone: Planning period 2006 to 2007</i>	399
<i>Table 8-20 FTR congestion hedging by control zone: Planning period 2006 to 2007</i>	401
<i>Table 8-21 ARR and FTR congestion hedging by control zone: Planning period 2006 to 2007</i>	402
<i>Table 8-22 ARR and FTR congestion hedging: Planning periods 2006 to 2007 and 2007 to 2008</i>	403

APPENDIX C – ENERGY MARKET 411

<i>Table C-1 Frequency distribution of PJM real-time, hourly load: Calendar years 2003 to 2007</i>	412
<i>Table C-2 Off-peak and on-peak load (MW): Calendar years 1998 to 2007</i>	413
<i>Table C-3 Multiyear change in load: Calendar years 1998 to 2007</i>	413
<i>Table C-4 Frequency distribution by hours of PJM Real-Time Energy Market LMP (Dollars per MWh): Calendar years 2003 to 2007</i>	415
<i>Table C-5 Off-peak and on-peak, PJM load-weighted, average LMP (Dollars per MWh): Calendar years 2006 to 2007</i>	416
<i>Table C-6 On-peak PJM fuel-cost-adjusted, load-weighted, average LMP (Dollars per MWh): Year-over-year method</i>	417
<i>Table C-7 Off-peak PJM fuel-cost-adjusted, load-weighted, average LMP (Dollars per MWh): Year-over-year method</i>	417
<i>Table C-8 PJM load-weighted, average LMP during constrained hours (Dollars per MWh): Calendar years 2006 to 2007</i>	417
<i>Table C-9 PJM load-weighted, average LMP during constrained and unconstrained hours (Dollars per MWh): Calendar years 2006 to 2007</i>	417
<i>Table C-10 Frequency distribution by hours of PJM Day-Ahead Energy Market LMP (Dollars per MWh): Calendar years 2003 to 2007</i>	419

<i>Table C-11 Off-peak and on-peak, simple average LMP (Dollars per MWh): Calendar year 2007</i>	420
<i>Table C-12 On-peak, zonal, simple average LMP (Dollars per MWh): Calendar year 2007</i>	422
<i>Table C-13 Off-peak, zonal, simple average LMP (Dollars per MWh): Calendar year 2007</i>	423
<i>Table C-14 PJM simple average LMP during constrained and unconstrained hours (Dollars per MWh): Calendar year 2007</i>	425
<i>Table C-15 Average day-ahead, offer-capped units: Calendar years 2003 to 2007</i>	426
<i>Table C-16 Average day-ahead, offer-capped MW: Calendar years 2003 to 2007</i>	426
<i>Table C-17 Average real-time, offer-capped units: Calendar years 2003 to 2007</i>	427
<i>Table C-18 Average real-time, offer-capped MW: Calendar years 2003 to 2007</i>	427
<i>Table C-19 Offer-capped unit statistics: Calendar year 2003</i>	428
<i>Table C-20 Offer-capped unit statistics: Calendar year 2004</i>	428
<i>Table C-21 Offer-capped unit statistics: Calendar year 2005</i>	429
<i>Table C-22 Offer-capped unit statistics: Calendar year 2006</i>	429
APPENDIX D – INTERCHANGE TRANSACTIONS	431
<i>Table D-1 Con Edison and PSE&G wheel settlements data: Calendar year 2007</i>	435
APPENDIX E – CAPACITY MARKET	437
<i>Table E-1 NERC GADS cause codes deemed outside management control (OMC)</i>	441
APPENDIX G – FINANCIAL TRANSMISSION AND AUCTION REVENUE RIGHTS	449
<i>Table G-1 Congestion revenue, FTR target allocations and FTR congestion credits: Illustration</i>	450
<i>Table G-2 ARR allocation prorating procedure: Illustration</i>	451
<i>Table G-3 ARR credits: Illustration</i>	452
APPENDIX K – CALCULATION AND USE OF GENERATOR SENSITIVITY/UNIT PARTICIPATION FACTORS	463
<i>Table K-1 LMP at bus X</i>	464

FIGURES

SECTION 2 – ENERGY MARKET, PART 1 7

Figure 2-1 Average PJM aggregate supply curves: Summers 2006 and 2007	13
Figure 2-2 PJM summer peak-load comparison: Wednesday, August 2, 2006, and Wednesday, August 8, 2007	15
Figure 2-3 PJM hourly Energy Market HHI: Calendar year 2007	18
Figure 2-4 Load-weighted unit markup index: Calendar years 2006 to 2007	34
Figure 2-5 Average hourly markup and load: Summer 2007	44
Figure 2-6 PJM real-time load duration curves: Calendar years 2003 to 2007	45
Figure 2-7 PJM real-time average load: Calendar years 2006 to 2007	47
Figure 2-8 PJM day-ahead load duration curves: Calendar years 2003 to 2007	49
Figure 2-9 PJM day-ahead average load: Calendar years 2006 to 2007	50
Figure 2-10 Day-ahead and real-time loads (Average hourly volumes): Calendar year 2007	51
Figure 2-11 Day-ahead and real-time generation (Average hourly volumes): Calendar year 2007	52
Figure 2-12 Price duration curves for the PJM Real-Time Energy Market during hours above the 95th percentile: Calendar years 2003 to 2007	53
Figure 2-13 PJM real-time, monthly, load-weighted, average LMP: Calendar years 2003 to 2007	57
Figure 2-14 Spot average fuel price comparison: Calendar years 2006 to 2007	60
Figure 2-15 Spot average emission price comparison: Calendar years 2006 to 2007	61
Figure 2-16 Price duration curves for the PJM Day-Ahead Energy Market during hours above the 95th percentile: Calendar years 2003 to 2007	65
Figure 2-17 Day-ahead, monthly, load-weighted, average LMP: Calendar years 2003 to 2007	68
Figure 2-18 PJM day-ahead aggregate supply curves: 2007 example day	82
Figure 2-19 PJM real-time and day-ahead price difference duration curves (-\$100/MWh to \$200/MWh): Calendar years 2003 to 2007	84
Figure 2-20 Hourly real-time minus hourly day-ahead LMP: Calendar year 2007	85
Figure 2-21 Monthly average of real-time minus day-ahead LMP: Calendar year 2007	86
Figure 2-22 PJM system hourly average LMP: Calendar year 2007	87
Figure 2-23 Incentive payments: Calendar years 2006 and 2007	106

SECTION 3 – ENERGY MARKET, PART 2 109

Figure 3-1 PJM Real-Time Energy Market net revenue (By unit marginal cost): Calendar years 1999 to 2007	118
Figure 3-2 PJM Day-Ahead Energy Market net revenue (By unit marginal cost): Calendar years 2000 to 2007	118
Figure 3-3 New entrant CT real-time 2007 net revenue, nine-year average net revenue and 20-year levelized fixed cost as of 2007 (Dollars per installed MW-year): Calendar years 1999 to 2007	137
Figure 3-4 New entrant CC real-time 2007 net revenue, nine-year average net revenue and 20-year levelized fixed cost as of 2007 (Dollars per installed MW-year): Calendar years 1999 to 2007	140
Figure 3-5 New entrant CP real-time 2007 net revenue, nine-year average net revenue and 20-year levelized fixed cost as of 2007 (Dollars per installed MW-year): Calendar years 1999 to 2007	143
Figure 3-6 RTEP project completion probability as function of days in queue	149
Figure 3-7 High-load day hourly load and summer average hourly load: June 2007 through August 2007	159
Figure 3-8 Net within-hour resources: June 1, 26, and 27, 2007	160

Figure 3-9 Net within-hour resources: July 9, 10, 18, 26, 27, 30, and 31, 2007	160
Figure 3-10 Net within-hour resources: August 1 to 3, August 6 to 10, August 13, August 15 to 17, August 24, 28, and 29, 2007	161
Figure 3-11 Within-hour maximum emergency capacity relative to hourly demand in excess of within-hour economic resources: August 8, 2007	162
Figure 3-12 Comparison of hourly maximum emergency capacity on maximum generation alert days to the hourly summer average maximum emergency capacity: Summer 2007	163
Figure 3-13 Within-hour emergency resources: August 7, to August 9, 2007	164
Figure 3-14 Within-hour total forced outages: August 2, 2006, vs. August 8, 2007	165
Figure 3-15 Monthly average balancing operating reserve rate: Calendar years 2003 to 2007	175
Figure 3-16 Operating reserve credits: Calendar year 2007	178

SECTION 4 – INTERCHANGE TRANSACTIONS 185

Figure 4-1 PJM real-time scheduled imports and exports: Calendar year 2007	192
Figure 4-2 PJM day-ahead scheduled imports and exports: Calendar year 2007	192
Figure 4-3 PJM scheduled import and export transaction volume history: Calendar years 1999 to 2007	193
Figure 4-4 PJM's footprint and its external interfaces	201
Figure 4-5 Daily hourly average price difference (Midwest ISO Interface minus PJM/MISO): Calendar year 2007	202
Figure 4-6 Monthly hourly average Midwest ISO PJM Interface price and the PJM/MISO price: April 2005 to 2007	203
Figure 4-7 Daily hourly average price difference (NY proxy - PJM/NYIS): Calendar year 2007	205
Figure 4-8 Monthly hourly average NYISO/PJM proxy bus price and the PJM/NYIS price: Calendar years 2002 to 2007	206
Figure 4-9 PJM, NYISO and Midwest ISO border price averages: Calendar year 2007	207
Figure 4-10 PJM and Midwest ISO TLR procedures: Calendar years 2006 and 2007	208
Figure 4-11 Number of different PJM flowgates that experienced TLRs: Calendar years 2006 to 2007	209
Figure 4-12 Number of PJM TLRs and curtailed volume: Calendar year 2007	209
Figure 4-13 Credits for coordinated congestion management: Calendar year 2007	211
Figure 4-14 Neptune hourly average flow: July to December 2007	215
Figure 4-15 PJM/MECS Interface average actual minus scheduled volume: Calendar year 2007	218
Figure 4-16 PJM/TVA average flows: January 1, to September 30, 2006, preconsolidation	219
Figure 4-17 PJM/TVA average flows: Calendar year 2007	219
Figure 4-18 Southwest actual and scheduled flows: Calendar years 2006 to 2007	220
Figure 4-19 Southeast actual and scheduled flows: Calendar years 2006 to 2007	221
Figure 4-20 Distribution of expired ramp reservations in the hour prior to flow (Old rules (Theoretical) and new rules (Actual)): October 2006 to December 2007	223
Figure 4-21 Spot import service utilization: May through December 2007	225

SECTION 5 – CAPACITY MARKET 227

Figure 5-1 Capacity obligation for the PJM Capacity Market: January through May 2007	235
Figure 5-2 PJM Capacity Market load obligation served (Percent): January through May 2007	236
Figure 5-3 External PJM Capacity Market transactions: January through May 2007	242
Figure 5-4 Internal bilateral PJM Capacity Market transactions: January through May 2007	243
Figure 5-5 PJM Daily and Monthly/Multimonthly CCM performance: January through May 2007	244
Figure 5-6 PJM Daily and Monthly/Multimonthly CCM performance: June 1999 through May 2007	245

<i>Figure 5-7 RTO market supply/demand curves: 2007/2008 RPM Auction,</i>	260
<i>Figure 5-8 EMAAC incremental supply/demand curves: 2007/2008 RPM Auction</i>	263
<i>Figure 5-9 SWMAAC incremental supply/demand curves: 2007/2008 RPM Auction</i>	265
<i>Figure 5-10 PJM equivalent outage and availability factors: Calendar years 2003 to 2007</i>	266
<i>Figure 5-11 Trends in the PJM equivalent demand forced outage rate (EFORd): Calendar years 2003 to 2007</i>	267
<i>Figure 5-12 Contribution to EFORd by duty cycle: Calendar years 2003 to 2007</i>	270
SECTION 6 – ANCILLARY SERVICE MARKETS	275
<i>Figure 6-1 PJM Regulation Market HHI: Calendar year 2007</i>	284
<i>Figure 6-2 PJM Regulation Market daily average market-clearing price, lost opportunity cost and offer price (Dollars per MW): Calendar year 2007</i>	288
<i>Figure 6-3 Monthly average regulation demand (required) vs. price: Calendar year 2007</i>	289
<i>Figure 6-4 Monthly load-weighted, average regulation cost and price: Calendar year 2007</i>	290
<i>Figure 6-5 RFC Synchronized Reserve Zone monthly required vs. scheduled: February through December 2007</i>	293
<i>Figure 6-6 RFC Synchronized Reserve Zone, Mid-Atlantic Subzone synchronized reserve required vs. scheduled: February through December 2007</i>	294
<i>Figure 6-7 Cleared Mid-Atlantic Subzone RFC Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve Market seasonal HHI: February through December 2007</i>	295
<i>Figure 6-8 Tier 2 synchronized reserve average hourly eligible volume (MW): February through December 2007</i>	297
<i>Figure 6-9 Average daily Tier 2 synchronized reserve eligible by unit type (MW): February through December 2007</i>	298
<i>Figure 6-10 Impact of synchronized condensing added to the combined Synchronized Reserve Market after market clearing: February through December 2007</i>	300
<i>Figure 6-11 Comparison of RFC Tier 2 synchronized reserve price and cost (Dollars per MW): February through December 2007</i>	301
<i>Figure 6-12 PJM RFC Zone Tier 2 synchronized reserve scheduled MW: February through December 2007</i>	302
SECTION 8 – FINANCIAL TRANSMISSION AND AUCTION REVENUE RIGHTS	359
<i>Figure 8-1 Annual FTR auction-clearing price duration curve: Planning period 2007 to 2008</i>	376
<i>Figure 8-2 Ten largest positive and negative revenue producing FTR sinks purchased in the Annual FTR Auction: Planning period 2007 to 2008</i>	379
<i>Figure 8-3 Ten largest positive and negative revenue producing FTR sources purchased in the Annual FTR Auction: Planning period 2007 to 2008</i>	380
<i>Figure 8-4 Ten largest positive and negative revenue producing FTR sinks purchased in the Monthly Balance of Planning Period FTR Auctions: Planning period 2007 to 2008 through December 31, 2007</i>	382
<i>Figure 8-5 Ten largest positive and negative revenue producing FTR sources purchased in the Monthly Balance of Planning Period FTR Auctions: Planning period 2007 to 2008 through December 31, 2007</i>	383
<i>Figure 8-6 Ten largest positive and negative FTR target allocations summed by sink: Planning period 2007 to 2008 through December 31, 2007</i>	387
<i>Figure 8-7 Ten largest positive and negative FTR target allocations summed by source: Planning period 2007 to 2008 through December 31, 2007</i>	388
<i>Figure 8-8 Annual FTR Auction prices vs. average day-ahead and real-time congestion for all control zones relative to the Western Hub: Planning period 2007 to 2008 through December 31, 2007</i>	398

APPENDIX A – PJM GEOGRAPHY	405
<i>Figure A-1 PJM's footprint and its 17 control zones</i>	405
<i>Figure A-2 PJM integration phases</i>	406
<i>Figure A-3 PJM locational deliverability areas</i>	407
<i>Figure A-4 PJM RPM locational deliverability area markets</i>	408
APPENDIX C – ENERGY MARKET	411
<i>Figure C-1 PJM real-time constrained hours: Calendar years 2006 to 2007</i>	418
<i>Figure C-2 Hourly real-time LMP minus day-ahead LMP (On-peak hours): Calendar year 2007</i>	421
<i>Figure C-3 Hourly real-time LMP minus day-ahead LMP (Off-peak hours): Calendar year 2007</i>	421
<i>Figure C-4 PJM day-ahead and real-time, market-constrained hours: Calendar year 2007</i>	424
APPENDIX D – INTERCHANGE TRANSACTIONS	431
<i>Figure D-1 Con Edison and PSE&G wheel</i>	433
APPENDIX F – ANCILLARY SERVICE MARKETS	443
<i>Figure F-1 PJM CPS1 and BAAL performance: Calendar year 2007</i>	444
<i>Figure F-2 DCS event count and PJM performance (By month): Calendar year 2007</i>	445

EQUATIONS

SECTION 7 – CONGESTION	303
<i>Equation 7-1 Proposed benefit/cost ratio</i>	357
<i>Equation 7-2 Energy Market benefit</i>	357
<i>Equation 7-3 Reliability Pricing Model benefit</i>	358
<i>Equation 7-4 Total enhancement cost</i>	358
SECTION 8 – FINANCIAL TRANSMISSION AND AUCTION REVENUE RIGHTS	359
<i>Equation 8-1 Calculation of prorated ARRs</i>	391
APPENDIX G – FINANCIAL TRANSMISSION AND AUCTION REVENUE RIGHTS	449
<i>Equation G-1 Calculation of prorated ARRs</i>	451
APPENDIX H – CALCULATING LOCATIONAL MARGINAL PRICE	453
<i>Equation H-1 LMP calculations</i>	455
APPENDIX J – CALCULATING MARGINAL LOSSES	459
<i>Equation J-1 LMP components</i>	459
<i>Equation J-2 Transmission losses</i>	459
<i>Equation J-3 Average losses</i>	460
<i>Equation J-4 Marginal losses</i>	460
<i>Equation J-5 Penalty factor</i>	460
<i>Equation J-6 Excess loss revenue allocation</i>	461
APPENDIX K – CALCULATION AND USE OF GENERATOR SENSITIVITY/UNIT PARTICIPATION FACTORS	463
<i>Equation K-1 Hourly integrated load at a bus</i>	465
<i>Equation K-2 Load bus LMP</i>	465
<i>Equation K-3 Hourly integrated LMP at a bus</i>	465
<i>Equation K-4 Hourly total system cost</i>	465
<i>Equation K-5 Hourly load-weighted LMP</i>	465
<i>Equation K-6 System annual, load-weighted, average LMP</i>	466
<i>Equation K-7 UPF-based system hourly total cost</i>	466
<i>Equation K-8 System load-weighted LMP</i>	467
<i>Equation K-9 Cost-based offer system, hourly load-weighted LMP</i>	467
<i>Equation K-10 Impact of marginal unit markup on LMP</i>	467
<i>Equation K-11 Price-cost markup index</i>	468

<i>Equation K-12 UPF load-weighted, marginal unit markup</i>	468
<i>Equation K-13 Fuel-cost-adjusted offer</i>	469
<i>Equation K-14 Fuel-cost-adjusted, load-weighted LMP</i>	469
<i>Equation K-15 Systemwide annual, fuel-cost-adjusted, load-weighted LMP</i>	470
<i>Equation K-16 Unit historical, cost-adjusted offer</i>	470

APPENDIX L – THREE PIVOTAL SUPPLIER TEST **471**

<i>Equation L-1 Incremental effective MW of supply</i>	475
<i>Equation L-2 Price of clearing offer</i>	475
<i>Equation L-3 Relevant and effective offer</i>	475
<i>Equation L-4 Relevant and effective supply of supplier <i>i</i></i>	475
<i>Equation L-5 Total relevant, effective supply</i>	475
<i>Equation L-6 Calculating the three pivotal supplier test</i>	476

SECTION 1 – INTRODUCTION

The PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. operates a centrally dispatched, competitive wholesale electric power market that, as of December 31, 2007, had installed generating capacity of 163,498 megawatts (MW) and more than 500 market buyers, sellers and traders of electricity in a region including approximately 51 million people in all or parts of Delaware, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Maryland, Michigan, New Jersey, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Virginia, West Virginia and the District of Columbia.¹ As part of that function, PJM coordinates and directs the operation of the transmission grid and plans transmission expansion improvements to maintain grid reliability in this region.

PJM Market Background

PJM operates the Day-Ahead Energy Market, the Real-Time Energy Market, the Reliability Pricing Model (RPM) Capacity Market, the Regulation Market, the Synchronized Reserve Markets and the Annual and monthly Balance of Planning Period Auction Markets in Financial Transmission Rights (FTRs).

PJM introduced energy pricing with cost-based offers and market-clearing nodal prices on April 1, 1998, and market-clearing nodal prices with market-based offers on April 1, 1999. PJM introduced the Daily Capacity Market on January 1, 1999, and the Monthly and Multimonthly Capacity Markets in mid-1999. PJM implemented an auction-based FTR Market on May 1, 1999. PJM implemented the Day-Ahead Energy Market and the Regulation Market on June 1, 2000. PJM modified the regulation market design and added a market in spinning reserve on December 1, 2002. PJM introduced an Auction Revenue Rights (ARR)

allocation process and an associated Annual FTR Auction effective June 1, 2003.² PJM introduced the RPM Capacity Market effective June 1, 2007.

Volume I of the *2007 State of the Market Report* is the Introduction. More detailed analysis and results are included in Volume II.³

Conclusions

This report assesses the competitiveness of the markets managed by PJM during 2007, including market structure, participant behavior and market performance. This report was prepared by and represents the analysis of PJM's independent Market Monitoring Unit (MMU).

The MMU concludes that in 2007:

- The Energy Market results were competitive;
- The Capacity Market results were competitive;
- The Regulation Market results cannot be determined to have been competitive or to have been noncompetitive;
- The Synchronized Reserve Markets' results were competitive; and
- The FTR Auction Market results were competitive.

¹ See the *2007 State of the Market Report*, Volume II, Appendix A, "PJM Geography" for maps showing the PJM footprint and its evolution.

² See also the *2007 State of the Market Report*, Volume II, Appendix B, "PJM Market Milestones."

³ Analysis of 2007 market results requires comparison to 2006 and to certain prior years. During calendar years 2004 and 2005, PJM conducted the phased integration of five control zones: ComEd, American Electric Power (AEP), The Dayton Power & Light Company (DAY), Duquesne Light Company (DLCO) and Dominion. By convention, control zones bear the name of a large utility service provider working within their boundaries. The nomenclature applies to the geographic area, not to any single company. For additional information on the integrations, their timing and their impact on the footprint of the PJM service territory, see the *2007 State of the Market Report*, Volume II, Appendix A, "PJM Geography."

Recommendations

The MMU recommends retention of key market rules, specific enhancements to those rules and implementation of new rules that are required for continued competitive results in PJM markets and for continued improvements in the functioning of PJM markets. The recommendations are for continued action where PJM has already identified areas for improvement and for new action in areas where PJM has not yet identified a plan.

Continued Action

- Retention and application of the improved local market power mitigation rules to prevent the exercise of local market power in the Energy Market while ensuring appropriate economic signals when investment is required.

PJM applies the three pivotal supplier test to determine whether local energy markets are structurally competitive. The three pivotal supplier test, as implemented, is consistent with the United States Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (FERC's) market power tests, encompassed under the delivered price test. The test is a flexible, targeted real-time measure of market structure which replaced the previous mitigation method of offer capping of all units required to relieve a constraint. The application of the three pivotal supplier test successfully limits offer capping in the Energy Market to situations where the local market is structurally noncompetitive and where specific owners have structural market power, except in cases where either specific units or interfaces are exempt from the application of this rule.

- Retention of the \$1,000 per MWh offer cap in the PJM Energy Market and other rules that limit incentives to exercise market power.

The PJM market design includes a variety of rules that effectively limit the incentive to exercise market power and ensure competitive outcomes. These should be retained and enforced and any proposed PJM market rule change should be evaluated for its impact on competitive outcomes.

- Retention and application of the rules included in PJM's RPM Tariff to stimulate competition, to provide direct incentives for performance, to provide locational price signals, to provide forward auctions to permit competition from new entrants and to limit market power by the application of clear and explicit market power mitigation rules. Implementation of enhancements to incentives for capacity resource performance to ensure stronger, market-based incentives for actual performance when needed.

Market power remains a serious concern in the PJM Capacity Market based on market structure conditions in this market including high levels of supplier concentration, frequent occurrences of pivotal suppliers and extreme inelasticity of demand. The RPM Capacity Market design explicitly allows competitive prices to reflect local scarcity without relying on the exercise of market power to achieve the objectives of the Capacity Market design and explicitly limits the exercise of market power via the application of the three pivotal supplier test.

- Implementation of enhancements to PJM's rules governing operating reserve credits to generators.

The operating reserve rules should ensure that credits and corresponding charges to market participants are consistent with incentives for efficient market outcomes and should reduce gaming incentives. PJM is expected to file proposed changes, approved by the

membership, to the operating reserve rules with the FERC in 2008.

- Continued enhancements to the cost-benefit analysis of congestion and transmission investments to relieve congestion, especially where that congestion may enhance generator market power and where such investments support competition.

PJM has significantly improved its approach to the cost-benefit analysis of transmission investments. PJM should continue to evaluate critically its approach, particularly as it applies to constraints with large and persistent market impacts. New transmission projects and the lack of existing transmission can have significant impacts on the PJM markets. The goal of transmission planning should ultimately be the incorporation of transmission investment decisions into market-driven processes as much as is practicable.

- Modification of rules governing demand-side programs to ensure appropriate levels of payment and to ensure appropriate measurement and verification of demand-side response. Evaluation of additional actions to address institutional issues which may inhibit the evolution of demand-side price response.

PJM and the MMU should continue efforts to ensure that market power is not exercised on the demand side of the market, particularly via gaming of the measurement and verification process. The rules governing measurement and verification need to be tightened substantially. The principal barriers to the further development of demand-side response are in the interface between wholesale and retail markets.

- Provision of data to PJM from external control areas to enable improved analysis of loop flows in order to enhance the efficiency of PJM markets.

PJM and other control area operators have only limited access to the data required for a complete analysis of loop flow in the Eastern Interconnection. Provision of such data access and completion of the loop flow analysis could significantly enhance the transparency and efficiency of energy markets in both market and non market areas and the efficiency of transactions between market and non market areas as well as permit market-based congestion management across the Eastern Interconnection. Loop flows have negative impacts on the efficiency of market prices in markets with explicit locational pricing and can be evidence of attempts to game such markets. Loop flows also have poorly understood impacts on non market areas. PJM has taken some actions to address this issue and should give a high priority to continued actions to achieve this.

- Continued enhancement of mechanisms used to manage flows at the interfaces between PJM and surrounding areas.

Changes in net interchange affect PJM operations and markets as they require increases or decreases in generation to meet load. As a result of the fact that ramp is free but is a valuable resource, there are strong incentives to game the ramp rules. The same is true of spot import service.

- Continued enhancement of PJM's posting of market data to promote market efficiency.

PJM has expanded the types and extent of data posted to the Web for public access. PJM should continue to expand data posting consistent with the goal of improving market efficiency and stimulating competition.

- Based on the outcome of the active, public process that addressed the independence of market monitoring during the MMU's ninth

year, the MMU is confident that the market monitoring function will be independent, well-organized, well-defined, clear to market participants and consistent with the policies of the FERC.^{4,5}

New Action

- Enhancements to PJM's scarcity pricing rules to create locational scarcity pricing signals in place of regional scarcity signals and to create stages of scarcity with corresponding stages of scarcity pricing in order to ensure competitive prices when scarcity conditions exist in market regions.

The MMU reviewed the summer of 2007 for scarcity conditions and the market prices that resulted. Based on the results, the MMU recommends that PJM's scarcity pricing mechanism be reviewed and modified. The definition of scarcity should include several stages of scarcity, each with an associated administrative price, rather than the single step now in the Tariff. Scarcity pricing should include stages, based on system conditions, with progressive impacts on prices. In addition, the actual market signal needs further refinement. Under the current rules, a scarcity pricing event sets prices for all generators in the defined area at the same level, equal to the highest accepted offer within a scarcity pricing region. The single scarcity price signal should be replaced by locational signals that are consistent with economic dispatch, consistent with locational pricing and consistent with competitive market outcomes. PJM should also consider adding new scarcity pricing regions.

4 PJM. "Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT)," "Attachment M: PJM Market Monitoring Plan," Third Revised Sheet No. 452 (Effective July 17, 2006). Section VII.A. states: "The reports to the PJM Board shall include recommendations as to whether changes to the Market Monitoring Unit or the Plan are required."

5 On December 19, 2007, the parties filed a settlement with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, pursuant to the September 20, 2007, order in Docket Nos. EL07-56-000 and EL07-58-000 (consolidated).

- Implementation of targeted, flexible real-time, market power mitigation in the Regulation Market.

The MMU concludes from the analysis of the 2007 data that the PJM Regulation Market in 2007 was characterized by structural market power in 80 percent of the hours, based on the results of the three pivotal supplier test. The MMU concludes that it would be preferable to retain the existing, experimental single PJM Regulation Market as the long-term market if appropriate mitigation can be implemented. Such mitigation, in the form of the three pivotal supplier test, addresses only the hours in which structural market power exists and therefore provides an incentive for the continued development of competition. While suppliers have not provided data on their cost to regulate, an analysis of the Regulation Market based on the MMU's cost estimates, adjusted to reflect the modified cost definitions implemented in 2007, indicates that offers above the competitive level set the clearing prices in 26 percent of the hours. The combined market results include the effects of the current mitigation mechanism which offer caps the two dominant suppliers in every hour. The MMU also recommends that all suppliers be required to provide cost-based regulation offers, consistent with the practice in the Energy Market.

- Consistent application of local market power rules to all constraints.

The MMU recommends that the Commission terminate the exemption from offer capping currently applicable to generation resources used to relieve the western, central and eastern reactive limits in the PJM Mid-Atlantic control zones and the AP South Interface. The MMU recommends that all constraints, including these interfaces, be subject to three pivotal supplier testing as specified in the PJM

Amended and Restated Operating Agreement (OA). The exemptions for the identified interfaces are no longer necessary given PJM's dynamic implementation of the three pivotal supplier test based on actual market conditions in real time. It is not necessary to make an *ex ante* decision about the market structure associated with individual interface constraints that applies for an extended period. Prior to the implementation of the three pivotal supplier test, all units required to resolve a constraint were offer capped. For the identified exempt interfaces, this could have resulted in the offer capping of a large number of units even when the relevant market was structurally competitive. That is no longer the case. Under the current PJM dynamic approach, offer capping will be applied only as necessary and will be applied on a nondiscriminatory basis for all units operating for all constraints. It would be reasonable to implement this change at the same time as the recommended changes to the scarcity pricing rules.

- Consistent application of local market power rules to all units, including those currently exempt from offer capping.

PJM's offer-capping rules provide that specific units are exempt from offer capping, based on their date of construction. In a January 25, 2005, order, the FERC found "that the exemption for post-1996 units from the offer capping rules is unjust and unreasonable under section 206 of the Federal Power Act and that the just and reasonable practice under section 206 is to terminate the exemption, with provisions to grandfather units for which construction commenced in reliance on the exemption."⁶ The FERC noted, however, that grandfathered units would "still be subject to mitigation in the event that PJM or its market monitor concludes that these units exercise

significant market power."⁷ A small number of exempt units accounted for a disproportionate share of markup in 2007. Eight exempt units accounted for 20 percent of the overall markup component of PJM prices in 2007.

The rationale for grandfathering the specific 56 exempt units was that their owners might have relied on the exemption in deciding whether to invest. Given the substantial changes in PJM markets, including the introduction of the RPM Capacity Market and scarcity pricing, the rationale for grandfathering no longer holds. The combination of RPM and scarcity pricing has had a substantial impact on unit revenues, as demonstrated in the "Net Revenue" section of the *2007 State of the Market Report*. Rather than devise a special market power test for exempt units or go through a separate process for each such unit, it would be reasonable to remove the exemption on a going forward basis.

6 110 FERC ¶ 61,053 (2005).

7 110 FERC ¶ 61,053 (2005).

