

REPORT TO THE OHIO PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

Congestion in the State of Ohio: January 1, 2009 through December 31, 2010

The Independent Market Monitor for PJM January 18, 2011

This page intentionally left blank.

Overview of Congestion Calculations

This report provides details of congestion associated with the PJM related buses in the state of Ohio for the calendar years 2009 and 2010.¹ Congestion calculations throughout this report are for the PJM related buses wholly within the state of Ohio and not for any specific organization. The total congestion calculations are the sum of all the congestion calculations for the organizations with market activity in the area. The report also includes congestion event hours for the constraints which had the largest impact on congestion charges for PJM related buses in the state of Ohio, either positive or negative, and the congestion charges associated with each constraint.²

Total congestion costs equal net congestion costs plus explicit congestion costs. Net congestion costs equal load congestion payments minus generation congestion credits. Explicit congestion costs are the net congestion costs associated with point-to-point energy transactions. Each of these categories of congestion costs is comprised of day-ahead and balancing congestion costs. Day-ahead congestion costs are based on day-ahead MW while balancing congestion costs are based on deviations between day-ahead and real-time MW priced at the congestion price in the Real Time Energy Market. ³

Table 1 shows a summary of the total congestion costs in Ohio for calendar years 2009 and 2010. Table 2 shows a monthly breakdown of congestion costs for calendar years 2009 and 2010.

Table 1 Total Ohio congestion costs (Dollars (Millions)): Calendar years 2009 and 2010

	Congestion	Percent
	Costs	Change
2009	(\$9.4)	NA
2010	(\$28.0)	(196%)
Total	(\$37.4)	

Any discussion of Ohio congestion in the following report is referring to congestion associated with PJM related buses within the state of Ohio, rather than the entire state of Ohio.

² Congestion event hours are hours in which a transmission constraint is binding. In day ahead, an interval equals one hour. In real time, an interval equals five minutes. In order to have a consistent metric for day-ahead and real-time congestion frequency, real-time congestion frequency is measured using the convention that an hour is constrained if any one of its component five-minute intervals is constrained.

See Table 11, "Congestion Definitions," for a summary of relevant definitions.

Table 2 Monthly Ohio congestion costs (Dollars (Millions)): Calendar years 2009 and 2010

	Total Co	ngestion Cos	sts
	2009	2010	Change
Jan	(\$5.4)	(\$4.7)	\$0.8
Feb	(\$2.4)	(\$3.5)	(\$1.1)
Mar	(\$1.7)	(\$0.3)	\$1.4
Apr	(\$1.1)	(\$2.5)	(\$1.4)
May	\$0.1	(\$3.4)	(\$3.5)
Jun	\$0.6	(\$1.0)	(\$1.5)
Jul	(\$0.0)	(\$0.2)	(\$0.2)
Aug	\$0.9	(\$0.0)	(\$1.0)
Sep	\$0.7	(\$2.1)	(\$2.8)
Oct	\$1.0	(\$0.3)	(\$1.4)
Nov	\$0.1	(\$0.8)	(\$0.9)
Dec	(\$2.3)	(\$9.2)	(\$6.9)

Congestion at any point on the system can be expressed as a component of LMP measured relative to the system marginal price (SMP). The price at the load weighted reference bus is called the system marginal price SMP. Thus, the SMP is equal to the load weighted system price for the entire footprint. At the load weighted system reference bus there is no congestion and there are no marginal losses. The congestion component of LMP (CLMP) at any point on the system is calculated with respect to the SMP. When a transmission constraint occurs, the resulting CLMP is positive on one side of the constraint and negative on the other side of the constraint and the corresponding congestion costs are positive or negative. For each transmission constraint, the CLMP reflects the cost of the constraint at a pricing node and is equal to the product of the constraint shadow price and the distribution factor at the pricing node.

The total CLMP at a pricing node is the sum of all constraint contributions to LMP at the node and is equal to the difference between the actual LMP that results from transmission constraints, excluding losses, and the SMP. This total can be either positive or negative.

Where the load weighted CLMP for an area is negative, the area is experiencing negative congestion relative to the system load weighted reference bus and the area's load weighted LMP is less than SMP. Where the load weighted LMP in an area is less than SMP, total congestion in the area will be negative. Where the load weighted CLMP for an area is positive, the area is experiencing positive congestion relative to the system load weighted reference bus and the area's load weighted LMP is less than SMP. Where the load weighted LMP in an area is more than SMP, total congestion in the area will be positive.

In order to provide a more detailed explanation of the congestion calculations from which the total congestion charges are derived, each category of congestion is defined and a table of the congestion charges or credits associated with each category is provided.

Net Congestion

The net congestion bill is calculated by subtracting generating congestion credits from load congestion payments. The logic is that increased congestion payments by load are offset by increased congestion revenues to generation, for the area analyzed. Whether the net congestion bill is an appropriate measure of congestion for load depends on who pays the load congestion payments and who receives the generation congestion credits. The net congestion bill is an appropriate measure of congestion for a utility that charges load congestion payments to load and credits generation congestion credits to load. The net congestion bill is not an appropriate measure of congestion in situations where load pays the load congestion payments but does not receive the generation credits as an offset.

Load congestion payments are netted against generation congestion credits on an hourly basis, by participant, and then summed for the given period. The load congestion payments for a participant may be offset by credits from its owned generation portfolio or by credits from supply purchased from another entity via a bilateral transaction.

Load congestion payments and generation congestion credits are calculated for both the Day-Ahead and Balancing Energy Markets.

- Day-ahead Load Congestion Payments. Day-ahead load congestion payments are
 calculated for all cleared demand, decrement bids, and day-ahead energy sale
 transactions. (Decrement bids and energy sales can be thought of as scheduled load.)
 Day-ahead load congestion payments are calculated using load MW and the
 congestion component of LMP (CLMP) for the load bus, decrement bid location, or
 the source of the sale transaction, as applicable.
- Day-ahead Generation Congestion Credits. Day-ahead generation congestion credits are calculated for all cleared generation and increment offers and day-ahead energy purchase transactions. (Increment offers and energy purchases can be thought of as scheduled generation.) Day-ahead generation congestion credits are calculated using generation MW and the CLMP for the generator bus, increment offer location, or the sink of the purchase transaction, as applicable.
- Balancing Load Congestion Payments. Balancing load congestion payments are
 calculated for all deviations between a PJM Member's real-time load and energy sale
 transactions and their day-ahead cleared demand, decrement bids, and energy sale
 transactions. Balancing load congestion payments are calculated using MW

deviations and the real-time CLMP for each bus where a deviation from a member's day-ahead scheduled load exists.

• Balancing Generation Congestion Credits. Balancing generation congestion credits are calculated for all deviations between a PJM Member's real-time generation and energy purchase transactions and the day-ahead cleared generation, increment offers and energy purchase transactions. Balancing generation congestion credits are calculated using MW deviations and the real-time CLMP for each bus where a deviation from a member's day-ahead scheduled generation exists.

Explicit Congestion Costs

Explicit congestion costs are the congestion costs associated with moving energy from one specific point to another across the transmission system. Point-to-point transactions may be either internal to PJM or be import or export transactions. Explicit congestion charges equal the difference between source and sink CLMPs for a point-to-point transaction.

- **Internal Purchases**. For internal purchases the explicit congestion costs are calculated based on the difference in CLMPs between the sink bus and source bus of the purchase.
- Import & Export Transactions. For point-to-point and network secondary transmission customers, the explicit congestion costs are calculated based on the difference in CLMPs between the sink bus and source bus of the purchase. Interface pricing points are the source bus for import transactions and the sink bus for export transactions.

The explicit congestion costs calculated for Ohio represent the costs associated with point to point transactions that sink in Ohio. For example, if a transaction is sourced in Pennsylvania and sinks in Ohio, the charges would be based on the MW of the transaction multiplied by the difference between the sink CLMP and the source CLMP. The resulting congestion costs are allocated to the zone and state of the sink location, in this case all of the zones contained in Ohio.

Table 3 shows the combined day-ahead and balancing load congestion payments, generation congestion credits, and explicit congestion costs for Ohio for calendar years 2009 and 2010. Table 4 shows the congestion cost categories separated by day-ahead and balancing to show the contributions from both the day-ahead and real-time markets.

Table 3 Total Ohio congestion costs by category: Calendar years 2009 and 2010

		Congestio	n Costs (Millions))	
	Load	Generation	Net Congestion		Grand
	Payments	Credits	Bill	Explicit	Total
2009	(\$54.1)	(\$45.8)	(\$8.2)	(\$1.2)	(\$9.4)
2010	(\$113.9)	(\$90.4)	(\$23.5)	(\$4.4)	(\$28.0)

Table 4 Total day-ahead and balancing Ohio congestion costs by category: Calendar years 2009 and 2010

	Congestion Costs (Millions)								
	Day Ahead			Balancing					
	Load	Generation			Load	Generation			Grand
	Payments	Credits	Explicit	Total	Payments	Credits	Explicit	Total	Total
2009	(\$51.0)	(\$52.1)	(\$0.6)	\$0.5	(\$3.1)	\$6.3	(\$0.6)	(\$9.9)	(\$9.4)
2010	(\$97.8)	(\$111.8)	\$2.4	\$16.4	(\$16.1)	\$21.4	(\$6.9)	(\$44.4)	(\$28.0)

Table 5 lists the top 15 constraints affecting Ohio congestion costs for calendar year 2010. Table 5 provides the type of constraint (Line, Transformer, Interface, or Flowgate), the location of the constraint and the congestion event hours for the period analyzed.

Table 5 Top 15 constraints affecting Ohio congestion costs: Calendar year 2010

				Event F	
				Day	Real
No.	Constraint	Туре	Location	Ahead	Time
1	AP South	Interface	500	4,645	1,528
2	Belmont	Transformer	AP	1,887	203
3	Tiltonsville - Windsor	Line	AP	2,723	506
4	5004/5005 Interface	Interface	500	1,644	605
5	Wylie Ridge	Transformer	AP	728	683
6	Bedington - Black Oak	Interface	500	2,291	212
7	AEP-DOM	Interface	500	691	187
8	Mahans Lane - Tidd	Line	AEP	646	207
9	East Frankfort - Crete	Line	ComEd	3,084	850
10	Millville - Old Chapel	Line	AP	210	303
11	Brues - West Bellaire	Line	AEP	0	78
12	Doubs	Transformer	AP	920	525
13	Erie West	Transformer	PENELEC	680	175
14	Pleasant Prairie - Zion	Flowgate	Midwest ISO	1,098	310
15	Crescent	Transformer	DLCO	740	174

Table 6 shows the congestion cost details of the top 15 constraints affecting Ohio congestion costs for calendar year 2010.

Table 6 Congestion cost details for the top 15 constraints affecting Ohio: Calendar year 2010

	Congestion Costs (Millions)								
		Day Ahea	ad			Balancin	ıg		
	Load G	eneration			Load	Generation			Grand
Constraint	Payments	Credits	Explicit	Total	Payments	Credits	Explicit	Total	Total
AP South	(\$33.1)	(\$28.7)	(\$3.1)	(\$7.6)	(\$3.4)	\$3.6	\$2.0	(\$5.0)	(\$12.7)
Belmont	\$8.8	\$1.4	\$1.6	\$9.0	(\$2.4)	(\$0.7)	(\$1.0)	(\$2.7)	\$6.3
Tiltonsville - Windsor	(\$6.7)	(\$5.0)	(\$0.9)	(\$2.6)	(\$0.6)	\$1.6	\$1.2	(\$0.9)	(\$3.5)
5004/5005 Interface	(\$10.2)	(\$10.1)	(\$0.7)	(\$0.8)	(\$1.2)	\$1.8	\$0.7	(\$2.3)	(\$3.0)
Wylie Ridge	(\$3.5)	(\$2.7)	(\$0.3)	(\$1.1)	(\$1.1)	\$1.2	\$0.3	(\$1.9)	(\$3.0)
Bedington - Black Oak	(\$10.8)	(\$9.6)	(\$0.9)	(\$2.1)	(\$0.2)	\$0.7	\$0.4	(\$0.5)	(\$2.6)
AEP-DOM	(\$4.5)	(\$7.2)	\$0.4	\$3.0	(\$0.5)	\$0.9	\$0.3	(\$1.1)	\$1.9
Mahans Lane - Tidd	(\$1.0)	(\$2.9)	(\$0.3)	\$1.6	\$0.2	\$0.1	\$0.1	\$0.2	\$1.8
East Frankfort - Crete	\$2.8	\$2.2	\$1.5	\$2.1	\$0.3	\$0.0	(\$0.7)	(\$0.4)	\$1.6
Millville - Old Chapel	(\$0.9)	(\$0.9)	(\$0.0)	(\$0.0)	(\$0.5)	\$1.3	\$0.2	(\$1.6)	(\$1.6)
Brues - West Bellaire	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	(\$1.1)	\$0.4	(\$0.1)	(\$1.6)	(\$1.6)
Doubs	(\$5.5)	(\$5.3)	(\$0.2)	(\$0.4)	(\$0.6)	\$0.7	\$0.2	(\$1.2)	(\$1.6)
Erie West	(\$2.1)	(\$1.7)	(\$0.1)	(\$0.6)	(\$0.3)	\$0.3	\$0.1	(\$0.5)	(\$1.1)
Pleasant Prairie - Zion	\$0.0	(\$0.0)	\$0.6	\$0.7	\$0.0	\$0.0	(\$1.7)	(\$1.7)	(\$1.0)
Crescent	(\$0.5)	\$0.2	\$0.0	(\$0.7)	(\$0.1)	\$0.0	(\$0.0)	(\$0.2)	(\$0.8)

Table 7 lists the top 15 constraints affecting Ohio congestion costs for calendar year 2009. Table 7 provides the type of constraint (Line, Transformer, Interface, or Flowgate), the location of the constraint and the congestion event hours for the period analyzed.

Table 7 Top 15 constraints affecting Ohio congestion costs: Calendar year 2009

				Front III	
				Event Ho	
				Day	Real
No.	Constraint	Туре	Location	Ahead	Time
1	AP South	Interface	500	3,549	604
2	Kammer - Ormet	Line	AEP	552	509
3	Sammis - Wylie Ridge	Line	AP	806	157
4	Wylie Ridge	Transformer	AP	354	335
5	West	Interface	500	504	87
6	Tiltonsville - Windsor	Line	AP	2,070	311
7	Mount Storm - Pruntytown	Line	AP	525	132
8	Kammer	Transformer	500	3,674	1,328
9	Belmont	Transformer	AP	1,029	76
10	5004/5005 Interface	Interface	500	776	294
11	Marquis - Waverly	Line	AEP	74	14
12	Poston - Postel Tap	Line	AEP	148	118
13	Dunes Acres - Michigan City	Flowgate	Midwest ISO	2,949	910
14	Ruth - Turner	Line	AEP	704	313
15	East Frankfort - Crete	Line	ComEd	2,163	605
15	East Frankfort - Crete	Line	ComEd	2,163	60

Table 8 shows the congestion cost details of the top 15 constraints affecting Ohio congestion costs for calendar year 2009.

Table 8 Congestion cost details for the top 15 constraints affecting Ohio: Calendar year 2009

	Congestion Costs (Millions)								
		Day Ahe	ad			Balancin	ıg		
	Load	Generation			Load	Generation			Grand
Constraint	Payments	Credits	Explicit	Total	Payments	Credits	Explicit	Total	Total
AP South	(\$17.0)	(\$11.8)	(\$0.9)	(\$6.0)	(\$1.0)	\$0.9	\$0.4	(\$1.4)	(\$7.4)
Kammer - Ormet	\$8.1	(\$0.4)	\$0.3	\$8.7	(\$1.5)	\$0.1	(\$0.0)	(\$1.6)	\$7.1
Sammis - Wylie Ridge	(\$3.7)	(\$1.0)	(\$0.1)	(\$2.7)	(\$0.4)	\$0.2	(\$0.0)	(\$0.6)	(\$3.3)
Wylie Ridge	(\$5.5)	(\$2.8)	(\$0.1)	(\$2.8)	\$0.5	\$0.2	(\$0.1)	\$0.2	(\$2.6)
West	(\$6.4)	(\$4.4)	(\$0.0)	(\$2.1)	(\$0.0)	\$0.1	\$0.0	(\$0.1)	(\$2.2)
Tiltonsville - Windsor	(\$3.4)	(\$2.1)	(\$0.8)	(\$2.1)	\$0.1	\$0.4	\$0.5	\$0.3	(\$1.8)
Mount Storm - Pruntytown	(\$2.8)	(\$1.5)	(\$0.1)	(\$1.3)	\$0.0	\$0.3	\$0.1	(\$0.1)	(\$1.5)
Kammer	(\$10.6)	(\$10.6)	(\$0.2)	(\$0.2)	(\$0.3)	\$0.6	(\$0.2)	(\$1.1)	(\$1.3)
Belmont	\$0.8	(\$0.3)	\$0.3	\$1.4	(\$0.0)	(\$0.1)	(\$0.2)	(\$0.1)	\$1.2
5004/5005 Interface	(\$4.6)	(\$4.0)	(\$0.2)	(\$0.7)	(\$0.0)	\$0.2	\$0.0	(\$0.2)	(\$0.9)
Marquis - Waverly	\$0.7	(\$0.1)	\$0.1	\$0.9	(\$0.0)	\$0.0	(\$0.0)	(\$0.0)	\$0.9
Poston - Postel Tap	\$0.6	(\$0.1)	\$0.2	\$0.9	\$0.2	\$0.2	(\$0.1)	(\$0.0)	\$0.9
Dunes Acres - Michigan City	\$3.1	\$2.1	(\$0.2)	\$0.8	\$0.2	\$0.2	\$0.0	\$0.1	\$0.9
Ruth - Turner	(\$0.7)	(\$1.5)	\$0.1	\$0.9	(\$0.0)	\$0.1	\$0.0	(\$0.2)	\$0.7
East Frankfort - Crete	\$1.7	\$1.1	\$0.2	\$0.8	\$0.1	\$0.0	(\$0.1)	(\$0.1)	\$0.7

Table 9 shows the constraints with the largest changes in total congestion costs between calendar years 2009 and 2010. Congestion costs for the AP South interface decreased by \$5.2 million from 2009 to 2010. The location of AP South interface constraint relative to Ohio means that as this constraint occurs more frequently, the result is lower prices in Ohio and lower congestion. Congestion costs for the Kammer – Ormet line decreased by \$7.1 million from 2009 to 2010. The Kammer – Ormet line increases congestion costs in Ohio as congestion frequency increases. Congestion costs for the Kammer – Ormet line decreased as a result of the congestion event hours for this constraint decreasing.

Table 9 Top 15 constraint congestion cost deltas for Ohio: Calendar years 2009 and 2010

			Conges	tion Co	st Deltas (Mi	llions)			
	[Day Ahead				Balancin	g		
	Load G	eneration			Load	Generation			Grand
Constraint	Payments	Credits	Explicit	Total	Payments	Credits	Explicit	Total	Total
Kammer - Ormet	(\$8.1)	\$0.4	(\$0.3)	(\$8.7)	\$1.5	(\$0.1)	\$0.0	\$1.6	(\$7.1)
AP South	(\$16.1)	(\$16.8)	(\$2.3)	(\$1.6)	(\$2.4)	\$2.8	\$1.6	(\$3.6)	(\$5.2)
Belmont	\$8.1	\$1.7	\$1.3	\$7.7	(\$2.4)	(\$0.5)	(\$0.8)	(\$2.6)	\$5.0
Sammis - Wylie Ridge	\$2.8	\$0.6	\$0.0	\$2.2	\$0.3	(\$0.2)	(\$0.0)	\$0.4	\$2.6
West	\$3.8	\$1.0	(\$0.1)	\$2.7	(\$0.2)	\$0.1	\$0.0	(\$0.3)	\$2.4
5004/5005 Interface	(\$5.6)	(\$6.1)	(\$0.5)	(\$0.1)	(\$1.1)	\$1.6	\$0.7	(\$2.0)	(\$2.1)
Doubs	(\$3.5)	(\$2.5)	(\$0.1)	(\$1.1)	(\$0.5)	\$0.4	\$0.1	(\$0.8)	(\$1.9)
Bedington - Black Oak	(\$8.8)	(\$8.1)	(\$0.8)	(\$1.6)	(\$0.1)	\$0.6	\$0.4	(\$0.3)	(\$1.9)
Tiltonsville - Windsor	(\$3.3)	(\$2.9)	(\$0.2)	(\$0.5)	(\$0.7)	\$1.2	\$0.7	(\$1.2)	(\$1.7)
Mahans Lane - Tidd	(\$1.0)	(\$2.8)	(\$0.3)	\$1.5	\$0.2	\$0.1	\$0.1	\$0.2	\$1.7
Millville - Old Chapel	(\$0.9)	(\$0.9)	(\$0.0)	(\$0.0)	(\$0.5)	\$1.3	\$0.2	(\$1.6)	(\$1.6)
Brues - West Bellaire	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	(\$1.1)	\$0.4	(\$0.1)	(\$1.6)	(\$1.6)
AEP-DOM	(\$3.9)	(\$6.1)	\$0.3	\$2.5	(\$0.5)	\$0.8	\$0.3	(\$1.1)	\$1.5
Kammer	\$10.6	\$10.6	\$0.2	\$0.2	\$0.3	(\$0.6)	\$0.2	\$1.1	\$1.3
Erie West	(\$2.1)	(\$1.7)	(\$0.1)	(\$0.6)	(\$0.3)	\$0.3	\$0.1	(\$0.5)	(\$1.1)

Table 10 Congestion event hour deltas for the top 15 constraints with the largest congestion cost deltas for Ohio: Calendar years 2009 and 2010

				Event Ho	ours
				Day	Real
No.	Constraint	Туре	Location	Ahead	Time
1	Kammer - Ormet	Line	AEP	(552)	(506)
2	AP South	Interface	500	1,096	924
3	Belmont	Transformer	AP	858	127
4	Sammis - Wylie Ridge	Line	AP	(282)	(97)
5	West	Interface	500	(325)	(22)
6	5004/5005 Interface	Interface	500	868	311
7	Doubs	Transformer	AP	491	279
8	Bedington - Black Oak	Interface	500	1,622	139
9	Tiltonsville - Windsor	Line	AP	653	195
10	Mahans Lane - Tidd	Line	AEP	520	176
11	Millville - Old Chapel	Line	AP	209	301
12	Brues - West Bellaire	Line	AEP	0	78
13	AEP-DOM	Interface	500	356	51
14	Kammer	Transformer	500	(3,674)	(1,328)
15	Erie West	Transformer	PENELEC	680	175

Conclusion

Over the study period, congestion costs in Ohio were negative due to the west to east pattern of power flows. Congestion costs in Ohio decreased from negative \$9.4 million in 2009 to negative \$28.0 million in 2010, a decrease of \$18.5 million or 196 percent. The decrease in congestion costs was largely due to an increase in the frequency of occurrence on many of the major constraints in PJM, including the AP South interface, the Tiltonsville – Windsor line, the Wylie Ridge transformer, and the Bedington – Black Oak interface, each of which cause congestion in Ohio to decrease.

Congestion Definitions

Table 11 Congestion Definitions

Congestion Category	Calculation
Day-Ahead Load Congestion Payments	Day-Ahead Demand MWh * Day-Ahead CLMP
Day-Ahead Generation Congestion Credits	Day-Ahead Supply MWh * Day-Ahead CLMP
Day-Ahead Net Congestion Bill	Day-Ahead Load Congestion Payments - Day-Ahead Generation Congestion Credits
Day-Ahead Explicit Congestion Costs	Day-Ahead Transaction MW * (Day-Ahead Sink CLMP - Day-Ahead Source CLMP)
Day-Ahead Total Congestion Costs	Day-Ahead Load Congestion Payments - Day-Ahead Generation Congestion Credits + Day-Ahead Explicit Congestion Costs
Balancing Load Congestion Payments	Balancing Demand MWh* Real-Time CLMP
Balancing Generation Congestion Credits	Balancing Supply MWh * Real-Time CLMP
Balancing Net Congestion Bill	Balancing Load Congestion Payments - Balancing Generation Congestion Credits
Balancing Explicit Congestion Costs	Balancing Transaction MW * (Real-Time Sink CLMP - Real-Time Source CLMP)
Balancing Total Congestion Costs	Balancing Load Congestion Payments - Balancing Generation Congestion Credits + Balancing Explicit Congestion Costs
Total Congestion Costs	Day-Ahead Total Congestion Costs + Balancing Total Congestion Costs
MWh Category	Definition
Day-Ahead Demand MWh	Cleared Demand, Decrement Bids, Energy Sale Transactions
Day-Ahead Supply MWh	Cleared Generation, Increment Bids, Energy Purchase Transactions
Real-Time Demand MWh	Load and Energy Sale Transactions
Real-Time Supply MWh	Generation and Energy Purchase Transactions
Balancing Demand MWh	Real-Time Demand MWh - Day-Ahead Demand MWh
Balancing Supply MWh	Real-Time Supply MWh - Day-Ahead Supply MWh