PJM MISO Joint Common Market Initiative Meeting Modeling Interface between PJM and MISO

August 20, 2015

Joe Bowring Devendra Canchi Vicki Folmar



Monitoring Analytics

PJM IMM Position

PJM IMM recommends

- a two-day meeting of technical experts and decision makers from MISO, PJM, ISO-NE, NYISO, SPP and their market monitors to discuss best solutions for a coordinated dispatch and pricing model
- any long term solution should reflect the locational marginal pricing that would result from a single LMP dispatch over the entire multi-RTO/ISO area
- in the short term, PJM and MISO adopt PJM's proposal to redefine the MISO and PJM interfaces to a common set of buses close to the border





Load Weighted Reference

MISO IMM claims

- "the Reference Bus (weighted by load) is a reasonable interface definition" since marginal generators are distributed "consistent with the distribution of load and generation"
- Not all marginal generators have the same impact on every transmission constraint



Monitoring Analytics

Load Weighted Reference

- PJM IMM calculates marginal generator sensitivity factor (unit participation factors) for every transmission constraint and pricing node
- Marginal generator sensitivities tend to vary across the PJM footprint
- The generator located in PSEG region tends to have a very small sensitivity factor to a transmission constraint located in the COMED region





- Converges towards a dispatch solution that would have resulted if both RTOs were to be jointly dispatched
- Minimal exchange of information between RTOs
- Avoids sharing of generator bids
- No need for transactions

* Zhao et. al., "A marginal equivalent decomposition method and its application to multi-area optimal power flow problems," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 53-61, Jan. 2014.





PJM/MISO Dispatch Problem

Minimize Cost of Generation

Subject to:

Total Generation = Load Flow ≤ Limit Generation ≤ Capacity

* Zhao et. al., "A marginal equivalent decomposition method and its application to multi-area optimal power flow problems," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 53-61, Jan. 2014.





PJM Dispatch Problem

Minimize Cost of Generation + Cost of MISO Marginal Gen

Subject to:

PJM Generation + MISO Non Marginal Gen + MISO Marginal Gen =

PJM Load + MISO Load

PJM Flow + MISO Non Marginal Flow + MISO Marginal Flow ≤ Limit Generation ≤ Capacity

MISO Dispatch Problem

Minimize Cost of Generation + Cost of PJM Marginal Gen

Subject to:

MISO Generation + PJM Non Marginal Gen + PJM Marginal Gen =

PJM Load + MISO Load

MISO Flow + PJM Non Marginal Flow + PJM Marginal Flow ≤ Limit

Generation ≤ Capacity

* Zhao et. al., "A marginal equivalent decomposition method and its application to multi-area optimal power flow problems," IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 53-61, Jan. 2014.

- Information Exchange between RTOs
 - Network information (shift factors)
 - Binding Constraints
 - Marginal Units and Marginal Prices
 - Contribution of non marginal units to power balance and transmission constraints

* Zhao et. al., "A marginal equivalent decomposition method and its application to multi-area optimal power flow problems," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 53-61, Jan. 2014.



8

Monitoring Analytics, LLC 2621 Van Buren Avenue Suite 160 Eagleville, PA 19403 (610) 271-8050 **MA@monitoringanalytics.com** www.MonitoringAnalytics.com



9