

Appendix – Errata

Section 3, Energy Market

Change: On page 98 update text and Table 3-32 as shown below:

In 2014, the average hourly up-to congestion submitted MW decreased 5.0 percent and cleared MW decreased 4.0 percent, compared to 2013, as a result of the decreases after September 8.

Table 3-32 Hourly average number of cleared and submitted up-to congestion bids by month: 2013 and 2014

Year	Up-to Congestion			Average Submitted Volume
	Average Cleared MW	Average Submitted MW	Average Cleared Volume	
2013 Jan	44,844	157,229	1,384	4,205
2013 Feb	46,351	144,066	1,419	3,862
2013 Mar	49,003	163,178	1,467	3,745
2013 Apr	57,938	193,366	1,683	4,229
2013 May	59,700	203,521	1,679	4,754
2013 Jun	60,210	229,912	1,984	5,997
2013 Jul	49,674	201,630	1,658	5,300
2013 Aug	44,765	157,748	1,477	3,923
2013 Sep	45,412	136,813	1,408	3,507
2013 Oct	45,918	145,026	1,705	4,267
2013 Nov	54,643	171,439	2,108	5,365
2013 Dec	60,588	197,092	2,204	5,948
2013 Annual	51,598	175,255	1,682	4,596
2014 Jan	55,969	199,708	2,436	7,056
2014 Feb	64,123	229,256	3,262	9,020
2014 Mar	66,003	243,469	3,527	10,920
2014 Apr	73,453	224,924	3,216	8,390
2014 May	73,853	251,463	3,057	8,860
2014 Jun	69,050	235,590	2,781	8,221
2014 Jul	66,800	212,485	2,855	7,856
2014 Aug	66,272	214,713	3,003	7,933
2014 Sep	25,370	86,237	1,210	2,979
2014 Oct	9,298	30,502	512	1,289
2014 Nov	11,890	36,600	661	1,633
2014 Dec	12,952	37,177	770	1,770
2014 Annual	49,511	166,537	2,269	6,315

2014 State of the Market Report for PJM

Change: On page 101, update Table 3-36 to the following:

Table 3-35 PJM up-to congestion transactions by type of parent organization (MW): 2013 and 2014

Category	2013		2014	
	Total Up-to Congestion MW	Percent	Total Up-to Congestion MW	Percent
Financial	432,126,914	95.6%	418,069,242	96.4%
Physical	19,875,032	4.4%	15,649,759	3.6%
Total	452,001,946	100.0%	433,719,001	100.0%

Change: On page 101, update Table 3-39 to the following:

Table 3-39 PJM cleared up-to congestion import bids by top ten source and sink pairs (MW): 2013 and 2014

2013 Imports				
Source	Source Type	Sink	Sink Type	MW
OVEC	INTERFACE	DEOK	ZONE	1,277,685
OVEC	INTERFACE	STUART 1	AGGREGATE	1,033,271
OVEC	INTERFACE	MIAMI FORT 7	AGGREGATE	971,443
NYIS	INTERFACE	HUDSON BC	AGGREGATE	894,530
NORTHWEST	INTERFACE	ZION 1	AGGREGATE	733,906
NORTHWEST	INTERFACE	BYRON 1	AGGREGATE	576,253
OVEC	INTERFACE	BECKJORD 6	AGGREGATE	569,729
OVEC	INTERFACE	SPORN 2	AGGREGATE	524,883
IMO	INTERFACE	WESTERN HUB	HUB	489,032
SOUTHEAST	INTERFACE	CLOVER	EHVAGG	482,986
Top ten total				7,553,718
PJM total				40,902,161
Top ten total as percent of PJM total				18.5%
2014 Imports				
Source	Source Type	Sink	Sink Type	MW
HUDSONTP	INTERFACE	LEONIA 230 T-2	AGGREGATE	979,669
SOUTHEAST	INTERFACE	EDANVILL T1	AGGREGATE	759,991
MISO	INTERFACE	COOK	EHVAGG	666,261
OVEC	INTERFACE	BIG SANDY CT1	AGGREGATE	603,745
NORTHWEST	INTERFACE	N ILLINOIS HUB	HUB	571,373
MISO	INTERFACE	AEP-DAYTON HUB	HUB	462,719
NEPTUNE	INTERFACE	SOUTHRIV 230	AGGREGATE	436,574
SOUTHEAST	INTERFACE	CLOVER	EHVAGG	428,397
OVEC	INTERFACE	AEP-DAYTON HUB	HUB	402,375
HUDSONTP	INTERFACE	LEONIA 230 T-1	AGGREGATE	383,260
Top ten total				5,694,366
PJM total				29,282,620
Top ten total as percent of PJM total				19.4%

2014 State of the Market Report for PJM

Change: On page 102, update Table 3-40 to the following:

Table 3-40 PJM cleared up-to congestion export bids by top ten source and sink pairs (MW): 2013 and 2014

2013 Exports				
Source	Source Type	Sink	Sink Type	MW
JEFFERSON	EHVAGG	OVEC	INTERFACE	2,337,713
ROCKPORT	EHVAGG	SOUTHWEST	INTERFACE	1,489,113
21 KINCA ATR24304	AGGREGATE	SOUTHWEST	INTERFACE	1,347,573
SULLIVAN-AEP	EHVAGG	OVEC	INTERFACE	1,233,366
TANNERS CRK 4	AGGREGATE	OVEC	INTERFACE	1,157,724
ROCKPORT	EHVAGG	OVEC	INTERFACE	1,007,610
F387 CHICAGO	AGGREGATE	NIPSCO	INTERFACE	828,452
GAVIN	EHVAGG	OVEC	INTERFACE	706,465
21 KINCA ATR24304	AGGREGATE	OVEC	INTERFACE	688,745
EAST BEND 2	AGGREGATE	OVEC	INTERFACE	661,555
Top ten total				11,458,315
PJM total				49,738,703
Top ten total as percent of PJM total				23.0%
2014 Exports				
Source	Source Type	Sink	Sink Type	MW
JEFFERSON	EHVAGG	OVEC	INTERFACE	2,073,052
TANNERS CRK 4	AGGREGATE	SOUTHWEST	INTERFACE	1,782,780
TANNERS CRK 4	AGGREGATE	OVEC	INTERFACE	809,364
21 KINCA ATR24304	AGGREGATE	SOUTHWEST	INTERFACE	693,816
ROCKPORT	EHVAGG	SOUTHWEST	INTERFACE	607,054
JEFFERSON	EHVAGG	SOUTHWEST	INTERFACE	606,723
ROCKPORT	EHVAGG	OVEC	INTERFACE	564,629
EAST BEND 2	AGGREGATE	SOUTHWEST	INTERFACE	427,156
UNIV PARK 1-6	AGGREGATE	NIPSCO	INTERFACE	426,011
BECKJORD 6	AGGREGATE	OVEC	INTERFACE	418,718
Top ten total				8,409,302
PJM total				30,285,649
Top ten total as percent of PJM total				27.8%

2014 State of the Market Report for PJM

Change: On page 102, update Table 3-41 to the following:

Table 3-41 PJM cleared up-to congestion wheel bids by top ten source and sink pairs (MW): 2013 and 2014

2013 Wheels				
Source	Source Type	Sink	Sink Type	MW
MISO	INTERFACE	NORTHWEST	INTERFACE	766,264
NORTHWEST	INTERFACE	MISO	INTERFACE	677,453
SOUTHWEST	INTERFACE	SOUTHEXP	INTERFACE	479,746
IMO	INTERFACE	NYIS	INTERFACE	330,340
MISO	INTERFACE	NIPSCO	INTERFACE	303,181
NORTHWEST	INTERFACE	NIPSCO	INTERFACE	143,047
OVEC	INTERFACE	IMO	INTERFACE	131,155
MISO	INTERFACE	SOUTHEXP	INTERFACE	118,693
LINDENVFT	INTERFACE	NYIS	INTERFACE	86,796
MISO	INTERFACE	OVEC	INTERFACE	83,065
Top ten total				3,119,740
PJM total				4,177,320
Top ten total as percent of PJM total				74.7%
2014 Wheels				
Source	Source Type	Sink	Sink Type	MW
NORTHWEST	INTERFACE	MISO	INTERFACE	775,527
OVEC	INTERFACE	SOUTHEXP	INTERFACE	344,298
MISO	INTERFACE	NORTHWEST	INTERFACE	334,888
SOUTHWEST	INTERFACE	SOUTHEXP	INTERFACE	255,763
MISO	INTERFACE	NIPSCO	INTERFACE	128,693
OVEC	INTERFACE	SOUTHWEST	INTERFACE	120,854
MISO	INTERFACE	SOUTHEXP	INTERFACE	97,877
NYIS	INTERFACE	IMO	INTERFACE	97,249
IMO	INTERFACE	NYIS	INTERFACE	91,942
NORTHWEST	INTERFACE	NIPSCO	INTERFACE	89,794
Top ten total				2,336,885
PJM total				2,984,112
Top ten total as percent of PJM total				78.3%

2014 State of the Market Report for PJM

Change: On page 103, update Table 3-42 to the following:

Table 3-42 PJM cleared up-to congestion internal bids by top ten source and sink pairs (MW): 2013 and 2014

2013 Internal				
Source	Source Type	Sink	Sink Type	MW
ATSI GEN HUB	HUB	ATSI	ZONE	5,675,792
SUNBURY 1-3	AGGREGATE	CITIZENS	AGGREGATE	4,405,866
MT STORM	EHVAGG	GREENLAND GAP	EHVAGG	3,910,366
FE GEN	AGGREGATE	ATSI	ZONE	2,980,966
WYOMING	EHVAGG	BROADFORD	EHVAGG	2,939,931
AEP-DAYTON HUB	HUB	WESTERN HUB	HUB	2,142,829
SUNBURY 1-3	AGGREGATE	FOSTER WHEELER	AGGREGATE	1,917,015
WHITPAIN	EHVAGG	ELROY	EHVAGG	1,868,461
DAY	ZONE	BUCKEYE - DPL	AGGREGATE	1,559,654
CORDOVA	AGGREGATE	QUAD CITIES 2	AGGREGATE	1,522,733
Top ten total				28,923,614
PJM total				357,183,762
Top ten total as percent of PJM total				8.1%
2014 Internal				
Source	Source Type	Sink	Sink Type	MW
MOUNTAINEER	EHVAGG	GAVIN	EHVAGG	6,627,189
DAY	ZONE	BUCKEYE - DPL	AGGREGATE	5,207,776
MOUNTAINEER	EHVAGG	FLATLICK	EHVAGG	4,297,331
ATSI GEN HUB	HUB	ATSI	ZONE	4,114,584
VERNON BK 4	AGGREGATE	AEC - JC	AGGREGATE	3,733,527
FE GEN	AGGREGATE	ATSI	ZONE	3,357,260
JEFFERSON	EHVAGG	COOK	EHVAGG	2,548,989
DUMONT	EHVAGG	COOK	EHVAGG	2,466,575
WESTERN HUB	HUB	AEP-DAYTON HUB	HUB	2,147,264
TANNERS CRK 4	AGGREGATE	STUART DIESEL	AGGREGATE	1,813,835
Top ten total				36,314,330
PJM total				371,166,620
Top ten total as percent of PJM total				9.8%

Change: On page 104, update Table 3-44 to the following:

Table 3-44 PJM cleared up-to congestion transactions by type (MW): 2013 and 2014

	2013				
	Cleared Up-to Congestion Bids				
	Import	Export	Wheel	Internal	Total
Top ten total (MW)	7,553,718	11,458,315	3,119,740	28,923,614	51,055,387
PJM total (MW)	40,902,161	49,738,703	4,177,320	357,183,762	452,001,946
Top ten total as percent of PJM total	18.5%	23.0%	74.7%	8.1%	11.3%
PJM total as percent of all up-to congestion transactions	9.0%	11.0%	0.9%	79.0%	100.0%
	2014				
	Cleared Up-to Congestion Bids				
	Import	Export	Wheel	Internal	Total
Top ten total (MW)	5,694,366	8,409,302	2,336,885	36,314,330	52,754,883
PJM total (MW)	29,282,620	30,285,649	2,984,112	371,166,620	433,719,001
Top ten total as percent of PJM total	19.4%	27.8%	78.3%	9.8%	12.2%
PJM total as percent of all up-to congestion transactions	6.8%	7.0%	0.7%	85.6%	100.0%

Section 7, Net Revenue

Change: On page 259, update text and Table 7-20 through Table 7-23 as follows:

Table 7-20 Energy Market net revenue for a wind installation (Dollars per installed MW-year)

Zone	2012				2013				2014				Percent Change in 2014 Total Revenue
	Energy	Credits	Capacity	Total	Energy	Credits	Capacity	Total	Energy	Credits	Capacity	Total	
ComEd	67,781	60,971	2,435	131,186	83,453	66,324	1,007	150,783	107,998	71,840	3,671	183,508	22%
PENELEC	68,929	51,529	5,439	125,897	87,404	58,951	8,189	154,545	126,556	61,619	7,466	195,641	27%

In 2014, a new wind installation would have received sufficient net revenue to cover levelized total costs in PENELEC ~~or but not in~~ ComEd.

Table 7-21 Percent of 20-year levelized total costs recovered by wind energy and capacity net revenue (Dollars per installed MW-year)

Zone	2012	2013	2014
ComEd	67%	77%	93%
PENELEC	64%	79%	99%

Table 7-22 PSEG Energy Market net revenue for a solar installation (Dollars per installed MW-year)

Zone	2012				2013				2014				Percent Change in 2014 Total Revenue
	Energy	Credits	Capacity	Total	Energy	Credits	Capacity	Total	Energy	Credits	Capacity	Total	
PSEG	50,363	328,733	17,565	396,661	81,813	328,720	26,516	437,050	100,313	323,268	24,995	448,577	3%

Table 7-23 Percent of 20-year levelized total costs recovered by solar energy and capacity net revenue (Dollars per installed MW-year)

Zone	2012	2013	2014
PSEG	100%	166%	190%

Change: On page 262, update Table 7-28 as follows:

Table 7-28 Class average net revenue from energy and ancillary markets and associated recovery of class average avoidable costs and total revenue from all markets and associated recovery of class average avoidable costs: 2014¹

Technology	Total Installed Capacity (ICAP)	Class average energy and ancillary net revenue (\$/MW-year)	Class average energy net revenue and capacity revenue (\$/MW-year)	Class average avoidable costs (\$/MW-year)
CC - NUG Cogeneration Frame B or E Technology	2,078	\$76,130	\$138,722	\$48,810
CC - Two on Three on One Frame F Technology	10,789	\$37,188	\$85,377	\$21,810
CT - First & Second Generation Aero (P&W FT 4)	3,505	\$23,014	\$78,718	\$9,439
CT - First & Second Generation Frame B	3,282	\$13,355	\$69,202	\$10,974
CT - Second Generation Frame E	9,826	\$15,641	\$58,708	\$9,707
CT - Third Generation Aero	3,864	\$26,031	\$75,112	\$19,799
CT - Third Generation Frame F	10,418	(\$5,350)	\$30,746	\$9,812
Diesel	480	\$29,717	\$78,206	\$9,627
Hydro	6,869	\$480,087	\$529,312	\$24,646
Nuclear	31,661	\$302,462	\$346,518	NA
Oil or Gas Steam	9,545	\$38,120	\$94,129	\$40,223
Sub-Critical Coal	28,284	\$69,316	\$102,224	\$68,463
Super Critical Coal	20,716	\$89,723	\$134,320	\$117,933

¹ 20-year levelized total cost used in place of Nuclear ACR.

2014 State of the Market Report for PJM

Change: On page 264 and 265, delete text and update Table 7-32 through Table 7-35 as follows:

Change: Table 7-32 shows the avoidable cost recovery from PJM energy and ancillary services markets by quartiles. In 2014, a substantial portion of units did not achieve full recovery of avoidable costs through energy markets alone. ~~Although there is not good public data on nuclear unit avoidable costs, the table includes the total annualized costs for a new nuclear unit as a rough proxy for the avoidable costs of an existing nuclear unit. This is only an approximation to provide a rough benchmark for avoidable cost results.~~

Table 7-32 Avoidable cost recovery by quartile from energy and ancillary net revenue for select technologies

Technology	Recovery of avoidable costs from energy and ancillary net revenue		
	First quartile	Second quartile	Third quartile
CC - NUG Cogeneration Frame B or E Technology	31%	72%	109%
CC - Two on Three on One Frame F Technology	0%	90%	140%
CT - First & Second Generation Aero (P&W FT 4)	32%	184%	319%
CT - First & Second Generation Frame B	NA	67%	240%
CT - Second Generation Frame E	NA	77%	225%
CT - Third Generation Aero	28%	61%	133%
CT - Third Generation Frame F	15%	79%	260%
Diesel	75%	536%	710%
Hydro	820%	1,039%	1,592%
Nuclear	NA	NA	NA
Oil or Gas Steam	NA	25%	87%
Sub-Critical Coal	10%	91%	143%
Super Critical Coal	83%	113%	172%

Change: Table 7-33 shows the avoidable cost recovery from all PJM markets by quartiles. The net revenues from all markets cover avoidable costs for most technology types. ~~The nuclear results are understated as a result of using the total annualized costs for a new nuclear unit is used as a rough approximation of the avoidable costs of an existing nuclear unit.~~

2014 State of the Market Report for PJM

Table 7-33 Avoidable cost recovery by quartile from all PJM Markets for select technologies for 2014

Technology	Recovery of avoidable costs from all markets		
	First quartile	Second quartile	Third quartile
CC - NUG Cogeneration Frame B or E Technology	179%	211%	276%
CC - Two on Three on One Frame F Technology	270%	378%	500%
CT - First & Second Generation Aero (P&W FT 4)	545%	783%	1,057%
CT - First & Second Generation Frame B	494%	611%	862%
CT - Second Generation Frame E	290%	415%	644%
CT - Third Generation Aero	165%	284%	368%
CT - Third Generation Frame F	303%	454%	704%
Diesel	554%	1,378%	1,570%
Hydro	1,037%	1,374%	1,808%
Nuclear	NA	NA	NA
Oil or Gas Steam	192%	259%	324%
Sub-Critical Coal	78%	134%	207%
Super Critical Coal	104%	173%	255%

Table 7-34 Proportion of units recovering avoidable costs from energy and ancillary markets

Technology	Units with full recovery from energy and ancillary services markets					
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
CC - NUG Cogeneration Frame B or E Technology	41%	81%	52%	40%	61%	50%
CC - Two on Three on One Frame F Technology	22%	54%	53%	52%	56%	59%
CT - First & Second Generation Aero (P&W FT 4)	27%	33%	16%	12%	19%	71%
CT - First & Second Generation Frame B	28%	27%	26%	20%	8%	50%
CT - Second Generation Frame E	52%	32%	40%	43%	38%	65%
CT - Third Generation Aero	20%	48%	51%	43%	23%	46%
CT - Third Generation Frame F	32%	29%	31%	62%	54%	51%
Diesel	62%	77%	68%	55%	53%	72%
Hydro and Pumped Storage	60%	99%	96%	99%	99%	99%
Nuclear	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Oil or Gas Steam	42%	52%	42%	39%	42%	48%
Sub-Critical Coal	28%	76%	53%	30%	44%	66%
Super Critical Coal	37%	80%	53%	28%	31%	79%

Table 7-35 Proportion of units recovering avoidable costs from all markets

Technology	Units with full recovery from all markets					
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
CC - NUG Cogeneration Frame B or E Technology	91%	90%	92%	90%	100%	100%
CC - Two on Three on One Frame F Technology	100%	89%	87%	90%	85%	93%
CT - First & Second Generation Aero (P&W FT 4)	98%	90%	90%	90%	86%	97%
CT - First & Second Generation Frame B	99%	99%	95%	94%	90%	97%
CT - Second Generation Frame E	100%	91%	90%	94%	94%	100%
CT - Third Generation Aero	74%	99%	99%	90%	73%	96%
CT - Third Generation Frame F	100%	96%	93%	92%	90%	97%
Diesel	100%	98%	91%	85%	74%	93%
Hydro and Pumped Storage	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Nuclear	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Oil or Gas Steam	95%	90%	68%	69%	77%	88%
Sub-Critical Coal	80%	94%	76%	48%	60%	80%
Super Critical Coal	77%	100%	80%	39%	64%	87%

Section 8, Environmental and Renewables

On Page 281, Table 8-10 should be updated to the following information.

Table 8-10 PJM renewable capacity by Jurisdiction (MW), on December 31, 2014

Jurisdiction	Coal	Landfill Gas	Natural Gas	Pumped- Run-of-River		Solar	Solid Waste	Waste Coal	Wind	Total
				Oil Storage	Hydro					
Delaware	0.0	8.1	1,797.0	13.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1,818.1
Illinois	0.0	49.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.0	0.0	0.0	2,187.4	2,245.9
Indiana	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.2	0.0	0.0	1,452.4	1,460.6
Iowa	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	185.0	185.0
Kentucky	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	61.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	61.0
Maryland	0.0	25.1	0.0	69.0	0.0	494.4	48.8	128.2	120.0	885.5
Michigan	0.0	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.9
New Jersey	0.0	81.7	0.0	0.0	453.0	11.5	228.5	0.0	4.5	779.1
North Carolina	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	352.5	0.0	162.0	0.0	514.5
Ohio	13,864.0	64.7	580.0	156.0	0.0	47.4	1.1	0.0	403.0	15,116.2
Pennsylvania	0.0	222.0	2,346.0	0.0	1,269.0	888.3	19.5	345.8	1,611.0	8,039.3
Tennessee	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	52.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	102.0
Virginia	0.0	130.1	0.0	17.0	5,166.2	350.5	0.0	444.9	585.0	6,693.7
West Virginia	8,772.0	2.2	519.0	0.0	0.0	213.9	0.0	0.0	165.0	10,255.4
PJM Total	22,636.0	591.4	5,242.0	255.0	6,888.2	2,493.5	306.9	1,130.9	2,361.0	48,178.1

On Page 281, Table 8-11 should be updated to the following information.

Table 8-11 Renewable capacity by Jurisdiction, non-PJM units registered in GATS (MW), on December 31, 2014

Jurisdiction	Coal	Hydroelectric	Landfill Gas	Natural Gas	Other Gas	Other Source	Solar	Solid Waste	Wind	Total
Delaware	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	2.1	62.1
Georgia	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	258.9	0.0	258.9
Illinois	0.0	6.6	92.4	0.0	0.6	0.0	22.3	0.0	502.5	624.4
Indiana	0.0	0.0	47.2	0.0	6.2	94.6	2.4	0.0	180.0	330.4
Iowa	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	185.0	185.0
Kentucky	600.0	2.2	16.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	93.0	0.0	712.6
Maryland	65.0	0.0	13.7	129.0	0.0	0.0	178.2	11.2	0.3	397.4
Michigan	55.0	1.3	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	60.7
Missouri	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	446.0	446.0
New Jersey	0.0	0.0	55.0	0.0	8.3	23.3	1,134.3	0.0	4.9	1,225.9
New York	0.0	158.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	159.1
North Carolina	0.0	27.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.6	30.0	0.0	66.1
Ohio	0.0	1.0	30.4	92.6	12.5	27.0	102.4	109.3	23.1	398.3
Pennsylvania	109.7	37.0	44.2	91.0	12.4	1.0	191.5	38.6	3.3	528.5
Tennessee	0.0	52.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	52.3
Virginia	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	57.7	0.0	57.7
West Virginia	0.0	9.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	44.6	0.0	54.0
Wisconsin	0.0	18.2	17.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.9	287.6	0.0	331.1
District of Columbia	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.8	0.0	0.0	12.8
Total	829.7	313.4	319.6	312.6	39.9	146.2	1,723.7	930.9	1,347.3	5,963.3

Section 9, Interchange Transactions

Prior versions of the 2014 State of the Market Report for PJM reported the MEC interface as distinct from MISO totals. Section 9 has been updated to include its activity as part of the MISO interface. This update impacts the following tables, as well as the associated write-up: Figure 9-1, Figure 9-2, Table 9-1, Table 9-2, Table 9-3, Table 9-4, Table 9-5, Table 9-6, Table 9-7, Table 9-8, Table 9-9, Table 9-10, Table 9-11, Table 9-12, Table 9-13, Table 9-14, Table 9-15, Table 9-18, Table 9-19, Table 9-20, Table 9-21, Table 9-22, Table 9-23 and Table 9-24.

Impacted values in the section Overview and in the Section 1 Introduction have also been updated.

Section 12, Planning

Change: On page 423, update Table 12-10 to the following (swapping the DPL and Dominion rows):

Table 12-10 Existing PJM capacity: At December 31, 2014 (By zone and unit type (MW))

Zone	CC	CT	Diesel	Fuel Cell	Hydroelectric	Nuclear	Solar	Steam	Storage	Wind	Total
AECO	901.9	705.9	22.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	41.7	815.9	0.0	7.5	2,495.5
AEP	4,900.0	3,682.2	77.1	0.0	1,071.9	2,071.0	0.0	24,264.8	4.0	1,953.2	38,024.2
APS	1,129.0	1,214.9	47.9	0.0	86.0	0.0	36.1	5,409.0	27.4	1,058.5	9,008.8
ATSI	685.0	1,617.4	74.0	0.0	0.0	2,134.0	0.0	6,540.0	0.0	0.0	11,050.4
BGE	0.0	720.0	18.4	0.0	0.0	1,716.0	0.0	2,995.5	0.0	0.0	5,449.9
ComEd	2,270.1	7,244.0	100.2	0.0	0.0	10,473.5	9.0	5,417.1	4.5	2,431.9	27,950.3
DAY	0.0	1,368.5	47.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	3,179.8	40.0	0.0	4,636.9
DEOK	47.2	842.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4,382.0	0.0	0.0	5,271.2
DLCO	244.0	15.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	1,777.0	0.0	784.0	0.0	0.0	2,826.3
Dominion	5,493.6	3,874.8	153.8	0.0	3,589.3	3,581.3	2.7	8,403.0	0.0	0.0	25,098.5
DPL	1,189.3	1,820.4	96.1	30.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	1,620.0	0.0	0.0	4,759.8
EKPC	0.0	774.0	0.0	0.0	70.0	0.0	0.0	1,882.0	0.0	0.0	2,726.0
EXT	1,471.0	297.9	0.0	0.0	269.1	12.5	0.0	5,253.5	0.0	0.0	7,304.0
JCPL	1,692.5	1,233.1	16.1	0.0	400.0	614.5	96.3	10.0	0.0	0.0	4,062.5
Met-Ed	2,111.0	406.5	41.4	0.0	19.0	805.0	0.0	200.0	0.0	0.0	3,582.9
PECO	3,209.0	836.0	2.9	0.0	1,642.0	4,546.8	3.0	979.1	1.0	0.0	11,219.8
PENELEC	0.0	407.5	45.8	0.0	512.8	0.0	0.0	6,793.5	0.0	930.9	8,690.5
Pepco	1,807.9	616.2	60.5	0.0	706.6	2,520.0	15.0	5,169.9	20.0	219.7	11,135.8
PPL	3,091.3	2,653.8	12.0	0.0	5.0	3,493.0	108.2	2,050.1	2.0	0.0	11,415.4
PSEG	230.0	1,091.7	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3,649.1	0.0	0.0	4,980.7
Total	30,472.8	31,421.8	826.2	30.0	8,378.0	33,744.6	317.1	89,798.3	98.9	6,601.7	201,689.4