Recommendations

In order to perform its role in PJM market design, the MMU evaluates existing and proposed PJM Market Rules and the design of the PJM Markets. The MMU initiates and proposes changes to the design of such markets or the PJM Market Rules in stakeholder or regulatory proceedings. In support of this function, the MMU engages in discussions with stakeholders, State Commissions, PJM Management, and the PJM Board; participates in PJM stakeholder meetings or working groups regarding market design matters; publishes proposals, reports or studies on such market design issues; and makes filings with the Commission on market design issues. The MMU also recommends changes to the PJM Market Rules to the staff of the Commission’s Office of Energy Market Regulation, State Commissions, and the PJM Board. The MMU may provide in its annual, quarterly and other reports “recommendations regarding any matter within its purview.”

Summary of New Recommendations

Table 2-1 includes a brief description and a priority ranking of the MMU’s new recommendations for 2013.

Priority rankings are relative. The creation of rankings recognizes that there are limited resources available to address market issues and that problems must be ranked in order to determine the order in which to address them. It does not mean that all the problems should not be addressed. Priority rankings are dynamic and as new issues are identified, priority rankings will change. The rankings reflect a number of factors including the significance of the issue for efficient markets, the difficulty of completion and the degree to which items are already in progress. A low ranking does not necessarily mean that an issue is not important, but could mean that the issue would be easy to resolve.

There are three priority rankings: High, Medium and Low. High priority indicates that the recommendation requires action because it addresses a market design issue that creates significant market inefficiencies and/or long lasting negative market effects. Medium priority indicates that the recommendation addresses a market design issue that creates intermediate market inefficiencies and/or near term negative market effects. Low priority indicates that the recommendation addresses a market design issue that creates smaller market inefficiencies and/or more limited market effects or that it could be easily resolved.

---

1 OAIT Attachment M § IV.D.
2 Id.
3 Id.
4 Id.
5 OAIT Attachment M § VI.A.
5 – Capacity Market

- Do not use ATSI Interface or similar interfaces to set zonal capacity prices to accommodate inadequacy of DR product.
- Units not capable of supplying energy consistent with DA offer should reflect outage.
- Modify definition of DR to be substitutable for other generation capacity resources. Eliminate Limited and Extended Summer.
- Create mechanism to permit a direct comparison, or competition, between transmission and generation alternatives.
- Determine why secondary reserve was unavailable or not dispatched on September 10, 2013. Evaluate replacing the DASR.
- Identify and collect data on available behind the meter generation resources, including nodal location information and relevant operating parameters.
- Define why tier 1 biasing is used in optimized solution to Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve Market. Identify rule applied to each instance of biasing.
- Reallocate the operating reserve credits paid to units supporting the Con Edison – PSEG wheeling contracts.
- Be transparent in the formulation of closed loop interfaces with adjustable limits and develop rules to reduce subjectivity of their creation and implementation. Estimate their impact on additional uplift payments inside closed loops, transmission planning, offer capping, FRR and ARR revenue, ancillary services markets and the capacity market to avoid unintended consequences.
- Require UTCS to pay operating reserve charges. Revise confidentiality rules to allow disclosure of the reasons for, and the amount of unit operating reserve charges.
- Base energy uplift payments on real-time output and not day-ahead scheduled output whenever operation results in a lower loss or no loss at all. Include net DASR revenues as part of the offsets used in determining day-ahead operating reserve credits.
- Use net regulation revenues as an offset in the calculation of balancing operating reserve credits.
- Do not compensate self-scheduled units for their startup cost when the units are scheduled by PJM to start before the self-scheduled hours.
- Enforce a consistent definition of capacity resource to be a physical resource at time of auction and in the relevant delivery year. Apply requirement to all resource types, including planned generation, demand to all resource types, including planned generation, demand resources and imports, resources and imports.
- Modify definition of DR to be substitutable for other generation capacity resources. Eliminate Limited and Extended Summer. DR, so DR has the same obligation to provide capacity year round as generation capacity resources.
- Terminate the 2.5 percent demand adjustment (Short Term Resource Procurement Target) and add it back to the demand curve.
- Redefine LDA test, and include reliability analysis in redefined model.
- Require that capacity resource offers in DA market be competitive (short run marginal cost of units).
- Clearly define operational details of protocols for recalling energy output of capacity resources in emergency conditions.
- Pay capacity resources on basis of whether they produce energy when called upon in critical hours.
- Units not capable of supplying energy consistent with DA offer should reflect outage.
- Eliminate all OMC outages from market impacting forced outage rate calculations.
- Eliminate the exception related to lack of gas during the winter period for single-fuel, natural gas-fired units.
- Allow only one demand resources product, with an obligation to respond when called for all hours of the year.
- Apply daily must offer requirement to demand resources comparably to generation capacity resources.
- Apply $1.000 offer cap requirement to demand resources comparably to cap on energy offers of generation capacity resources.
- Require demand resources to provide nodal location on grid.
- Adopt the ISO-NE metering requirements so dispatchers have information for reliability and so DR market payments be calculated based on interval meter data at the site of the demand reductions.
- Initiate load management testing with limited warning to CSPs.
- Eliminate IMO Interface Pricing Point, assign MISO pricing point to IESO transactions.
- Validate submitted transactions to prohibit disaggregation that defeats the interface pricing rule by obscuring the true source or sink.
- Require market participants to submit transactions on market paths that reflect expected actual flow.
- Provide the required 12-month notice to PEC to unilaterally terminate the Joint Operating Agreement.
- Modify Regulation market to consistently apply marginal benefit factor throughout optimization, assignment, and settlement.
- Eliminate rule requiring payment of tier 1 synchronized reserve resources when non-synchronized reserve price is above zero.
- Enforce tier 2 synchronized reserve must offer provision of scarcity pricing.
- Define why tier 1 biasing is used in optimized solution to Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve Market. Identify rule applied to each instance of biasing.
- Determine why secondary reserve was unavailable or not dispatched on September 10, 2013. Evaluate replacing the DASR market with an available and dispatchable real time secondary reserve product.
- Revise the current black start confidentiality rules in order to allow a more transparent disclosure of information.

Table 2-1 Prioritized summary of new recommendations: 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>3 – Energy Market</td>
<td>No FMU status for black start units.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>3 – Energy Market</td>
<td>Do not use ATSI Interface or similar interfaces to set zonal capacity prices to accommodate inadequacy of DR product.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>3 – Energy Market</td>
<td>Review transmission facility ratings to ensure normal, emergency and load dump ratings in transmission system modeling are accurate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>3 – Energy Market</td>
<td>Update outage impact studies, RPM reliability analyses for capacity deliverability and reliability analyses used in RTEP for transmission upgrades to be consistent with the more conservative emergency operations implemented in June 2013.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>3 – Energy Market</td>
<td>Clarify roles of PJM and the transmission owners in the decision making process to control for local contingencies. Strengthen PJM’s role and make the process transparent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>3 – Energy Market</td>
<td>Explain in the appropriate manual the initial creation of hubs, the process for modifying hub definitions and a description of how hub definitions have changed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>3 – Energy Market</td>
<td>Treat hours with net withdrawal at a gen bus as load for calculating load and weighted LMP. Conversely, treat injections as generation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>3 – Energy Market</td>
<td>Identify and collect data on available behind the meter generation resources, including nodal location information and relevant operating parameters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>4 – Energy Uplift</td>
<td>Reallocate the operating reserve credits paid to units supporting the Con Edison – PSEG wheeling contracts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>4 – Energy Uplift</td>
<td>Be transparent in the formulation of closed loop interfaces with adjustable limits and develop rules to reduce subjectivity of their creation and implementation. Estimate their impact on additional uplift payments inside closed loops, transmission planning, offer capping, FRR and ARR revenue, ancillary services markets and the capacity market to avoid unintended consequences.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>4 – Energy Uplift</td>
<td>Require UTCS to pay operating reserve charges. Revise confidentiality rules to allow disclosure of the reasons for, and the amount of unit operating reserve charges.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>4 – Energy Uplift</td>
<td>Base energy uplift payments on real-time output and not day-ahead scheduled output whenever operation results in a lower loss or no loss at all. Include net DASR revenues as part of the offsets used in determining day-ahead operating reserve credits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>4 – Energy Uplift</td>
<td>Use net regulation revenues as an offset in the calculation of balancing operating reserve credits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>4 – Energy Uplift</td>
<td>Do not compensate self-scheduled units for their startup cost when the units are scheduled by PJM to start before the self-scheduled hours.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>5 – Capacity Market</td>
<td>Enforce a consistent definition of capacity resource to be a physical resource at time of auction and in the relevant delivery year. Apply requirement to all resource types, including planned generation, demand to all resource types, including planned generation, demand resources and imports, resources and imports.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>5 – Capacity Market</td>
<td>Modify definition of DR to be substitutable for other generation capacity resources. Eliminate Limited and Extended Summer. So DR has the same obligation to provide capacity year round as generation capacity resources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>5 – Capacity Market</td>
<td>Terminate the 2.5 percent demand adjustment (Short Term Resource Procurement Target) and add it back to the demand curve.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>5 – Capacity Market</td>
<td>Redefine LDA test, and include reliability analysis in redefined model.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>5 – Capacity Market</td>
<td>Require that capacity resource offers in DA market be competitive (short run marginal cost of units).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>5 – Capacity Market</td>
<td>Clearly define operational details of protocols for recalling energy output of capacity resources in emergency conditions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>5 – Capacity Market</td>
<td>Pay capacity resources on basis of whether they produce energy when called upon in critical hours.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>5 – Capacity Market</td>
<td>Units not capable of supplying energy consistent with DA offer should reflect outage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>5 – Capacity Market</td>
<td>Eliminate all OMC outages from market impacting forced outage rate calculations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>5 – Capacity Market</td>
<td>Eliminate the exception related to lack of gas during the winter period for single-fuel, natural gas-fired units.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>6 – Demand Response</td>
<td>Allow only one demand resources product, with an obligation to respond when called for all hours of the year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>6 – Demand Response</td>
<td>Apply daily must offer requirement to demand resources comparably to generation capacity resources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>6 – Demand Response</td>
<td>Apply $1.000 offer cap requirement to demand resources comparably to cap on energy offers of generation capacity resources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>6 – Demand Response</td>
<td>Require demand resources to provide nodal location on grid.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>6 – Demand Response</td>
<td>Adopt the ISO-NE metering requirements so dispatchers have information for reliability and so DR market payments be calculated based on interval meter data at the site of the demand reductions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>6 – Demand Response</td>
<td>Initiate load management testing with limited warning to CSPs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>9 – Interchange Transactions</td>
<td>Eliminate IMO Interface Pricing Point, assign MISO pricing point to IESO transactions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>9 – Interchange Transactions</td>
<td>Validate submitted transactions to prohibit disaggregation that defeats the interface pricing rule by obscuring the true source or sink.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>9 – Interchange Transactions</td>
<td>Require market participants to submit transactions on market paths that reflect expected actual flow.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>9 – Interchange Transactions</td>
<td>Require market participants to submit transactions on market paths that reflect expected actual flow.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>9 – Interchange Transactions</td>
<td>Provide the required 12-month notice to PEC to unilaterally terminate the Joint Operating Agreement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>10 – Ancillary Services</td>
<td>Modify Regulation market to consistently apply marginal benefit factor throughout optimization, assignment, and settlement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>10 – Ancillary Services</td>
<td>Eliminate rule requiring payment of tier 1 synchronized reserve resources when non-synchronized reserve price is above zero.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>10 – Ancillary Services</td>
<td>Enforce tier 2 synchronized reserve must offer provision of scarcity pricing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>10 – Ancillary Services</td>
<td>Define why tier 1 biasing is used in optimized solution to Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve Market. Identify rule applied to each instance of biasing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>10 – Ancillary Services</td>
<td>Determine why secondary reserve was unavailable or not dispatched on September 10, 2013. Evaluate replacing the DASR market with an available and dispatchable real time secondary reserve product.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>10 – Ancillary Services</td>
<td>Revise the current black start confidentiality rules in order to allow a more transparent disclosure of information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>12 – Planning</td>
<td>Create mechanism to permit a direct comparison, or competition, between transmission and generation alternatives.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Low 12 - Planning Implement rules to permit competition to provide financing of transmission projects.
Low 12 - Planning Address question of whether CIRs should persist after unit retirement to prevent incumbents from exploiting CIRs to block competitive entry.
Low 12 - Planning Outsource interconnection studies to an independent party, rather than relying on incumbent transmission owners.
Low 13 – FTRs and ARRs Report correct monthly payout ratios to reduce overstatement of underfunding problem on a monthly basis.
High 13 – FTRs and ARRs Eliminate portfolio netting to eliminate cross subsidies across FTR marketplace participants.
High 13 – FTRs and ARRs Eliminate subsidies to counter flow FTR holders by treating them comparatively to prevailing flow FTR holders when the payout ratio is applied.
High 13 – FTRs and ARRs Improve transmission outage modeling in the FTR auction models.
High 13 – FTRs and ARRs Reduce FTR sales on paths with persistent underfunding including clear rules for what defines persistent underfunding and how the reduction will be applied.
Medium 13 – FTRs and ARRs Implement a seasonal ARR and FTR allocation system to better represent outages.
High 13 – FTRs and ARRs Eliminate over allocation requirement of ARRs in the Annual ARR Allocation process.
Medium 13 – FTRs and ARRs Do not use ATSI Interface or similar interfaces to set zonal capacity prices to accommodate inadequacy of DR product. Study the implementation of closed loop interface constraints so as to include them in the FTR Auction model to minimize their impact on FTR funding.

New Recommendations

Consistent with its core function to “[e]valuate existing and proposed market rules, tariff provisions and market design elements and recommend proposed rule and tariff changes,”6 the MMU recommends specific enhancements to existing market rules and implementation of new rules that are required for competitive results in PJM markets and for continued improvements in the functioning of PJM markets. In this 2013 State of the Market Report for PJM, the MMU makes the following new recommendations for 2013.

From Section 3, Energy Market

- The PJM Tariff defines offer capped units as those units capped to maintain system reliability as a result of limits on transmission capability.7 Offer capping for providing black start service does not meet this criterion. The MMU recommends that black start units not be given FMU status under the current rules.
- The MMU recommends that PJM not use the ATSI Interface or create similar interfaces to set zonal prices to accommodate the inadequacies of the demand side resource capacity product.
- The MMU recommends that PJM routinely review all transmission facility ratings and any changes to those ratings to ensure that the normal, emergency and load dump ratings used in modeling the transmission system are accurate and reflect standard ratings practice.
- The MMU recommends that PJM update the outage impact studies, the reliability analyses used in RPM for capacity deliverability and the reliability analyses used in RTEP for transmission upgrades to be consistent with the more conservative emergency operations [post contingency load dump limit exceedance analysis] in the energy market that were implemented in June 2013.
- The MMU recommends that the roles of PJM and the transmission owners in the decision making process to control for local contingencies be clarified, that PJM’s role be strengthened and that the process be made transparent.
- There is currently no PJM documentation in the tariff or manuals explaining how hubs are created and how their definitions are changed.8 The MMU recommends that PJM include in the appropriate manual an explanation of the initial creation of hubs, the process for modifying hub definitions and a description of how hub definitions have changed.9
- The MMU recommends that during hours when a generation bus shows a net withdrawal, the energy withdrawal be treated as load, not negative generation, for purposes of calculating load and load weighted LMP. The MMU also recommends that during hours when a load bus shows a net injection,

---

6 18 CFR § 35.28(3)(ii)(X); see also OATT Attachment M 5 I.V.D.
8 The general definition of a hub can be found in “Manual 35: Definitions and Acronyms,” Revision 22 (February 28, 2013).
9 According to minutes from the first meeting of the Energy Market Committee (EMC) on January 28, 1998, the EMC unanimously agreed to be responsible for approving additions, deletions and changes to the hub definitions to be published and modeled by PJM. Since the EMC has become the Market Implementation Committee (MIC), the MIC now appears to be responsible for such changes.
the energy injection be treated as generation, not negative load, for purposes of calculating generation and load weighted LMP.

- The MMU recommends that PJM identify and collect data on available behind the meter generation resources, including nodal location information and relevant operating parameters.

**From Section 4, Energy Uplift**

- The MMU recommends reallocating the operating reserve credits paid to units supporting the Con Edison – PSEG wheeling contracts.

- The MMU recommends that PJM be transparent in the formulation of closed loop interfaces with adjustable limits and develop rules to reduce the levels of subjectivity around the creation and implementation of these interfaces. The MMU also recommends that PJM estimate the impact such interfaces could have on additional uplift payments inside closed loops, transmission planning, offer capping, FTR and ARR revenue, ancillary services markets and the capacity market to avoid unintended consequences.

- The MMU recommends that up-to-congestion transactions be required to pay operating reserve charges. The MMU recommends that PJM revise the current operating reserve confidentiality rules in order to allow the disclosure of complete information about the level of operating reserve charges by unit and the detailed reasons for the level of operating reserve payments by unit in the PJM region.

- The MMU recommends enhancing the day-ahead operating reserve credits calculation in order to ensure that units receive an energy uplift payment based on their real-time output and not their day-ahead scheduled output whenever their operation results in a lower loss or no loss at all. The MMU recommends including net DASR revenues as part of the offsets used in determining day-ahead operating reserve credits.

- The MMU recommends reincorporating the use of net regulation revenues as an offset in the calculation of balancing operating reserve credits.

- The MMU recommends not compensating self-scheduled units for their startup cost when the units are scheduled by PJM to start before the self-scheduled hours.

**From Section 5, Capacity Market**

- The MMU recommends the enforcement of a consistent definition of capacity resource. The MMU recommends that the requirement to be a physical resource be enforced and enhanced. The requirement to be a physical resource should apply at the time of auctions and should also constitute a commitment to be physical in the relevant delivery year. The requirement to be a physical resource should be applied to all resource types, including planned generation, demand resources and imports.  

10 See also Comments of the Independent Market Monitor for PJM. Docket No. ER14-503-000.

• The MMU recommends improvements to the incentive requirements of RPM:
  — The MMU recommends that Generation Capacity Resources be paid on the basis of whether they produce energy when called upon during any of the hours defined as critical.
  — The MMU recommends that a unit which is not capable of supplying energy consistent with its day-ahead offer should reflect an appropriate outage.
  — The MMU recommends that PJM eliminate all OMC outages from the calculation of forced outage rates used for any purpose in the PJM Capacity Market.
• The MMU recommends that PJM eliminate the broad exception related to lack of gas during the winter period for single-fuel, natural gas-fired units.12

From Section 6, Demand Response
• The MMU recommends that there be only one demand resources product, with an obligation to respond when called for all hours of the year.
• The MMU recommends that a daily must offer requirement apply to demand resources, comparable to the rule applicable to generation capacity resources.13
• The MMU recommends that demand response programs adopt an offer cap equal to the offer cap applicable to energy offers from generation capacity resources, currently $1,000 per MWh.14
• The MMU recommends that the lead times for demand resources be shortened to 30 minute lead time with an hour minimum dispatch for all resources.
• The MMU recommends that demand resources be required to provide their nodal location on the electricity grid.
• The MMU recommends that PJM adopt the ISO-NE metering requirements in order to ensure that dispatchers have the necessary information for reliability and that market payments to demand resources be calculated based on interval meter data at the site of the demand reductions.15
• The MMU recommends that load management testing be initiated by PJM with limited warning to CSPs in order to more accurately resemble the conditions of an emergency event.

From Section 9, Interchange Transactions
• The MMU recommends that PJM eliminate the IMO Interface Pricing Point, and assign the MISO Interface Pricing Point to transactions that originate or sink in the IESO balancing authority.
• The MMU recommends that PJM implement a validation method for submitted transactions that would prohibit market participants from breaking transactions into smaller segments to defeat the interface pricing rule and receive higher prices (for imports) or lower prices (for exports) from PJM resulting from the inability to identify the true source or sink of the transaction.
• The MMU recommends that the validation also require market participants to submit transactions on market paths that reflect the expected actual flow in order to reduce unscheduled loop flows.
• The MMU recommends that PJM eliminate the NIPSCO and Southeast interface pricing points from the Day-Ahead and Real-Time Energy Markets and, with VACAR, assign the SouthIMP/EXP pricing point to transactions created under the reserve sharing agreement.
• The MMU recommends that PJM immediately provide the required 12-month notice to PEC to unilaterally terminate the Joint Operating Agreement.

From Section 10, Ancillary Services
• The MMU recommends that the Regulation Market be modified to incorporate a consistent application of the marginal benefits factor throughout the optimization, assignment and settlement process.

13 See “Complaint and Motion to Consolidate of the Independent Market Monitor for PJM,” Docket No. EL14-20-000 (January 27, 2014) at 1.
14 Id at 1.
15 See ISO-NE Tariff, Section 18, Market Rule 1, Appendix E1 and Appendix E2, “Demand Response,” <http://www.iso-ne.com/regulatory/tariff/sect_18_1/mr01_append-e.pdf> (Accessed November 11, 2013). ISO-NE requires that DR have an interval meter with five minute data reported to the ISO and each behind the meter generator is required to have a separate interval meter. After June 1, 2017, demand response resources in ISO-NE must also be registered at a single node.
• The MMU recommends that the rule requiring the payment of tier 1 synchronized reserve resources when the non-synchronized reserve price is above zero be eliminated immediately.
• The MMU recommends that the tier 2 synchronized reserve must-offer provision of scarcity pricing be enforced.
• The MMU recommends that PJM be more explicit about why tier 1 biasing is used in the optimized solution to the Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve Market. The MMU recommends that PJM define rules for calculating available tier 1 MW and for the use of biasing during any phase of the market solution and then identify the relevant rule for each instance of biasing.
• The MMU recommends that PJM determine why secondary reserve was either unavailable or not dispatched on September 10, 2013 and that PJM evaluate replacing the DASR market with a real time secondary reserve product that is available and dispatchable in real time.
• The MMU recommends PJM revise the current confidentiality rules in order to specifically allow a more transparent disclosure of information regarding black start resources and their associated payments in PJM.

From Section 12, Planning
— There is no mechanism to permit a direct comparison, or competition, between transmission and generation alternatives. There is no mechanism to evaluate whether the generation or transmission alternative is less costly or who bears the risks associated with each alternative. The MMU recommends the creation of such a mechanism.
— The MMU recommends that rules be implemented to permit competition to provide financing of transmission projects. This competition could reduce the cost of capital for transmission projects and significantly reduce total costs to customers.
— The MMU recommends that the question of whether Capacity Injection Rights (CIRs) should persist after the retirement of a unit be addressed. Even if the treatment of CIRs remains unchanged, the rules need to ensure that incumbents cannot exploit control of CIRs to block or postpone entry of competitors.16
— The MMU recommends outsourcing interconnection studies to an independent party to avoid potential conflicts of interest. Currently, these studies are performed by incumbent transmission owners under PJM’s direction. This could result in a conflict of interest when transmission owners have generation interests.

From Section 13, FTRs and ARRs
• Report correct monthly payout ratios to reduce overstatement of underfunding problem on a monthly basis.
• Eliminate portfolio netting to eliminate cross subsidies across FTR marketplace participants.
• Eliminate subsidies to counter flow FTR holders by treating them comparably to prevailing flow FTR holders when the payout ratio is applied.
• Eliminate cross geographic subsidies.
• Improve transmission outage modeling in the FTR auction models.
• Reduce FTR sales on paths with persistent underfunding including clear rules for what defines persistent underfunding and how the reduction will be applied.
• Implement a seasonal ARR and FTR allocation system to better represent outages.
• Eliminate over allocation requirement of ARRs in the Annual ARR Allocation process.
• Apply the FTR forfeiture rule to up to congestion transactions consistent with the application of the FTR forfeiture rule to increment offers and decrement bids.
• The MMU recommends that PJM not use the ATSI Interface or create similar interfaces to set zonal prices to accommodate the inadequacies of the demand side resource capacity product. Market prices should be a function of market fundamentals. The MMU recommends that, in general, the implementation of closed loop interface constraints be studied in advance and implemented so as to

include them in the FTR Auction model to minimize their impact on FTR funding.

Complete List of MMU Recommendations

The following recommendations and their context are explained in greater detail in each of the sections of the SOM.

Section 3, Energy Market

- The MMU recommends the elimination of FMU and AU adders. Since the implementation of FMU adders, PJM has undertaken major redesigns of its market rules addressing revenue adequacy, including implementation of the RPM capacity market construct in 2007, and changes to the scarcity pricing rules in 2012. The reasons that FMU and AU adders were implemented no longer exist. FMU and AU adders no longer serve the purpose for which they were created and interfere with the efficient operation of PJM markets. This recommendation is currently being evaluated in the PJM stakeholder process.
- The PJM Tariff defines offer capped units as those units capped to maintain system reliability as a result of limits on transmission capability. Offer capping for providing black start service does not meet this criterion. The MMU recommends that black start units not be given FMU status under the current rules.
- The MMU recommends that the definition of maximum emergency status in the tariff apply at all times rather than just during maximum emergency events.
- The MMU recommends that PJM not use the ATSI Interface or create similar interfaces to set zonal prices to accommodate the inadequacies of the demand side resource capacity product.
- The MMU recommends that PJM routinely review all transmission facility ratings and any changes to those ratings to ensure that the normal, emergency and load dump ratings used in modeling the transmission system are accurate and reflect standard ratings practice.
- The MMU recommends that PJM update the outage impact studies, the reliability analyses used in RPM for capacity deliverability and the reliability analyses used in RTEP for transmission upgrades to be consistent with the more conservative emergency operations (post contingency load dump limit exceedance analysis) in the energy market that were implemented in June 2013.
- The MMU recommends that the roles of PJM and the transmission owners in the decision making process to control for local contingencies be clarified, that PJM’s role be strengthened and that the process be made transparent.
- The MMU recommends that PJM explore an interchange optimization solution with its neighboring balancing authorities that removes the need for market participants to schedule physical power.
- There is currently no PJM documentation in the tariff or manuals explaining how hubs are created and how their definitions are changed. The MMU recommends that PJM include in the appropriate manual an explanation of the initial creation of hubs, the process for modifying hub definitions and a description of how hub definitions have changed.
- The MMU recommends that during hours when a generation bus shows a net withdrawal, the energy withdrawal be treated as load, not negative generation, for purposes of calculating load and load weighted LMP. The MMU also recommends that during hours when a load bus shows a net injection, the energy injection be treated as generation, not negative load, for purposes of calculating generation and load weighted LMP.
- The MMU recommends that PJM identify and collect data on available behind the meter generation resources, including nodal location information and relevant operating parameters.

Section 4, Energy Uplift

- The MMU recommends that PJM clearly identify, classify all reasons for incurring operating reserves include them in the FTR Auction model to minimize their impact on FTR funding.

---

in the Day-Ahead and the Real-Time Energy Markets and the associated operating reserve charges in order for all market participants be aware of the reason of these costs and to help ensure a long term solution to the issue of how to allocate the costs of operating reserves.

- The MMU recommends four modifications to the energy lost opportunity cost calculations:
  - The MMU recommends that the lost opportunity cost in the Energy and Ancillary Services Markets be calculated using the schedule on which the unit was scheduled to run in the Energy Market.
  - The MMU recommends including no load and startup costs as part of the total avoided costs in the calculation of lost opportunity cost credits paid to combustion turbines and diesels scheduled in the Day-Ahead Energy Market but not committed in real time.
  - The MMU recommends eliminating the use of the day-ahead LMP to calculate lost opportunity cost credits paid to combustion turbines and diesels scheduled in the Day-Ahead Energy Market, but not committed in real time.
  - The MMU recommends using the entire offer curve and not a single point on the offer curve to calculate energy lost opportunity cost.

- The MMU also recommends other rule changes regarding the calculation of lost opportunity cost credits to units scheduled in the Day-Ahead Energy Market and not committed in real time.

- The MMU recommends that units scheduled in the Day-Ahead Energy Market and not committed in real time be eligible for an LOC compensation when committed or decommitted within an hour.

- The MMU recommends that PJM be transparent in the formulation of closed loop interfaces with adjustable limits and develop rules to reduce the levels of subjectivity around the creation and implementation of these interfaces. The MMU also recommends that PJM estimate the impact such interfaces could have on additional uplift payments inside closed loops, transmission planning, offer capping, FTR and ARR revenue, ancillary services markets and the capacity market to avoid unintended consequences.

- The MMU recommends that the total cost of providing reactive support be categorized and allocated as reactive services. Reactive services credits should be calculated consistent with the operating reserve credits calculation. The MMU also recommends including real-time exports in the allocation of the cost of providing reactive support to the 500 kV system or above which is currently allocated to real-time RTO load.

- The MMU recommends eliminating the use of internal bilateral transactions (IBTs) in the calculation of deviations used to allocate balancing operating reserve charges.

- The MMU recommends that up-to congestion transactions be required to pay operating reserve charges.

- The MMU recommends that PJM revise the current operating reserve confidentiality rules in order to allow the disclosure of complete information about the level of operating reserve charges by unit and the detailed reasons for the level of operating reserve payments by unit in the PJM region.

- The MMU recommends that PJM revise the current operating reserve confidentiality rules in order to ensure that units receive an energy uplift payment based on their real-time output and not their day-ahead scheduled output whenever their operation results in a lower loss or no loss at all.

- The MMU recommends including net DASR revenues as part of the offsets used in determining day-ahead operating reserve credits.

- The MMU recommends reincorporating the use of net regulation revenues as an offset in the calculation of balancing operating reserve credits.

- The MMU recommends not compensating self-scheduled units for their startup cost when the units are scheduled by PJM to start before the self-scheduled hours.

- The MMU recommends that PJM be transparent in the formulation of closed loop interfaces with adjustable limits and develop rules to reduce the levels of subjectivity around the creation and implementation of these interfaces. The MMU also recommends that PJM estimate the impact such interfaces could have on additional uplift payments inside closed loops, transmission planning, offer capping, FTR and ARR revenue, ancillary services markets and the capacity market to avoid unintended consequences.

Section 5, Capacity

- The MMU recommends the enforcement of a consistent definition of capacity resource. The MMU recommends that the requirement to be a physical resource be enforced and enhanced. The requirement to be a physical resource should apply at the time of auctions and should also constitute a commitment...
to be physical in the relevant delivery year. The requirement to be a physical resource should be applied to all resource types, including planned generation, demand resources and imports.\(^{21}\)^22

- The MMU recommends that the definition of demand side resources be modified in order to ensure that such resources be fully substitutable for other generation capacity resources. Both the Limited and the Extended Summer DR products should be eliminated in order to ensure that the DR product has the same unlimited obligation to provide capacity year round as generation capacity resources.

- The MMU recommends that the use of the 2.5 percent demand adjustment (Short Term Resource Procurement Target) be terminated immediately. The 2.5 percent should be added back to the overall market demand curve.

- The MMU recommends that the test for determining modeled Locational Deliverability Areas in RPM be redefined. A detailed reliability analysis of all at risk units should be included in the redefined model.

- The MMU recommends that there be an explicit requirement that Capacity Resource offers in the Day-Ahead Energy Market be competitive, where competitive is defined to be the short run marginal cost of the units.

- The MMU recommends that protocols be defined for recalling the energy output of Capacity Resources when PJM is in an emergency condition. PJM has modified these protocols, but they need additional clarification and operational details.

- The MMU recommends improvements to the incentive requirements of RPM:
  - The MMU recommends that Generation Capacity Resources be paid on the basis of whether they produce energy when called upon during any of the hours defined as critical.
  - The MMU recommends that a unit which is not capable of supplying energy consistent with its day-ahead offer should reflect an appropriate outage.

- The MMU recommends that PJM eliminate all OMC outages from the calculation of forced outage rates used for any purpose in the PJM Capacity Market.

- The MMU recommends that PJM eliminate the broad exception related to lack of gas during the winter period for single-fuel, natural gas-fired units.\(^{23}\)

### Section 6, Demand Response

- The MMU recommends that there be only one demand resources product, with an obligation to respond when called for all hours of the year.

- The MMU recommends that the emergency load response program be classified as an economic program and not an emergency program.

- The MMU recommends that a daily must offer requirement apply to demand resources, comparable to the rule applicable to generation capacity resources.\(^{24}\)

- The MMU recommends that demand response programs adopt an offer cap equal to the offer cap applicable to energy offers from generation capacity resources, currently $1,000 per MWh.\(^{25}\)

- The MMU recommends that the lead times for demand resources be shortened to 30 minute lead time with an hour minimum dispatch for all resources.

- The MMU recommends that demand resources be required to provide their nodal location on the electricity grid.

- The MMU recommends that demand resources measurement and verification be further modified to more accurately reflect compliance.

- The MMU recommends that compliance rules be revised to include submittal of all necessary hourly load data, and negative values when calculating event compliance across hours and registrations.

- The MMU recommends that PJM adopt the ISO-NE metering requirements in order to ensure that

\(^{21}\) See also Comments of the Independent Market Monitor for PJM. Docket No. ER14-503-000.  
\(^{25}\) Id at 1.
dispatchers have the necessary information for reliability and that market payments to demand resources be calculated based on interval meter data at the site of the demand reductions.\textsuperscript{26}

- The MMU recommends that demand response event compliance be calculated for each hour and the penalty structure reflect hourly compliance.
- The MMU recommends that demand resources whose load drop method is designated as “Other” explicitly record the method of load drop.
- The MMU recommends that load management testing be initiated by PJM with limited warning to CSPs in order to more accurately resemble the conditions of an emergency event.

Section 7, Net Revenue
There are no recommendations in this section.

Section 8, Environmental
There are no recommendations in this section.

Section 9, Interchange Transactions
- The MMU recommends that PJM eliminate the IMO Interface Pricing Point, and assign the MISO Interface Pricing Point to transactions that originate or sink in the IESO balancing authority.
- The MMU recommends that PJM permit unlimited spot market imports as well as unlimited non-firm point-to-point willing to pay congestion imports and exports at all PJM Interfaces in order to improve the efficiency of the market.
- The MMU recommends that PJM implement a validation method for submitted transactions that would prohibit market participants from breaking transactions into smaller segments to defeat the interface pricing rule and receive higher prices (for imports) or lower prices (for exports) from PJM resulting from the inability to identify the true source or sink of the transaction.
- The MMU recommends that the validation also require market participants to submit transactions on market paths that reflect the expected actual flow in order to reduce unscheduled loop flows.
- The MMU recommends that PJM implement rules to prevent sham scheduling. The MMU’s proposed validation rules would address sham scheduling.
- The MMU recommends that PJM eliminate the NIPSCO and Southeast interface pricing points from the Day-Ahead and Real-Time Energy Markets and, with VACAR, assign the SouthIMP/EXP pricing point to transactions created under the reserve sharing agreement.
- The MMU recommends that PJM immediately provide the required 12-month notice to PEC to unilaterally terminate the Joint Operating Agreement.
- The MMU recommends that PJM and MISO work together to align interface pricing definitions, using the same number of external buses and selecting buses in close proximity on either side of the border with comparable bus weights.

Section 10, Ancillary Services
- The MMU recommends that the Regulation Market be modified to incorporate a consistent application of the marginal benefits factor throughout the optimization, assignment and settlement process.
- The MMU recommends that the rule requiring the payment of tier 1 synchronized reserve resources when the non-synchronized reserve price is above zero be eliminated immediately.
- The MMU recommends that the tier 2 synchronized reserve must-offer provision of scarcity pricing be enforced.
- The MMU recommends that PJM be more explicit about why tier 1 biasing is used in the optimized solution to the Tier 2 Synchronized Reserve Market. The MMU recommends that PJM define rules for calculating available tier 1 MW and for the use of biasing during any phase of the market solution and then identify the relevant rule for each instance of biasing.
- The MMU recommends that PJM determine why secondary reserve was either unavailable or not dispatched on September 10, 2013 and that PJM evaluate replacing the DASR market with a real

\textsuperscript{26} See ISO-NE Tariff, Section II, Market Rule 1, Appendix E1 and Appendix E2, “Demand Response,” <http://www.iso-ne.com/regulatory/tariff/sect_2/mr1_append-e.pdf>. (Accessed November 11, 2013) ISO-NE requires that DR have an interval meter with five minute data reported to the ISO and each behind the meter generator is required to have a separate interval meter. After June 1, 2017, demand response resources in ISO-NE must also be registered at a single node.
time secondary reserve product that is available and dispatchable in real time.

- The MMU recommends PJM revise the current confidentiality rules in order to specifically allow a more transparent disclosure of information regarding black start resources and their associated payments in PJM.
- The MMU recommends that the three pivotal supplier test be incorporated in the DASR market.

**Section 11, Congestion and Marginal Losses**

There are no recommendations in this section.

**Section 12, Planning**

The MMU recommends additional improvements to the planning process.

- There is no mechanism to permit a direct comparison, or competition, between transmission and generation alternatives. There is no mechanism to evaluate whether the generation or transmission alternative is less costly or who bears the risks associated with each alternative. The MMU recommends the creation of such a mechanism.
- The MMU recommends that rules be implemented to permit competition to provide financing of transmission projects. This competition could reduce the cost of capital for transmission projects and significantly reduce total costs to customers.
- The MMU recommends that the question of whether Capacity Injection Rights (CIRs) should persist after the retirement of a unit be addressed. Even if the treatment of CIRs remains unchanged, the rules need to ensure that incumbents cannot exploit control of CIRs to block or postpone entry of competitors. The MMU recommends improvements in queue management including that PJM establish a review process to ensure that projects are removed from the queue if they are not viable and that PJM establish a process to allow commercially viable projects to advance in the queue ahead of projects which have failed to make progress, subject to rules to prevent gaming.

**Section 13, FTRs and ARRs**

- Report correct monthly payout ratios to reduce overstatement of underfunding problem on a monthly basis.
- Eliminate portfolio netting to eliminate cross subsidies across FTR marketplace participants.
- Eliminate subsidies to counter flow FTR holders by treating them comparably to prevailing flow FTR holders when the payout ratio is applied.
- Eliminate cross geographic subsidies.
- Improve transmission outage modeling in the FTR auction models.
- Reduce FTR sales on paths with persistent underfunding including clear rules for what defines persistent underfunding and how the reduction will be applied.
- Implement a seasonal ARR and FTR allocation system to better represent outages.
- Eliminate over allocation requirement of ARRs in the Annual ARR Allocation process.
- Apply the FTR forfeiture rule to up to congestion transactions consistent with the application of the FTR forfeiture rule to increment offers and decrement bids.
- The MMU recommends that PJM not use the ATSI Interface or create similar interfaces to set zonal prices to accommodate the inadequacies of the demand side resource capacity product. Market prices should be a function of market fundamentals. The MMU recommends that, in general, the implementation of closed loop interface constraints be studied in advance and implemented so as to include them in the FTR Auction model to minimize their impact on FTR funding.

---
