

I. ANSWER

The current record provides sufficient basis for a determination of whether the FTR Default Proposal is lawful. This answer primarily responds to the January 11th Answer's mischaracterization of the Market Monitor's position as a matter of its preferences.³ No decision among competing proposals is required. The Market Monitor does not base its objections to PJM's FTR Default Proposal on a preference for a specific proposal. Similarly, the Market Monitor does not seek to preserve the status quo.

The January 11th Answer asserts that the FTR Default Proposal is flexible. While it is correct that complete discretion provides flexibility, the proposal is flawed and should be rejected precisely because it provides excessive discretion to PJM. Complete discretion does provide flexibility, but flexibility does not require complete discretion. The FTR Default Proposal would provide unlimited PJM discretion to take actions to address FTR defaults. Clear rules, a clearly defined decision making process, clear metrics, and clear reporting requirements are needed in order to protect members and PJM and ultimately the customers who pay for defaults.

The Market Monitor appreciates the fact that PJM has learned significant lessons from FTR defaults, including the lesson that an extremely narrow requirement to liquidate positions immediately is not appropriate. The Market Monitor does not and has not advocated that PJM adopt that approach or any other rigid approach, as the January 11th Answer claims (at 10). The Market Monitor does not oppose a flexible approach. A reasonable flexible approach needs to be explained and defined. Rigidity is not the opposite of absolute discretion. PJM confuses discretion and flexibility.

The Market Monitor appreciates and supports PJM's goal of improving on the prior inflexible and narrowly defined approach to defaults in the FTR market. The Market

³ See January 11th Answer at 2, 10.

Monitor appreciates that it will be difficult to craft a transparent, defined, verifiable, systematic and flexible method.

But a transparent, defined, verifiable and systematic method can also be flexible. There is no reason why PJM cannot develop a defined, flexible and transparent process for allocating defaults.

II. MOTION FOR LEAVE TO ANSWER

The Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR § 385.213(a)(2), do not permit answers to answers or protests unless otherwise ordered by the decisional authority. The Commission has made exceptions, however, where an answer clarifies the issues or assists in creating a complete record.⁴ In this answer, the Market Monitor provides the Commission with information useful to the Commission's decision making process and which provides a more complete record. Accordingly, the Market Monitor respectfully requests that this answer be permitted.

⁴ See, e.g., *PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.*, 119 FERC ¶61,318 at P 36 (2007) (accepted answer to answer that "provided information that assisted ... decision-making process"); *California Independent System Operator Corporation*, 110 FERC ¶ 61,007 (2005) (answer to answer permitted to assist Commission in decision-making process); *New Power Company v. PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.*, 98 FERC ¶ 61,208 (2002) (answer accepted to provide new factual and legal material to assist the Commission in decision-making process); *N.Y. Independent System Operator, Inc.*, 121 FERC ¶61,112 at P 4 (2007) (answer to protest accepted because it provided information that assisted the Commission in its decision-making process).

III. CONCLUSION

The Market Monitor respectfully requests that the Commission afford due consideration to this answer as the Commission resolves the issues raised in this proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,



Jeffrey W. Mayes

Joseph E. Bowring
Independent Market Monitor for PJM
President
Monitoring Analytics, LLC
2621 Van Buren Avenue, Suite 160
Eagleville, Pennsylvania 19403
(610) 271-8051
joseph.bowring@monitoringanalytics.com

General Counsel
Monitoring Analytics, LLC
2621 Van Buren Avenue, Suite 160
Eagleville, Pennsylvania 19403
(610) 271-8053
jeffrey.mayes@monitoringanalytics.com

Howard J. Haas
Chief Economist
Monitoring Analytics, LLC
2621 Van Buren Avenue, Suite 160
Eagleville, Pennsylvania 19403
(610) 271-8054
howard.haas@monitoringanalytics.com

Dated: January 26, 2021

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document upon each person designated on the official service list compiled by the Secretary in this proceeding.

Dated at Eagleville, Pennsylvania,
this 26th day of January, 2021.



Jeffrey W. Mayes

General Counsel

Monitoring Analytics, LLC

2621 Van Buren Avenue, Suite 160

Eagleville, Pennsylvania 19403

(610) 271-8053

jeffrey.mayes@monitoringanalytics.com